ISSN: 2356-7767

Integrons and antibiotics resistance genes in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* strains isolated from diarrheic chicks Naglaa, M. Ali*; Aml, A. Bakheet*; and Manal, H. Thabet**

*Animal Health Research Institute, Poultry Diseases, Assiut Regional Laboratory **Animal Health Research Institute, Bacteriology, Assiut Regional Laboratory

Received in 9/5/2019 Accepted in 13/6/2019

Abstract

Many species belonging to family *Enterobacteriaceae* which present in the intestinal tract of human and animals are frequently exposed to different antimicrobials, creating the potential to disseminate genes of resistance to antimicrobials. Twenty–four *Escherichia coli* and13 *Salmonella* isolates were recovered from diarrheic chicks, and the resistance patterns of the isolates were determined using disc diffusion method. The isolates were serologically identified and screened molecularly by PCR for the presence of *bla*TEM and *aada1*resistance genes and presence of *int11* gene (class 1 integron). The serological examination of *E coli* strains revealed that there were 10 serotypes, the most predominant serotype was O158 (5 isolates), followed by O78, O55:H7 and O91:H21 (3 isolates), O26 : H11, O86, O142 and O128:H2 (2 isolates), O111 : H4 and O2:H6 (1 isolates). Serotyping of *Salmonella* isolates resulted, *S. Enteritidis* (5) isolates, *S. Typhimurium* (3) isolates, *S. Kentukey* (3) isolates *S. Molade* and *S. Larochelle* (1) isolate.

E.coli and *Salmonella* isolates showed marked variations in their antibiotic resistance patterns. *bla*TEM and *aad*a1 genes were identified in 100% of examined *E. coli* and *Salmonella* isolates. On the other hand, *int11* gene (Class 1 integrons) were found in 100% of the *E. coli* isolates whereas it was found in20% of *Salmonella* isolates only.

The higher incidence of multidrug resistant *E.coli* and *Salmonella isolates* harboring resistance genes in this study constituting a devastating problem for poultry industry.

Keywards: Multidrug resistant (MDR), E.coli, Salmonella, intl1 gene, Diarrhae, blaTEM and aada1 genes.

Introduction

Recently, there is a dramatic increase in the antimicrobial resistance in different species of bacteria, particularly multidrug resistance in Salmonella and Escherichia coli which continue to emerge throughout the world because antimicrobials are extensively used for therapeutic and prophylactic purposes in animals and humans, (Hsu et al., 2006). Microbial resistance is the loss of sensitivity by a microorganism to an antimicrobial to which it was originally susceptible. This resistance can be acquired by mutations in chromosomal DNA or by the acquisition of extra-chromosomal genetic material by means of plasmids and transposons (Vazquez et al., 2005). Many antibiotic resistant Gram-negative bacteria contain

integrons, which are genetic elements that mediate drug resistance. The use of a single antibiotic as treatment can also select for resistance to other antibiotics whose genes reside in the same integrons (Arestrup et al., 2001). Class 1 integrons are found extensively in clinical isolates, and most of the known antibioticresistance gene cassettes belong to this class. To date, and considering only those cassettes that differ in nucleotide sequence by more than 5% over 80 different gene cassettes from class 1 integrons have been described between them, these elements confer resistance to all known β -lactams, all minoglycosides, chloramphenicol, trimethoprim, streptothricin, rifampin, erythromycin, fosfomycin, lincomycin and antiseptics of the quaternary-ammonium-compound family, (Fluit and Schmitz 2004). Multidrug resistant (MDR) encoded by linked resistance genes occurs on integrons, which are potentially mobile genetic elements considered to be involved in the transfer of MDR, (Leverstein *et al.*, 2003). Antimicrobial resistance is accomplished by Integrons that play important role in the dissemination of antimicrobial resistance among Gram negative bacteria,4 classes of integrons are known (1, 2, 3, and 4), with class 1 being predominant among the members of this family both in the normal and pathogenic microbiota of animals (Goldstein *et al.*, 2001).

Multidrug resistant (MDR) encoded by linked resistance genes occurs on integrons, which are potentially mobile genetic elements considered to be involved in the transfer of MDR. (Leverstein *et al.*, 2003). Antimicrobial resistance is accomplished by Integrons that are present in plasmids or integrated within the chromosome of the bacterium (Goldstein *et al.*, 2001).

High level of resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics among Enterobacteriaceae was conferred Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase by (ESBL) in poultry farms, thereby classic blaTEM genes were present in E. coli and Salmonella spp. from poultry samples (Sumalee **2008**). *aadA1* gene which confer resistance to aminoglycoside adenyltransferase was most frequently associated with MDR E. coli harbored class 1 integrons (Alieda et al., 2007, Hala et al., 2018), also aadAl gene was also reported in MDR Salmonella spp. from poultry in Egypt by Sahar et al., (2018)

Antibiotic usage selects for resistance not only in pathogenic bacteria but also in the endogenous flora of exposed individuals or populations. Therefore; the antibiotic selection pressure for resistance in bacteria in poultry is high and consequently, their fecal flora contains a relatively high proportion of resistant bacteria (Piddock 1996).

The present study was aimed to detect class lintegron gene (*intII*), *bla*TEM and *aada1* genes in a selection of MDR *Salmonella* and *E*. *Coli* serotypes and to investigate the association of reduced susceptibility to antimicrobial agents with the presence of integrons as verified the plate inhibition test (antibiogram).

Materials and Methods Sample collection:

A total of 100 samples (intestines) were collected from diseased and freshly dead broilers chickens suffering from diarrhea from different poultry farms located in Assiut Governorates in separate zipper lock bag, kept in ice box and immediately transported to the laboratory.

Isolation and Identification of *E. coli* and *Salmonella spp*:

E. coli and *Salmonella* isolation was conducted according to **Quinn** *et al.*, (2002). The isolated pure cultures of *E. coli* and *Salmonella spp*. were biochemically identified using the following tests; oxidase, indole, methyl red, voges proskauer, citrate utilization, urea hydrolysis, triple sugar iron agar and lysine decarboxylase.

Serological identification:

Serological identification of Salmonella spp (13isolates)was carried out by slide agglutination technique according to Kauffman (1974) for the determination of Somatic (O) and Flagellar (H) antigen using Salmonella antiserum (DENKA SEIKEN Co., Japan). E.coli (24 isolates) were serologically identified according to Kok et al., (1996) by using rapid diagnostic E. coli antisera sets (DENKA SEIKEN Co., Japan) for detection E. coli serotypes.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

The antimicrobial susceptibility testing was done according to **Finegold and Martin** (1982) using agar disc diffusion method on Mueller Hinton agar. The isolated strains were tested against 13 antibiotic discs of commonly used chemotherapeutic agents, which were Amoxicillin (25 μ g) Ampicillin (10 μ g) Cefoxitin (30 μ g) Cefadroxil (30 μ g) Ciprofloxacin(5 μ g) Enrofloxacin (10 μ g)Streptomycin(10 μ g) Neomycin (30 μ g) Gentamycin (10 μ g) Sulfamethoxazol 25 μ g) Tetracycline (30 μ g) Colistin (25 μ g) Florphenicol (15 μ g) from Oxoid Hampshire, U K. The interpretation of inhibition zones of tested isolates was carried out according to CLSI (2015).

Multidrug resistant Index (MDRI)

Resistance to more than three antibiotics groups was considered as multidrug resistance (MDR). MDR index (MDRI) of individual isolates was calculated by dividing the number of antibiotics to which the isolate was resistant by the total number of antibiotics to which the isolate was exposed (**Chandran** *et al.*, 2008). Isolates with MDRI values of more than 0.2 or 20% were considered highly resistant.

 $\mathbf{MDR index} = \frac{\text{Number of antibiotics resisted}}{\text{Total number of antibiotics used}} \times 100$

PCR Procedures:-

The isolated *E. coli* and *Salmonella spp* strains (5 isolates of each) were sent to the Reference Laboratory for Veterinary Quality Control on Poultry Production in Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki, Giza, Egypt, for detection of *bla*TEM, *aada1*, and *Int1* genes. According to Colom *et al.*, (2003), Randall *et al.*, (2004) and Kashif *et al.*, (2013) as follows:

DNA extraction. DNA extraction from pure isolates of both organisms (5 of each) was performed using the QIAamp DNA Mini kit (Qiagen, Germany, GmbH) with modifications from the manufacturer's recommendations. Briefly, 200 µl of the sample suspension was incubated with 10 µl of proteinase K and 200 µl of lysis buffer at 56C for10 min. After incubation, 200 µl of 100% ethanol was added to the lysate. The sample was then washed and centrifuged following the manufacturer's recommendations. Nucleic acid was eluted with 100 µl of elution buffer provided in the kit.

Oligonucleotide Primer. Primers used were supplied from Metabion (Germany) are listed in table (1).PCR amplification. Primers were utilized in a 25- μ l reaction containing 12.5 μ l of EmeraldAmp Max PCR Master Mix (Takara, Japan), 1 μ l of each primerof 20 pmol concentration, 4.5 μ l of water, and 6 μ l of DNA template. The reaction was performed in an Applied biosystem 2720 thermal cycler.

Analysis of the PCR Products. The products of PCR were separated by electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gel (Applichem, Germany,

GmbH) in 1x TBE buffer at room temperature using gradients of 5V/cm. For gel analysis, 15 µl of the products was loaded in each gel slot. A gel pilot 100 bp DNA Ladder (Qiagen, Germany, GmbH) was used to determine the fragment sizes. The gel was photographed gel documentation system by a (AlphaInnotech, Biometra) and the data was analyzed through computer software.

Target gene	Primers sequences (5' 3'	Ampli- fied seg- ment (bp	Primary denatur- ation	Amplific	cation (35	Final		
				Second- ary de- naturati on	An- neali ng	Exten- sion	exten- sion	Reference
<i>bla</i> TEM	ATCAGCAATAAACCA GC CCCCGAAGAACGTTTTC	516	94°C 5 min	94°C 30 sec	54°C40 sec.	72° C 40 sec	72°C 10 min	Colom <i>et</i> al., (2003)
aada1	TATCAGAGGTAGTT- GGCGTCAT GTTCCA- TAGCGTTAAGGTTTCATT	484	94°C 5 min	94°C 30 sec.	54°C40 sec.	72°C4 0 sec.	72°C 10 min	Randall <i>etal</i> . (2004)
Int1	CCTCCCGCACGATGATC TCCACGCATCGTCAGGC	280	94°C 5 min.	94°C 30 sec.	54°C40 sec.	72°C4 0 sec.	72°C 10 min	Kashif <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , (2013)

Table (1). Primers sequences, target genes, amplicon sizes and cycling conditions

Results

The overall prevalence of *E. coli* and *Salmo-nella* isolates in the total examined 100 diarrheic chicks samples were 24 isolates (24%), and 13 isolates (13%) respectively.

With regard to serotyping of E. *coli* isolates, the most predominant serotype was O158(5 isolates), followed by O78, O55:H7 and

O91:H21 (3 isolates), on the other hand, the most predominant Serotype of *Salmonella* isolates was *S. Enteritidis* (5) isolates, *S. Typhimurium* and *S. Kentukey* (3) isolates as shown in tables (3) & (4)

 Table (2). Serotyping of E. coli isolates recovered from different examined samples

Number of strains (n=24)	Serodiagnosis	Percentages %			
5	O158	20.8%			
3	O78	12.5%			
3	O55:H7	12.5%			
3	O91:H21	12.5%			
2	O26 : H11,	8.33%			
2	O86	8.33%			
2	O142	8.33%			
2	O128:H2	8.33%			
1	O111 : H4	4.2%			
1	O2:H6	4.2%			

Number of strains (n=13)	Serodiagnosis	Percentages %			
5	S. Enteritidis	38.5%			
3	S. Typhimurium	23.1%			
3	S. Kentukey	23.1%			
1	S. Molade	7.6%			
1	S. Larochelle	7.6%			

Table (3). Serotyping of Salmonella isolates recovered from different examined samples

Antibiotic resistance patterns of E. coli and Salmonella spp:

The results showed a higher resistance rate of *E.coli* isolates to ampicillin and Cefoxitin (100%), followed by Amoxicillin, Neomycin and Tetracycline (95.8%), while the isolates were highly sensitive for Colistin, Ciprofloxacin (4.2%). *Salmonella* spp. were resistant to Ampicillin and Neomycin with 100%, followed by Cefadroxil and Amoxicillin (92.3%),

respectively while it was sensitive for Ciprofloxacin and Colistin with 7.6% and 8% respectively as shown in table (4) . The study recorded multidrug resistant index (MDR) among the isolated bacteria at least for 3 chemotherapeutic agents as **0.605** in *E. coli*, **0.573** in *Salmonella spp*.

more than (0.2).

	<i>E. coli</i> (24)				Salmonella spp.(13)				
Antibiotic discs	Sensitive %n		Resistant %n		Sensitive %n		Resistant %n		
Amoxicillin(25µg)	1	4.2	23	95.8	1	7.6	12	92.4	
Ampicillin (10 μg)	0	0	24	100	0	0	13	100	
Cefoxitin (30 µg)	0	0	24	100	2	15.4	11	84.6	
Cefadroxil (30 µg)	2	8.4	22	91.6	1	7.6	12	92.4	
Ciprofloxacin(5 µg)	23	95.9	1	4.1	12	92.4	1	7.6	
Enrofloxacin (10 µg)	22	91.7	2	8.3	11	85	2	15	
Neomycin (30 µg)	1	4.2	23	95.8	0	0	13	100	
Streptomycin(10 µg)	21	87.5	3	12.5	10	77	3	23	
Gentamycin (10 µg)	20	83.4	4	16.6	11	85	2	15	
Sulfamethoxazol25 µg	5	21	19	79	3	23	10	77	
Tetracycline(30µg)	1	4.2	23	95.8	4	30	9	70	
Florphenicol (15 µg)	4	16.7	20	83.3	5	39	8	61	
Colistin (25 µg)	23	95.8	1	4.2	12	92.4	1	7.6	
MDR Index	0.605			0.573					

 Table (4). In vitro antibiotic resistance pattern

PCR results of detecting antimicrobial resistant genes *blaTEM*, *aada1* and class 1 integron (*int1*) gene

In this approach *bla*TEM gene and *aada1* were detected in100% of all representative isolates(5 isolates of each bacterial strain), of *E. Coli* and *Salmonella* isolates giving characteristic bands at 516 bp and 484 bp as shown in fig.(1,2).

The multi-drug resistant *E.coli and Salmonella spp.* isolates were screened for the presence of genes related to class 1 integron *(int1)*. It was found in all tested *E. coli* isolates, resulting in 280 bp amplicons as showed in figure (3) on the other hand, in *Salmonella spp.* class 1 integron*(Int1)* gene was detected in one isolate of the examined 5 isolates.



Figure (1). Agarose gel electrophoresis of products obtained by PCR for *E. coli* strains to detect gene *bla*TEM): lane no 1, 3, 4, 6, 9 in *E. coli* strains and lane no 1, 2, 3, 5, 8 in *Salmonella* were positive at 516 bp. Fragment Pos.: positive control Neg: negative control. (L):100bp DNA ladder



Figure (2). Agarose gel electrophoresis of products obtained by PCR for *E. coli* and *Salmonella* strains to detect gene (*aada1*), lane 1, 3, 4, 6, 9 in *E. coli* strains and lane 1, 2, 3, 5, 8 in *Salmonella* were positive at 484 bp. fragment. Pos.: positive control Neg.: negative control. (L):100bp DNA ladder



Figure (3). Agarose gel electrophoresis of products obtained by PCR for *E. coli* and *Salmonella* strains to detect integron gene (*Int1*) lane no. 1, 3, 4, 6, 9 in *E. Coli* strains and lane no. 1 in *Salmonella* were positive at 280 bp fragment and lane no. 2, 3, 6, 8 in *Salmonella* were negative.

Discussions

The results of *E. coli* isolation and identification from diseased field samples revealed that 24 samples (24%) were positive. Our results agree with **Hussain** *et al.*, (2013) found that the prevalence of *E. coli* was 30.4 % in broilers. Nearly Similar isolation rate was detected by **El Gaber and El-Gohary (1995)** who recovered *E. coli* from 59% of septicemic broilers chickens.

With regard to serotyping, it showed the predominance of *E. coli* serotypes were O158 (5isolates), followed by and O78, O55:H7 and O91:H21 (3 isolates), O26 : H11, O86, O142 and O128:H2 (2 isolates), O111 : H4 and O2:H6 (1 isolate) *.E. coli* serotypes isolated from broiler farm in Egypt were also reported by **Mohamed** *et al.*, (2018) and Yousef *et al.*, (2013).

Regarding to the sensitivity pattern of each isolate, all *E. coli* isolates were resistant to Ampicillin and Cefoxitin 100%, Amoxicillin, tetracycline and Neomycin 95%, sulfamethoxazole (79%), while The effective drugs were ciprofloxacin and colistin where 4.2% of the isolates were resistant. On other hand, **Xia** *et al.*, (2009) observed that avian *E.coli* isolates were resistant to enrofloxacin 99%, ciprofloxa-

cin 100%, norfloxacin 100%, amoxicillin/ clavulanic acid 87.4%, ampicillin 99.5%, gentamicin 97%. Likewise, Suthathip et al., (2016) reported that antibiotics resistant E.coli isolates were resistant to ampicillin, chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, streptomycin, sulfamethoxazole, tetracycline, trimethoprim, cefotaxime, cefpodoxime and ceftazidime were 91%, 14.8%, 5%, 58.4%, 40.9%, 63.4%, 45.7%, 76.1%, 11%, 10% and 9%, respectively. Also, Alieda et al., (2007) recorded that Most of the E. coli isolates selected were MDR and resistant to sulfamethoxazole (94%), trimethoprim (81%), tetracycline (78%) and amoxicillin (77%). 30% to chloramphenicol, 15% to neomycin, 12% to cefotaxime and 5% to gentamicin.

The development of antibiotic resistance in *E. coli* isolates from poultry is a well-known phenomenon (**Zhao** *et al.*, **2005**).

Only one *E.coli* isolate was resistant to all tested antibiotics with a MDR index value 1.Also, one isolate was resistant to 2 antibiotics with MDR index value <0.2 so, out of 24 *E.coli* isolates 23 (95%) were resistant to three or more antibiotics. The MDR index analysis showed 95% of *E. coli* isolates had MDR index value of **0.605**> 0.2 and 5% had MDR index value of 0.153 which less than ≤ 0.2 . The results indicate that all isolates harbor one or more of antibiotic resistance genes. These results agree with Suthathip et al., (2016) reported that 98% of the E.coli isolates were resistant to at least one antimicrobial agent and 77.4% were MDR. Yet likewise, Radu et al. (2001) who illustrated that all the E.coli isolated from broilers chickens were found to be resistant to three or more of the antimicrobial agents. Nearly similar to Momtaz et al., (2012) mentioned that Multi-resistance which was defined as resistance to three or more tested agents was found in 64.91% of E. coli strains. Thereby, most E.coli isolates recovered from healthy as well as from sick chicken were resistant to multiple classes of antimicrobials, (Dakic et al., 2011).

On the other hand, **Mohamed** *et al.*, (2018) found that *E. coli* isolated from broilers chicken were pathogenic and MDR responsible gene was detected for 6 antibiotics in most of the isolates, but some do not show gene expression, this may be due to few numbers of resistance genes tested or other resistance factors not included in this study.

MDR index value greater than 0.2 indicates high-risk sources of contamination, where several antibiotics may often use for the control of diseases, extensive use of antibiotics in chicken production systems for non-therapeutic purposes such as growth promotion resulted in the resistance of bacteria to these antimicrobial agents. (Cogliani *et al.*, 2011).

β-Lactams are among the most clinically important antibiotics in both human and veterinary medicine, and yet resistance to this class of antibiotics is increasing at an alarming rate Li et al., (2007). The mechanism of action of β lactams, e.g (Ampicillin and Cefoxitin) is to disrupt bacterial cell wall synthesis by linking covalently to enzymes, i.e penicillin-binding proteins which conferring resistance to ßlactamase. In our study the *bla*TEM gene was detected in all multi-drug resistant E.coli isolates (100%). The same rate of *blaTEM* gene (100%) was detected by Hala et al., (2018) and similarly agree with Jiang et al., (2011) detected *blaTEM* gene among 88.9% of avian E.coli strains. Also by disc diffusion resistance

to β -Lactam group was 100% in Ampicillin and cefadroxil, 95.8% in Amoxicillin and 91.6% in Cefadroxil. likewise, Zhao et al., (2005) who recorded that the most common resistance gene detected was *blaTEM* present in 98.2% of *E.coli* isolates. Also, Colom et al., (2003) who detected *blaTEM* gene in 45 out of 51 Amoxicillin-clavulanate were resistant E. coli isolates with 88.2%. As well as, the high blaTEM gene prevalence was recorded by Brinas et al., (2002) who detected blaTEM gene in 83% of 124 Ampicillin resistant E. coli isolates. Moreover, *blaTEM* gene was detected in 73% Ampicillin resistance avian E.coli isolates, (Asheraf et al., 2015). Where also wer rate of *blaTEM* gene in amoxicillin resistant E, coli(43%) was recorded by Moussa et al., (2007) Thereby, Momtaz et al., (2012) who recorded that all E. coli isolates were susceptible to cephalothin, and ampicillin and No bla genes known to be associated with resistance tocephalothin and ampicillin were detected (blaSHV & blaCMY). In addition, Ying et al., (2008) who found that 97% of the AMP-E.coli resistance mechanism could be explained by the resistance gene *blaTEM*;

Aminoglycoside antibiotics (gentamycin) target the ribosome to inhibit protein translation. In the present study the gene conferring resistance to aminoglycoside adenyltransferase (aadA1) was detected in 100% of the E.coli (5isolates). Similar result recorded by Hala et al., (2018) found that (93%) of *E.coli* isolated from broilers chicken were positive for aadA1 gene. But lower rate of detection of aadA1 gene (17%) was recorded by Yith et al., (2017). Similarly, Alieda et al., (2007) recorded that *aadA1 gene* was most frequently associated with 76% of MDR E.coli harbored class lintegrons. likewise, Asheraf et al., (2015) mentioned that aad (gentamicin resistance gene) was present in 26% in Gentamycin resistant E.coli. On the other hand, By disc diffusion (23%) of *E.coli* isolates were resistance to Streptomycin whereas 100% of the isolates carried *aadA1* gene, nearly similar to Moussa et al., (2007) recorded that aadA1 gene was detected in (58.9%) streptomycin. -resistant E.Coli isolates. Moreover Mohamed et al., (2018) detected *aadA1* gene in45% of Streptomycin resistance E. coli isolates. Also, they added that only 15% of tested MDR E.coli isolates showed a relationship between phenotype and genotype, whereas17 showed irregular relation including aminoglycoside gene (aadA) gene. Thereby, Hala et al., (2018) recorded that phenotype is not compatible with genotype in some isolates. Also Presence of gene is not necessary for showing resistance but resistance can occur where no gene for resistance can be detected. Moreover the gene may not be activated. As well, (aadA1) genes that encode resistance the remaining amino glycosideresistant isolates, in which none of the other six genes was detected, suggests the presence of different aminoglycoside resistance determinants, Dakic et al., (2011).

The presence of the *class 1 integron (int1)* gene in E. coli indicates a potential for lateral antibiotic resistance gene transfer between this bacterium and other chicken gut bacteria. These bacteria have the potential to spread in the environment through the litter (Nandi et al., 2004),

Multi drug -resistant E.coli isolates were screened for the presence of genes related to the class 1 integron (int1) gene in order to investigate the distribution of this resistance disseminating element. class 1 integron (int1) gene was found in (100%) of the MDR E. coli (5 isolates) in our study. The presence of integrons in enteric bacteria from poultry has been previously recorded by Alieda et al., (2007) as class 1 integron (int1) gene were found in 76% of the MDR E. coli. Also Zhao et al., (2005) detected class I integrons in (30.9%) of *E.coli* strains. In addition Sumalee (2008) recorded that class lintegrons was detected in 70.3% and 44.6% of E.coli isolated from broilers chicken in Thailand and the US, respectively. As well as, Moussa et al., (2007) who found *class lintegron* in 39.4% of the MDR E. coli isolates. However, all integronbearing isolates were multi resistant to antibiotics, (aadA1) resistance genes were found in100% of the integron-positive isolates whereas The β -lactamase gene resistance was found in 78% of the isolates.

The presence of *class 1 integron (int1)gene* was shown in 42.5% of the *E.coli* isolates . Also association between multidrug resistance

and the presence of integrons was significant, (Dakic *et al.*, 2011). Whereas, Suthathip *et al.*, (2016) recorded that *class 1 integron* (*int1*) gene in *E.coli* isolated from broiler were limited and non-*class 1 integron* borne resistance determinants are responsible for resistance phenotype in the majority of MDR *E. coli*.

On the contrary with Okamoto et al., (2009) recorded that E.coli isolates were shown to be sensitive to all the tested antimicrobials and negative for the presence of the antimicrobial resistance gene and *class 1 integron (int1)* gene. Wherefore, among 15 integron-negative isolates, 9 isolates were multidrug resistant while 30 out of 32 integron-positive strains (93.7%) displayed multidrug resistance, (Dakic *et al.*, 2011). These results lead to Suthathip et al., (2016) demonstrated the high contamination rate of MDR E. coli in broiler carcasses confirmed the role of commensal E. coli as carriers of class 1 integron (int1)gene genes encoding broad-spectrum Band lactamase (ESBL) that have the potential for horizontal transfer.

In our study, concerning salmonella isolates the samples of examined diarrheic cases of broiler chicks revealed 13 % isolation rate of *Salmonella spp*, nearly the same rate of isolation from broilers chicken recorded by **Orji** et al., (2005). But higher rate of *Salmonella* isolation (62.0%) was detected by **Mohamed and Suelam (2010)** from chicken fecal samples in Egypt. Also, **El-Sharkawy** et al., (2017) isolated *Salmonella spp* at the rate (41.0%) from chicken flock. Variation in the result may be due to samples, volume, hygienic measures in the farms or general health flocks.

Antibiotic disc diffusion revealed that $12\13$ of isolated *Salmonella* exhibit extremely high rate of multiple drug resistance (MDR) indexed to all antibiotics used (0.573>0.2).

Regarding to the sensitivity pattern of each isolate 12 *Salmonella* serovar were multidrug resistant exhibiting resistance to three or more agents of different antibiotic classes.one isolate was resisted to all 13 antimicrobial with MDR value 1>0.2. These result came in accordance with Alieda *et al.*, (2007) found *Sal-monella* isolates were resistant to sulfamethoxazole (99%), tetracycline (72%), amoxicillin (61%), or trimethoprim (52%). In contrast 20% were resistant to chloramphenicol, 7% to neo-mycin and 5% to gentamicin.

In our study (blaTEM) gene was present in 100% of MDR Salmonella(5 isolates), the same result was detected by Chen et al., (2009). Nearly similar rate (83.3%) was detected by Sahar et al., (2018), while Dilruba et al. (2014) recorded a lower rate (80%) of β lactamase genes. Likewise, 94.6% of betalactam-resistant Salmonella isolates harbored at least one resistance gene of bla_{TEM} or bla_{CTX} . M. (Zhu et al., 2017). Also, Hanem et al., (2017) demonstrated 65.5% of Salmonella isolates were harbored Ampicillin (blaTEM) gene whereas class 1 integron gene were detected in 3 Salmonella isolates only. Moreover, most serotypes of the genus Salmonella isolates obtained from clinical cases were resistant to various antimicrobials and carry class 1 integron, involved in antimicrobial multi resistance (Vazquez et al., 2005).

In our study, PCR screening of MDR Salmonella isolates detected class 1 integron in 20% of the isolates (one isolate). The same result detected by Dilruba et al. (2014). Nearly similar to Belgin et al., (2015) detected class 1 integron in 15.47% of Salmonella strains isolated from broilers .Also, Yujuan and Ling (2009) in China who detected integron class 1 in 13.0% of antibiotic resistant Salmonella spp. But lower rate of Class-I integrons (6.1%) in Salmonella isolates was detected by Tagelsir et al., (2014). Whereas Vinicius et al., (2011) detected higher rate (45%) of class 1 integrin in Salmonella strains isolated from broilers. As well Sumalee (2008) mentioned that class lintegrons were detected in 48.1 and 0.5% of Salmonella spp. isolates from Thailand and the US, respectively. In PCR screening of MDR Salmonella isolates Sahar et al., (2018) detected class lintegron in (83.3%) of MDR Salmonella isolates. In addition Alieda et al., (2007) demonstrated the importance of integrons for the occurrence and transmission of multidrug resistance.

On the contrary Okamoto et al., (2009) recorded that the gene for resistance to antimicrobials class 1 integron (intl) gene was not observed in any of the 100 MDR Salmonella spp. thereby, presence of gene is not necessary for showing resistance but, the gene may not be activated as well depending on another pathway for resistance. Moreover, (Mathai et al., 2004, Abdallah et al., 2014) showed that a large number of Salmonella isolates did not produce the *int1* gene as it was not detected by PCR. Thereby, presence of other sequence of the enzyme that can be detected by other primer sequence. In our study, 12\13 (92.3%) of Salmonella isolates were multi drug resistant whereas 20% of these isolates harbored class 1 integron, there fore, no correlation with the presence of class 1 integron and antimicrobial resistance.

The existence of *aadA* gene which confers aminoglycosides resistance, was confirmed in 100% of MDR Salmonella isolates (5 isolates), whereas class 1 integron(int1) gene was detected in 20% of the isolates. The lower rate (41.7%) of aadA gene in MDR Salmonella isolates also reported in Egypt by Sahar et al., The relatively low prevalence (2018). of aminoglycoside resistance genes was found in 66.2% of antibiotic-resistant Salmonella isolates whereas Class 1 integron was detected in 37.4% of the MDR Salmonella isolates (Zhu et al., 2017), in addition Karen et al., (2018) detected only 17.72% of streptomycin-resistant Salmonella had *aadA1*, indicating that another antimicrobial resistance gene (s) were responsible for streptomycin resistance. Moreover, Hanem et al., (2017) mentioned that all of the Salmonella isolates were sensitive to the streptomycin (100%) despite the presence of streptomycin modifying gene (aadA1) in 50% of the isolates. Ma et al., (2007) suggested that some of the antimicrobial resistance genes are silent in bacteria in-vitro however, these silent genes can spread to other bacteria or turn on in -vivo, especially under antimicrobial pressure. In addition, Tagelsir et al., (2014) revealed that the class-I integrons were identical to each other and contained aminoglycoside adenyltransferase (aadA1) genes that encode resistance to trimethoprim, streptomycin.

Conclusion

The higher incidence of multidrug resistant *E.coli* and *Salmonella were* harboring resistance genes in this study constituting a devastating problem for poultry industry and poultry consumers. This resistance maybe occur due to use of nontherapeutic antimicrobial growth promotions as feed additives for poultry , unregulated use of antibiotics, usage incomplete therapy. so we in critical need for the regulation of antimicrobial drug usage in poultry production and continuous monitoring of antibiotic resistance for poultry industry and poultry consumers safety.

References

- Abdallah, K. Hindi; Salman, Aziz Addos and Ebtehal, E. Ahmed (2014). Molecular Study on Multidrug Resistant of *Salmonella Enterica* Isolated from patient with Enteric Fever in Najaf - Province/Iraq. Int. Res. J. Medical Sci., Vol. 2(7), 12-16.
- Alieda, van Essen-Zandbergen, Hilde, Smith, Kees-Veldman and DikMevius. (2007). Occurrence and characteristics of class 1, 2 and 3 integrons in Escherichia coli, Salmonella and Campylobacter spp.in the Netherlands. Article *in* Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy.
- Arestrup, F.M.; Seyfarth, A.M.; Emborg, H.N.; Pedersen, K.; Hendriksen, R.S. and Bager, F. (2001). Effect of abolishment of the use of antimicrobial resistance in fecal Enterococci from food animals in Denmark. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.45, 2054 -2059.
- Ashraf, A. Abd El Tawab; Ahmed, M. Ammar; Soad, A. Nasef and Reem, M. Reda (2015). Antibacterial resistance and resistance gene detriments of *E.coli* isolated from chicken. Benha Vet. Med. J, Vol. 28, No. 2: 231-240, 2015
- Belgin, S.; Haldun, T.; Tuba, Y.; Belma, D. and Irfan, E. (2015). Prevalence and characterization of Salmonella isolated from chicken meat in Turkey. J. Food. Sci. 80, 1044–1050.

- **Briñas, I.; Zarazaga, M.; Sãenz, Y.; Ruiz-Larrea, F. and Torres, C. (2002).** β-Lactamases in Ampicillin-Resistant Escherichia coli Isolates from Foods, Humans, and Healthy Animals. Antimicrobial Agent and Chemotherapy, p. 3156–3163. Vol. 46, No. 10.
- Chandran, A.; A.A.M. Hatha; S. Varghese and K.M. Sheeja (2008). Prevalence of multidrug resistant E. coli serotypes in a Tropical Estuary, India. Microbes Environ. 23(2): 153-158.
- Chen, J.; Su, Z. and Liu, Y. (2009). Identification and characterization of class 1 integrons among *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates from patients in Zhenjiang, China. Int J Infect Dis 2009; 13(6): 717-21.
- Colom, K.; Pèrez, J.; Alonso, R.; Fernández -Aranguiz, A.; Lariňo, E. and Cisterna, R. (2003). Simple and reliable multiplex PCR assay for detection of blaTEM, blaSHV and blaOXA-1 genes in Enterobacteriaceae. FEMS Microbiology Letters 223 (2003) 147-151.
- Clinical and Laboratory standards Institute (CLSI) (2015). Antimicrobial susceptibility testing update. Clinical and Laboratory standards Institute. February. 2, 2015.
- Cogliani, C.; Goossens, H. and Greko, C. (2011). 'Restricting antimicrobial use in food animals: Lessons from Europe', *Microbes* 6, 274-279.
- DakicIvana, Petrikkos G., Dimitrijvi and CharvalosEkatherin (2011). Multidrug Resistance and Integrons in *Escherichia Coli* Isolated From Chicken in Greece. UDK 615. 014.4.8: 636.5: 579.84.
- **Dilruba, M.; Abulftiaf, U.; Salah, U.; Syed; W. Habiba; M. Razib; N. Kamrun and H. Anowar (2014).** Emergence of blaTEM type extended-spectrum β-lactamase producing *Salmonella* spp. in the Urban Area of Bangladesh ISRN Microbiology., 2014 (2014), pp. 1-3.

- El-Gaber, G.A. and El-Gohary, A.A. (1995). Studies on pyocin typing, dermonecrosis and pathogenicity of *Escherichia coli* recovered from septicaemic broiler chick-ens. *Vet. Med. J.*, 43(2): 213-218.
- El-Sharkawy, H.; Tahoun, A.; El-Gohary, A.A.; El-Abasy, M.; El-Khayat, F.; Gillespie, T.; and Kitade, Y. (2017). Epidemiological, molecular characterization and antibiotic resistance of Salmonella entericaserovars isolated from chicken farms in Egypt. Gut. Pathog. 9, 8.
- Finegold and Martin, (1982). Diagnostic Microbiology. Bailey and Scott's. 6 Ed. The C.V. Mosby Company. St. Louis, Toronto, London.
- Fluit, A.C. and Schmitz, F.J. (2004). Resistance integrons and super-integrons. *Clin. Microbiol Infect* 2004; 10: 272-88.
- Goldstein, C.; Lee, M.D.; Sanchez, S.; Hudson, C.; Phillips, B.; Register, B.; Grady, M.; Liebert, C.; Summers, A.O.; White, D.G. and Maurer, J.J. (2001). Incidence of class 1 and class 2 integrases in clinical and commensal bacteria from livestock, companion animals and exotics. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 45: 723-726.
- Hanem, El-Sharkawy; Amin, Tahoun; Abd El-Galiel, A. El-Gohary; Moshira, El-Abasy; Fares, El-Khayat; Trudi, Gillespie; Yukio, Kitade; Hafez, M. Hafez; Heinrich, Neubauer and Hosny, El-Adawy (2017). Epidemiological, molecular characterization and antibiotic resistance of Salmonella entericaserovars isolated from chicken farms in Egypt. Gut Pathog: 9: 8.
- Hala, A. Abdellatif; Samy, A. Khalil and Abd-el-halim Hegazy (2018). Molecular Study on Bacterial Resistance to Antibiotic with Special Reference to Plasmid Curing. AJVS. Vol. 58 (1): 109-119. July 2018
- Hussain, H.; Al-Harrasi, A.; Al-Rawahi, A. and Hussain, J. (2013). Chemistry and biology of essential oils of genus boswellia.

Evid Based Complement Alternat. Med., 2013: 140509.

- Hsu, S.C.; Chiu, T.H.; Pang, J.C.; Hsuan-Yuan, C.H.; Chang, G.N. and Tsen, H.Y. (2006). Characterization of antimicrobial resistance patterns and class 1 integrons among *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica* serovar Choleraesuis strains isolated from humans and swine in Taiwan. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents*, 27: 383-391.
- Jiang, H.; Lü, D.; Chen, Z.; Wang, X.; Chen, J.; Liu, Y.; Liao, X.; Liu, J. and Zeng, Z. (2011). High prevalence and widespread distribution of multi-resistant Escherichia coli isolates in pigs and poultry in. China. Vet. J., 187: 99–103.
- Kashif, J.; Buriro, R.; Memon, J.; Yaqoob, M.; Soomro, J.; Dongxue, D.; Jinhu, H. and Liping, W. (2013). Detection of Class 1 and 2 Integrons, β-Lactamase Genes and Molecular Characterization of Sulfonamide Resistance in Escherichia coli Isolates Recovered from Poultry in China. Pak Vet J, 2013, 33(3): 321-324.
- Karen, A. Liljebjelke; Charles, L. Hofacre; David, G. White; Sherry, Ayers; Margie, D. Lee and John, J. Maurer (2018). Diversity of Antimicrobial Resistance Phenotypes in Salmonella Isolated from Commercial Poultry Farms. Frontiers in Veterinary Science. 4: 96.
- Kauffman, G. (1974). Kauffman White Scheme. Microbiol. Sci. 61: 385 J. Acta. Path.
- Kok, T.; Worswich, D. and Gowans, E. (1996). Some serological techniques for microbial and viral infections. In practical Medical Microbiology (Collee, J., Fraser, A., Marmion, B. and Simmons, A., eds), 14 Ther., Edinburgh, Churchill Livingstone, UK.
- Leverstein-van Hall, M.A.; Blok, H.E.M. and Donders, A.R.T. (2003). Multidrug resistance among Enterobacteriaceae is strongly associated with the presence of in-

pp. 133-146

tegrons and is independent of species or isolate origin. J Infect Dis 2003; 187: 251–9.

- Li, X.Z.; M. Mehrotra; S. Ghimire, and L. Adewoye. (2007). β -lactam resistance and β -lactamases in bacteria of animal origin. Vet. Microbiol. 121: 197–214.
- Mathai, E.; Grape, M. and Kronvall, G. (2004). Integrons and multidrug resistance among *Escherichia coli* causing community -acquired urinary tract infection in southern .India, *APMIS*, 112, 159-64.
- Ma, M.; Wang, H.; Yu, Y.; Zhang, D. and Liu, S. (2007). Detection of antimicrobial resistance genes of pathogenic Salmonella from swine with DNA microarray. J Vet Diagn Investig.; 19: 161–7. 32.
- Mohamed, M. Amer; Hoda, M. Mekky; Aziza, M. Amer and Hanaa, S. Fedawy (2018). Antimicrobial resistance genes in pathogenic *Escherichia coli* isolated from diseased broiler chickens in Egypt and their relationship with the phenotypic resistance characteristics. Veterinary World, vol. 11: 2231-0916.
- Mohamed, M.E.M. and Suelam, I.A. (2010). Isolation of non-typhoid Salmonella from humans and camels with reference to its survival in abattoir effluents. Glob. Vet. 5 (6), 356–361.
- Momtaz, H.E. Rahimi, S. and Moshkelani (2012). Molecular detection of antimicrobial resistance genes in *E. coli* isolated from slaughtered commercial chickens in Iran. Veterinarni Medicina, 57, 2012 (4): 193–19.
- Moussa, S. Diarra; Fred, G. Silversides; Fatoumata, Diarrassouba; Jane, Pritchard; Luke, Masson; Roland, Brousseau; Claudie, Bonnet; Pascal, Delaquis; Susan, Bach; Brent, J. Skura and Edward, Topp (2007). Impact of Feed Supplementation with Antimicrobial Agents on Growth Performance of Broiler Chickens, Clostridium perfringens and Enterococcus Counts, and Antibiotic Resistance Phenotypes and Distribution of Antimicrobial Re-

sistance Determinants in Escherichia coli Isolates. APPLIED AND ENVIRONMEN-TAL MIC. J, p. 6566–6576

- Nandi, S.; J.J. Maurer; C. Hofacre and A.O. Summers (2004). Gram positive bacteria are a major reservoir of class 1 antibiotic resistance integrons in poultry litter. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101:7118–7122.
- Okamoto, A.S.; Andreatti, Filho R.L.; Rocha, T.S.; Menconi, A. and Marietto-Gonçalves, G.A. (2009); Detection and Transfer of Antimicrobial Resistance Gene Integron in Salmonella Enteritidis Derived from Avian Material. Brazilian J. of Pout. Sci. v.11 / n.3 / 195 - 201.
- Orji, M.U.; Onuigbo, H.C. and Mbata, T.I., (2005). Isolation of Salmonella from poultry droppings and other environmental sources in Awka. Nigeria. Int. J Infect. Dis. 9 (2), 86 –89.
- **Piddock, L.J. (1996).** Does the use of antimicrobial agents in veterinary medicine and animal husbandry select antibiotic-resistant bacteria that infect man and compromise antimicrobial chemotherapy? Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 38, 1–3.
- Quinn, J.P.; Carter, M.E.; Markey, B.K. and Carter, G.R. (2002). Clinical Veterinary Microiology.3rd ed. Baillere, Tindall, London.
- Radu, S.; Ling, O.W.; Rusul, G.; Abdul Karim, M.I. and Nishibuchi, M. (2001). Detection of Escherichia coli O157:H7 by multiplex PCR and their characterization by plasmid profiling, antimicrobial resistance, RAPD and PFGE analyses. J. Microbiol. Methods, 46: 131–139.
- Randall, L.P.; Cooles, S.W.; Osborn, M.K.; Piddock, L.J.V. and Woodward, M.J. (2004). Antibiotic resistance genes, integrons and multipleantibiotic resistance in thirty-five serotypes of Salmonella entericaisolated from humans and animals in the UK. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy.53, 208–216.

- Sahar, A. Abdel Aziz; Gihan, K. Abdel-Latef; Salama, A.S. Shany and Sherin, R. Rouby (2018). Molecular detection of integron and antimicrobial resistance genes in multidrug resistant Salmonella isolated from poultry, calves and human in Beni-Suef governorate, Egypt. J. of Basic and Applied Sci.6, 1-8.
- Sumalee Liamthong (2008). Prevalence of Class 1 Integrons and Antibiotic Resistance Patterns in Bacteria of Swine and Chicken in the US and Thailand. PhD diss., University of Tennessee, Knoxville.
- Suthathip Trongjit; Sunpetch Angkittitrakul and Rungtip Chuanchuen (2016). Occurrence and molecular characteristics of antimicrobial resistance of Escherichia coli from broilers, pigs and meat products in Thailand and Cambodia provinces. MicrobiolImmunol; 60: 575–585.
- Tagelsir Mohamed; Shaohua Zhao; David G. White and Salina Parveen (2014). Molecular characterization of antibiotic resistant *Salmonella* Typhimurium and *Salmonella* Kentucky isolated from pre- and postchill whole broilers carcasses. Food Microbiology 38: 6-15.
- Vazquez-Navarrete, J.; C´rdoba, B.C.; L´pez, V.Y. and Mancera, M.A. (2005). Identificacion Del gene da la integrasa Tipo I y perfil da resistên ciaanti microbianaem Salmonella enteritidis. Cuajimalpa [cited 2005nov 24]. Available from: www.vetuy.com/articulos/artic_micro/001/ micro001.htm.
- Vinicius, B. Ribeir;, Nilton, Lincopan; Mariza, Landgraf; Bernadete, D.G.M. Franco and Maria, T. Destro (2011). Characterization Of Class 1 Integrons and Antibiotics Resistance Genes In Multidrug resistant Salmonella Enterica Isolates From Foodstuff and Related Sources. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology (2011) 42: 685-692.
- Xia, L.; Li, L.; Wu, C.; Liu, Y.; Tao, X.; Dai, L.; Qi, Y.; Lu, L. and Shen, J. (2009). Asurvey of plasmid mediated fluoroquino-

lone resistance genes from *Escherichia coli* isolates and their dissemination in Shandong, China. Foodborne Path. Dis. 207-215.

- Yousef, S.A.; Ammar, A.M. and Ahmed, D.A. (2013). Serological and molecular typing of avian pathogenic *E. coli* originating from outbreaks of colibacillosis in chicken flocks. *Internat. J. Sci. Res.*, 4(2): 2082-2088.
- Yith, V.; Kruy, S.I.; Heng, S.; Alexandra, K. and Awa, A.K. (2017). Antibiotic susceptibility and molecular characterization of resistance genes among E.coli and among Salmonella subsp. in chicken food chains. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine 7(7): 670-674.
- Ying, H.J.; Chen, S.J.; Chang, H.; Dong, L.; Yang, M. and Kamagata, Y. (2008). Phenotyping and genotyping of antibi-oticresistant *Escherichia coli* isolated from a natural river basin. Environ. Sci. Technol., 42(9): 3415-3420.
- Yujuan, J. and Ling, J.M. (2009). Prevalence of integrons in antibiotic-resistant Salmonella spp. in Hong Kong Jpn. J. Infect. Dis. 62, 432–439.
- Zhao, S.; J.J. Maurer; S. Hubert; J.F. De Villena,; P.F. McDermott; J. Meng; S. Ayers; L. English and D.G. White (2005). Antimicrobial susceptibility and molecular characterization of avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli* isolates. Vet. Microbiol. 107: 215 -224.
- Zhu, Haimei Lai' Likou Zou; Sheng, Yin; Chengtao, Wang; Xinfeng, Han; Xiaolong, Xia; Kaidi, HuLi HKang Zhou; Shujuan, Chen; and Shuliang, Liu (2017). Antimicrobial resistance and resistance genes in *Salmonella* strains isolated from broiler chickens along the slaughtering process in China. INT. J. of food Microbiology.259, 16. 43-51.