ISSN: 2356-7767

Antibiotic resistant Enterococcus species isolation and characterization in poultry complemented by an investigation of their virulence genes and disease occurrenc

Ahmed, Shabaan; Mai, M. Morsy; Shaimaa, H. Shaltot and Amera, F. Ebrahem

Reference laboratory for veterinary quality control on poultry production (RLQP), Animal Health Research Institute (AHRI), Agriculture Research Center (ARC), Egypt.

Review Article

Corresponding author: Ahmed Shabaan ahmedshabaansaad@gmail.com

Received in 8/11/2024 Accepted in 4/12/2024

Abstract

Enterococci are Gram-positive cocci that can tolerate anaerobic conditions and do not produce spores. They can grow at 45 °C and hydrolyze aesculin in the presence of 40% bile and 6.5% sodium chloride. Some strains may be motile. The ideal growth temperature is 35-37°C, but some can grow at 45°C and 10°C. Enterococci are resistant to drying, lack oxidase activity, and ferment carbohydrates. They are leucine aminopeptidase (LAPase) and PYR positive. They can thrive in harsh environments and can be found throughout the body. Enterococcus, a genus of intestinal commensals, is related to the other streptococci but classified separately. The presence of antibiotic-resistant strains is still a global health concern. The primary Enterococcus species linked to poultry infections causing economic loss include E. cecorum, E. faecalis, and E. faecium. The most common diseases associated with these cases were locomotor abnormalities (mostly involving E. cecorum), septicaemia (including *E. cecorum* and *E. faecalis*), and omphalitis (primarily involving E. faecalis). Enterococcus strains with virulence factors can cause more severe infections than strains without them. Factors that influence enterococcal virulence include enterococcal surface protein (esp), gelatinase (gelE), hyaluronidase (hyl), ace, aggregation substance (agg), cytolysin (cylA, cylL), and biofilm formation. Enterococcus-associated diseases (EADs) on poultry farms in Egypt are limited, but a wide variety of enterococcal species, rising in prevalence, antibiotic resistance, virulence factors, and associated diseases affecting poultry production have been described worldwide.

Keywords: Enterococci, antibiotic, resistant, virulence factors, E. cecorum, E. faecalis, and E. faecium.

Introduction

Enterococcus spp. are facultative anaerobic lactic acid bacteria that are Gram-positive, non -spore-forming, catalase-negative. **Comerlato** *et al.*, (2020). Enterococcus spp. are opportunistic pathogens that are commonly found in chickens digestive tract and can evolve into strains that can cause a variety of illnesses **Ribeiro** *et al.*, (2023). they can be present in the proventriculus, gizzard, and crop of the chicken (the proximal portions of the digestive tract). Videnska *et al.*, (2013). Enterococci are commensal gut bacteria that cause opportunistic infections in both humans and animals. Enterococci are prevalent in broiler accounting for 0.4% of all reported disorders, and are anticipated to climb to 12.9% by 2020. Souillard *et al.*, (2022). They are frequently employed as markers of fecal contamination and integrated hygiene standards for water and food products because they favor intestinal environments and are widely distributed, resilient, and simple to grow **Ramos** *et al.*, (2020). Although multiple researches have been unable to prove vertical transmission from breeder flock, the method of transfer is most likely a combination of vertical and horizontal transmission **Robbins** *et al.*, (2012).

On the other hand, antimicrobial-resistant bacteria can spread through the consumption of tainted animal source food, close animal contact, or environmental exposure Ribeiro et al., (2023). Enterococci are widely known for their probiotic potential in birds including poultry. However, the presence of antibiotic resistant strains of enterococci remains a global health concern Isichei-Ukah et al., (2024). Many studies indicated that young birds were already a source of multidrug-resistant bacteria in the post-hatching period Jimenez-Belenguer et al., (2016); Stępień-Pyśniak et al., (2016); Moreno et al., (2019). Stepie / n-Pysniak et al (2021) showed that about 80% of E. faecalis isolates considered as multidrugresistant.

The presence of virulence factors does not necessarily imply that the strains isolated from food cause diseases in humans, but they may have pathogenic potential because these factors have been shown to contribute to the severity of infection. Enterococcus strains with virulence factors induced a more serious infection than those without virulence factors. Chaje cka-Wierzchowska et al. (2017). Poultry were hosts to various Enterococcus species with virulence factors that can be transferred to other organisms and are also significant for causing nosocomial infection. Jung et al., (2017).

There is little information available regarding the epidemiology of *Enterococcus*-associated disease (EADs) on poultry farms in Egypt, despite the fact that a wide variety of enterococcal species, rising in prevalence, antibiotic resistance, virulence factors, and associated diseases impacting poultry production have been described worldwide. This review aims to provide insight on enterococcus in poultry farms.

1- Isolation and identification

Enterococcus spp are growing in 6.5% NaCl, 40% bile salts, and 0.1% methylene blue milk at pH 9.6. It grows at 10 and 45°C and resists 30 min at 60°C according to Schleifer and kilpper (1984).

Because of the prevalence of enterococci in various meals, feeds, clinical and environmental samples, a variety of media have been reported and proposed. Atypical media are often utilized, although they produce pale or slightly red colonies due to the presence of bile salt and crystal violet The Food and Drug Administration (2002). Typical media are Two complex cultural media are more commonly used: first, Kanamycin Aesculin Azide (KAA) agar, enterococcus appears as a black colony due to Esculin hydrolysis Mossel et al. (1978), and second, Slantez and Bartleys (SB) agar, enterococcus colony appears red or marron due to reduction of triphenyltetrazolim chloride, Slanetz and Bartley's (1957). biochemical identification of enterococcus according to (manero and blanch 1999). is, positive aesculine, positive pyrrolidinyl arylamides and catalase negative,

Prevalence of enterococcal species

The principal *Enterococcus* species linked to poultry infections include *E. cecorum, E. faecalis, and E. faecium* **Dolka** *et al.*, (2017). The principal diseases connected with these cases were locomotor abnormalities (mostly involving *E. cecorum*), septicaemia (including *E. cecorum and E. faecalis*), and omphalitis (primarily involving *E. faecalis*) **Souillard** *et al.*, (2022).

Less prevalent Enterococcus species have also been shown to cause bacterial diseases in chickens. Enterococcus hirae. Enterococcus durans, Enterococcus gallinarum, Enterococcus casseliflavus, and Enterococcus avium have previously been identified in chicken diagnostic cases Dolka et al., (2017). E. cecorum was originally identified as a poultry pathogen in 2002, including disease reports from Scotland Wood et al., (2002). E. faecalis and E. faecium emerged for the first time in 2006. (Souillard et al 2022)

Hayes *et al.*, (2004) surveyed 82 poultry farms for Enterococci detection. 53% of isolates were

Enterococcus faecalis, followed by 31% by E. faecium. Multi-resistant antimicrobial phenotypes were observed among all species, with E. faecalis being more resistant to lincosamide, macrolide, and tetracycline antimicrobials, fluoroquinolones, and penicillins.

Isichei-Ukah *et al.*, (2024) collected 60 samples from five poultry farms and identified 32 Enterococcus isolates with a percentage of 53.3%. The most predominant Enterococcus spp were *E. faecium*, followed by *E. faecalis*, and the lowest prevalence were *Enterococcus casseliflavus* and *Enterococcus hirae*.

2- Enterococcus-associated disease (EADs)

- In chicken, Enterococcus faecalis and Enterococcus faecium are the dominant enterococcal intestinal microbiota in day-old chicks, while Enterococcus cecorum is more prevalent in adult layers and broilers. These bacteria cause omphalitis in young chicks due to faecal contamination or aerosol or oral transmission. Broilers are mainly affected by E. cecorum, leading to paralysis, lameness, and mortality. E. cecorum is involved in various loco-motor diseases, while Enterococcus hirae is associated with septicaemia, endocarditis, osteomyelitis, or brain necrosis in broilers and psittacine birds. Entererococcus durans has been isolated from various poultry organs, particularly from young chickens showing neurological signs. Braga et al., (2018), Jung et al., (2018), Kolbjørnsen et al., (2011), Chadfield et al., (2005).

- In Turkey, *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* may cause omphalitis in young poultry Sander et al., (1998) owing to Skin wounds contamination, such as after vaccination of pullets for Marek's disease or fecal contamination Landman et al. (2000). *E. faecalis* has been linked to hepatic granuloma lesions in turkeys, geese, and racing pigeons Jung et al., (2014); Dolka et al., (2017).

- In ducks, *E. faecalis* has been linked to arthritis Bisgaard, (1981); Souillard *et al.* (2022). *E. faecium* has been linked to mortality and septicaemia in Pekin ducklings Sandhu, (1988); Souillard *et al.* (2022).

3- Pathogenesis of infection

After an oral infection, pathogenic Enterococcaceae (EC) strains colonize the intestines of broiler chickens and spread to the bloodstream, causing septicemia and organ damage. EC can also colonize osteochondrosis dissecans (OCD) lesions, causing lameness and paresis. This process is influenced by virulence factors, caecal microbiota composition, and the intestinal barrier **Borst** *et al.*, (2017), Schreier *et al.*, (2022).

4-Virulence factors

The presence of virulence factors does not necessarily indicate that the strains isolated from food cause diseases in humans; however, these factors have been shown to contribute to the severity of infection. Enterococcus strains with virulence factors caused a more severe infection than strains without them. Chaje cka-Wierzchowska et al. (2017). These bacteria can survive for several months in challenging conditions, including severe pH (4.8 to 9.6), temperature (10°C to 45°C), and high NaCl concentrations (up to 6.5%), Torres et al., (2018). When 40% bile salts are present, the majority of them can hydrolyze esculin; this ability is used as method for phenotypic identification. Lebreton et al., (2014). Enterococcal virulence is determined by the presence of specific factors such as enterococcal surface protein (esp), gelatinase (gelE), hyaluronidase (hyl), enterococcal surface adhesion (ace), aggregation substance (agg), cytolysin (cylA, cy/L), and biofilm formation Fiore et al., (2019). Gelatinase production is one of the most common virulence factors in enterococci, and foodborne enterococci can synthesize gelatinase at similar levels as clinical isolates Graham et al., (2020). The GelE gene can hydrolyze host extracellular matrix proteins (gelatine, elastin, collagen, and hemoglobin). However, our findings indicated that some isolates containing gelE did not exhibit phenotypic gelatinase activity. Zarzecka et al. (2022). The asa1 gene encodes an enterococcal surface protein known as Aggregation substance (AS), which facilitates bacterial conjugation by forming bacterial aggregates. Dubin and Pamer, (2017). The esp gene has been shown to be strongly related with biofilm development in enterococci. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that biofilm development plays a crucial role in the sharing of antibiotic resistance genes between cells and in building resistance to antibiotics. Chajecka-Wierzchowska et al., (2017). Cytolysin is a bacteriocin-type exotoxin that lyses erythrocytes, leukocytes, and macrophages. The majority of the isolates (69.6%) possessed the gel E gene, indicating the infections potential pathogenicity. Sava et al., (2010). The isolates also expressed virulence characteristics (haemolysin, gelatinase, biofilm formation) and virulence genes (asa1, cylA, gelE). Ngbede et al., (2017). There were 283 isolates from broiler that tested positive for virulence genes. Only the virulence genes gelE, asa1, esp, and cy-1A were found. Gel E was the most common virulence gene (234, 69.6%), followed by asa1 (160, 47.6%), esp (37, 11%), and cylA (2.0.6%). Aslantaş et al., (2018).

5- Metal tolerance among Enterococcus spp.

Metal tolerance (MeT) operons, including arsA, merA, and tcrB, are acquired on mobile genetic elements that also carry antibiotic resistance genes (ABR). The incidence of MeT genes among Enterococcus spp. is low, and their genetic diversity and phenotype have been investigated in a large collection of Enterococcus spp. and available genomes recovered during the last 120 years. The plasmidacquired tcrYAZB operon, which is associated with Cu efflux and tolerance, has been the most researched MeT element among Enterococcus spp., although genetic evidence demonstrating tolerance to other important metal pollutants, such as Hg or As, is extremely scarce in this species. Copper, a key component in chicken diets, has been employed as a cofactor for metabolic enzymes and gut bacteria in broilers Rebelo et al., (2021).

Copper is used in poultry diets for tissue and bone development and metabolic enzymes. Enterococcus with Cu tolerance genes, tcrB \pm cueO, are primarily found in feces. The prevalence and load of these Enterococcus vary throughout chickens lifespan in flocks supplemented with trace mineral diets. (**Rebelo** *et al.*, **2023**).

6- Antibiotic resistant

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a global health crisis, with migratory birds potentially being a source for antibiotic-resistant bacteria.

A study in Bangladesh found that Enterococcus spp. were found to be resistant to ampicillin, streptomycin, meropenem, erythromycin, and gentamicin, with all isolates showing multidrug resistance. This suggests that migratory birds traveling to Bangladesh could carry and spread antibacterial resistant (ABR) bacteria, potentially posing public health risks. Islam et al., (2021). while ,in Poland and the Netherlands, E. faecalis was found to be highly multidrug resistant, with resistance to antibiotics from tetracycline (category D), lincomycin, erythromycin, aminoglycosides, and chloramphenicol (category C), and ciprofloxacin(category B). No resistance was observed to penicillin, ampicillin, gentamicin, tigecycline, or linezolid Stępień-Pyśniak et al., (2021). In Egypt, Ahmed et al., (2020) Recorded that the E.feacalis showed the highest resistance, followed by *E.faecium, gallinarum*, and *avaim* to teicoplanin and vancomycin and Vancomycin resistance genes were found in 14 isolates. On the other hand in Abu Dhabi Emirate. All isolates isolated from chilled chicken carcasses did not show resistance to ampicillin. teicoplanin, vancomycin, or tigecycline Habib et al., (2022). In Lusaka and Copperbelt provinces of Zambia, 308 isolates showing resistance to tetracycline, erythromycin, quinupristin/dalfopristin, ampicillin, vancomycin, linezolid, ciprofloxacin, nitrofurantoin, and chloramphenicol. The prevalence of enterococci resistant to at least one antibiotic was 99.4%, with 86% being MDR. Mudenda et al., (2022).

All species exhibited multi-resistant antimicrobial phenotypes, with E. faecalis being more resistant to lincosamide, macrolide, and tetracycline antibiotics, fluoroquinolones, and penicillins. Hayes et al. (2004). Also, Noh et al. (2020) discovered that Enterococcus faecalis has developed resistance to multiple antimicrobials, with tetracycline being the most resistant, followed by doxycycline and erythromycin Alzahrani et al. (2022) divided Enterococcus spp. into four groups: Enterococcus faecalis (50%), Enterococcus faecium (33.33%), Enterococcus hirae (13.33%), and Enterococcus avium (3.33%). The isolates were highly resistant to tetracycline (55.6%), erythromycin (31.1%), and ampicillin (30%). However, all of the isolates were sensitive to linezolid. Yu et al. 2022

discovered that 61 *E. faecalis* strains had drug resistance rates ranging from 96.72 to 8.20% against 11 antibiotics in 5 classes

Conclusion

Enterococci grow in hard environments and are similar to other streptococci, although they are categorized differently. Streptococcus and enterococcus differ in biochemistry, serology, and genetics. Specifically, enterococcus can grow in 6.5% NACL, bile esculin, arginine, and pyrrolidinyl arylamides, but streptococcus cannot. Streptococcus are categorized according to Lancefield classification, which is based on carbohydrate on the surface of bacteria, into groups A (streptococcus pyogens), B (streptococcus agalactiae), C (streptococcus equi), and D (enterococci). A genetically distinct 16 s rRNA sequence. Enterococci strains containing virulence factors can cause more severe infections, including locomotor abnormalities, septicaemia, and omphalitis. More study is needed on pathogenicity in vitro and in vivo, as well as molecular features and prevalence rates of Enterococcal-associated infections on poultry farms on Egypt.

Reference

- Ahmed, W.; Hotzel, H.; Abdeltawab, B.A.A.; Sobhy, M.M. and El Hofy, F.I. (2020). Detection of vancomycin resistance in enterococci isolated from poultry Nat Sci 2020;18(3):93-97]. ISSN 1545-0740 (print) ISSN 2375-7167 (online). http://www. sciencepub. net/nature. 11. doi:10.7537/marsnsj 180320.11.
- Alzahrani, O.M.; Fayez, M. and Alswat, A.S. (2022). Antimicrobial resistance, biofilm formation, and virulence genes in Enterococcus species from small backyard chicken flocks. Antibiotics (Basel) 2022; 11: 380. ttps://doi. org/10.3390/antibiotics11030380
- Aslantaş, Ö. (2019). Molecular and phenotypic characterization of enterococci isolated from broiler flocks in Turkey. Trop Anim Health Prod. 2019 Jun;51(5):1073-1082. doi: 10.1007/s11250-018-01784-z. Epub 2019

Jan 13. PMID: 30637613.

- **Bisgaard, M. (1981).** Arthritis in ducks I. aetiology and public health aspects. Avian Pathol 10, 11–21.
- Borst, L.B.; Suyemoto, M.M.; Sarsour, A.H.; Harris, M.C.; Martin, M.P.; Strickland, J.D.; Oviedo, E.O. and Barnes, H.J. (2017). Pathogenesis of enterococcus spondylitis caused by *Enterococcus cecorum* in broiler chickens. Vet Pathol 54:61–73
- Braga, J.F.V.; Martins, N.R.S. and Ecco, R. (2018). Vertebral osteomyelitis in broilers: a review. Rev. Bras. Cienc. Avic. 20, 606–616.
- Chadfield, M.S.; Christensen, J.P.; Juhl-Hansen, J.; Christensen, H. and Bisgaard, M. (2005). Characterization of *Enterococcus hirae* outbreaks in broiler flocks demonstrating increased mortality because of septicemia and endocarditis and/or altered production parameters. Avian Dis. 49, 16–23.
- Chajęcka-Wierzchowska, W.; Zadernowska, A. and Łaniewska-Trokenheim, Ł. (2017). 'Virulence factors of Enterococcus spp. presented in food', LWT - Food Science and Technology 75, 670– 676. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. lwt. 2016. 10. 026.
- Devriese, L.A.; Cauwerts, K.; Hermans, K. and Wood, A.M. (2002). *Enterococcus cecorum* septicemia as a cause of bone and joint lesions resulting in lameness in broiler chickens. Vlaams Diergeneeskd. Tijdschr. 71, 219–221.
- Dolka, B.; Gole biewska-Kosakowska, M.; Krajewski, K.; Kwieci'nski, P.; Nowak, T.; Szubstarski, J.; Wilczy'nski, J. and Szeleszczuk, P. (2017). Occurrence of Enterococcus spp. in poultry in Poland based on 2014-2015 data. Med. Weter 73, 220–224.
- Dubin, K. and Pamer, E.G. (2017). Enterococci and their interactions with the intestinal microbiome. Microbiol. Spectr. 5, 309–330. https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec. bad-0014-2016.

- Fiore, E.; Van tyne, D. and Glimore, M.S. (2019). Pathogenicity of enterococci microbiology spectrum 7(4)7.
- Food and Drug Administration (2002). Isolation and enumeration of *Enterobacter sakasakii* from dehydrated powdered infant formula. Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition. Available at http:// www.cfsan.eda.gov/~comm/mmesakaz.html.
- Graham, K.; Stack, H. and Rea, R. (2020). Safety, beneficial and technological properties of enterococci for use in functional food applications–a review. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 60 (22), 3836–3861. https://doi. org/10. 1080/10408398.2019.1709800.

Habib, I.; Lakshmi, G.B.; Mohamed, M.Y.I.; Ghazawi, A.; Mushtaq, Khan and LiD (2022). Enumeration, Antimicrobial Resistance, and Virulence Genes Screening of Enterococcus spp. Isolated from Retail Chicken Carcasses in the United Arab Emirates Foodborne Pathogens and Disease vol 9 no 9 https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2022.0022

- Hayes, J.R.; English, L.L.; Carr, L.E.; Wagner, D.D.; Sam, W. and Joseph, S.W. (2004). Multiple-Antibiotic Resistance of Enterococcus spp. Isolated from Commercial Poultry Production Environments APPL. ENVIRON. MICROBIOL APPLIED AND ENVIRONMENTAL MICROBIOLOG Vol. 70, No. 10 Y, p. 6005–6011.DOI: 10.1128/AEM.70.10.6005–6011.2004 https://doi. org/10.1016/j.psj.2020.01.014
- Isichei-Ukah, B.O.; 1,3Akinnibosun, O.; 1Nwaka, C.N. and 1,2Igbinosa, E.O. (2024). A survey of antibiotic resistance and virulence factors in Enterococcus species isolated from poultry farms in Benin City, Nigeria Afr. J. Clin. Exper. Microbiol. 2024; 25 (1): 75-85 DOI:10.4314/ajcem.v25i1.9
- Islam, S.; Paul, A.; Talukder, M.; Roy, K.; Sobur, A.; Ievy, S.; Nayeem, H.N.; Rahman, S.; Nazir, N.H.; Hossain, M.T. and Rahman, T. (2021). Migratory birds travelling to Bangladesh are potential carriers of multi-drug resistant Enterococcus spp., Sal-

monella spp., and Vibrio spp.Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences 28 (2021) 5963–5970

- Jimenez-Belenguer, A.; E. Domenech; A. Villagra; A. Fenollar and M.A. Ferrus (2016). Antimicrobial resistance of *Escherichia coli* isolated in newly-hatched chickens and effect of amoxicillin treatment during their growth. Avian Pathol. 45:501–507.
- Jung, A.; Petersen, H.; Teske, L. and Rautenschlein, S. (2017). Colonization patterns of *Enterococcus cecorum* in two different broiler production cycles detected with a newly developed quantitative real-time PCR. BMC Microbiology, 17, 106. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12866-017-1021-7
- Jung, A.; Chen, L.R.; Suyemoto, M.M.; Barnes, H.J. and Borst, L.B. (2018). A review of *Enterococcus cecorum* infection in poultry. Avian Dis 62, 261–271.
- Jung, A.; Teske, L. and Rautenschlein, S. (2014). *Enterococcus cecorum* infection in a racing pigeon. Avian Dis. 58, 654–658.
- Kolbjørnsen, O.; David, B. and Gilhuus, M. (2011). Bacterial osteomyelitis in a 3-weekold broiler chicken associated with *enterococcus hirae*. Vet. Pathol. 48, 1134–1137.
- Landman, W.J.M.; Veldman, K.T.; Mevius, D.J. and Doornenbal, P. (2000). Contamination of Marek's disease vaccine suspensions with *Enterococcus faecalis* and its possible role in amyloid arthropathy. Avian Pathol. 29, 21–25.
- Lanza, V.F.; Tedim, A.P.; Martínez, J.L.; Baquero, F. and Coque, T.M. (2015). The plasmidome of Firmicutes: impact on the emergence and the spread of resistance to antimicrobials. Microbiol. Spectr. 3 https:// doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec. PLAS- 0039-2014.
- Lebreton, F.; Rob Willems, J.L. and Gilmore, M.S. (2014). Enterococcus Diversity, Origins in Nature, and Gut Colonization. National library of medicine.

- Manero, A. and Blanch, A.R. (1999). Identification of Enterococcus spp. with a biochemical key. Applied and environmental microbiology, 65(10), 4425-4430.
- Moreno, M.A.; S. García-Soto; M. Hernandez; C. Barcena; D. odríguez-Lazaro; M. Ugarte-Ruíz and L. Domínguez (2019). Day-old chicks are a source of antimicrobial resistant bacteria for laying hen farms. Vet. Microbiol. 230:221–227.
- Mudenda, S.; Matafwali, S.K.; Malama, S.; Munyeme, M.; Yamba, K.; Katemangwe, P.; Siluchali, G.; Mainda, G.; Mukuma, M.; Bumbangi, F.N.; Mirisho, R. and Muma, J.B. (2022). Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance patterns of Enterococcus species isolated from laying hens in Lusaka and Copperbelt provinces of Zambia: a call for AMR surveillance in the poultry sector JAC Antimicrob Resist https://doi.org/10.1093/jacamr/ dlac126
- Ngbede, E.O.; Raji, M.A.; Kwanashie, C.N. Kwaga, J.K.P. (2017). Antimicrobial resistance and virulence profile of enterococci isolated from poultry and cattle sources in Nigeria. Trop Anim Health Prod. 2017 Mar;49(3):451-458. doi: 10.1007/s11250-016 -1212-5. Epub 2016 Dec 16. Erratum in: Trop Anim Health Prod. 2017 Apr;49 (4):885. PMID: 27987112.
- Noh, E.B.; Kim, Y.B.; Seo, K.W.; Son, S.H.; Ha, J.S.Z. and Lee, Y.J. (2020). Antimicrobial resistance monitoring of commensal Enterococcus. Poultry Science, 99(5), 2675– 2683. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. psj. 2020. 01.014
- Ramos, S.; Silva, V.; Dapkevicius, M.d.L.E.; Igrejas, G. and Poeta, P. (2020). Enterococci, from harmless bacteria to a pathogen. Microorganisms 2020, 8, 1118.
- Rebelo, A.; Mourao, J.; Freitas, A.R.; Duarte, B.; Silveira, E.; Sanchez-Valenzuela, A.; Almeida, A.; Baquero, F.; Coque, T.M.; Peixe, L.; Antunes, P. and Novais, C. (2021). Diversity of metal and antibiotic resistance genes in Enterococcus spp. from

the last century reflects multiple pollution and genetic exchange among phyla from overlapping ecosystems. Sci. Total Environ. 787, 147548 https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2021.147548.

- Rebelo, A.; Duarte, B.; Ferreira, C.; Mourão, J.; Ribeiro, S.; Freitas, A.R.; Coque, T.M.; Willems, R.; Corander, J.; Peixe, L. (2023). Enterococcus spp. from Chicken Meat Collected 20 Years Apart Overcome Multiple Stresses Occurring in the Poultry Production Chain: Antibiotics, Copper and Acids. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 2023, 384, 109981.
- Rensing, C.; Moodley, A.; Cavaco, L.M.; McDevitt, S.F. (2018). Resistance to Metals Used in Agricultural Production. In: Aarestrup, F.M., Schwarz, S., Shen, J., Cavaco, L.M (Eds.), Antimicrobial Resistance in Bacteria from Livestock and Companion Animals. American Society of Microbiology, Whashington, DC, pp. 83–107. https:// doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.ARBA-0025-2017.
- Ribeiro, J.; Silva, V.; Monteiro, A.; Vieira-Pinto, M.; Igrejas, G.; Reis, F.S.; Barros, L.; Poeta, P. (2023). Antibiotic Resistance among Gastrointestinal Bacteria in Broilers: A Review Focused on Enterococcus spp. and Escherichia coli. Animals 2023, 13, 1362. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/ani13081362.
- Robbins, Kabel M.; Mitsu Suyemoto, M.; Roberta, L. Lyman; Michael, P. Martin; John Barnes, H.; Luke, B. and Borst, I. (2012): "An outbreak and source investigation of Enterococcul spondylitis in broilers caused by *Enterococcus cecorum*." Avian Diseases 56(4): 768–773.
- Sander, J.E.; Willinghan, E.M.; Wilson, J.L. and Thayer S.G. (1998). The effect of inoculating *Enterococcus faecalis* into the yolk sac on chick quality and maternal antibody absorption. Avian Dis. 42, 359-363.
- Sandhu, T.S. (1988). Fecal streptococcal infection of commercial white pekin ducklings. Avian Dis. 32, 570–573.

- Sava, I.G.; Heikens, E.; Kropec, A.; Theilacker, C.; Willems, R. and Huebner, J. (2010). Enterococcal surface protein contributes to persistence in the host but is not a target of opsonic and protective antibodies in *Enterococcus faecium* infection. Journal of Medical Microbiology, 59, 1001–1004.
- Schleifer, K.H. and R. Kilpper-Ba[•]Iz. (1984). Transfer of *Streptococcus faecalis* and *Streptococcus faecium* to the genus Enterococcus nom. rev. as *Enterococcus faecalis* comb. nov. and Enterococcus faecium comb. nov. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 34:31–34.
- Schreier, J.; Rychlik, I. and Karasova, D. (2022). Influence of heat stress on intestinal integrity and the caecal microbiota during *Enterococcus cecorum* infection in broilers. Vet Res 53, 110 (2022). https://doi. org/10. 1186/s13567-022-01132-y.
- Souillard, R.; Laurentie, J.; Kempf, I.; Caër, V.L.; Bouquin, S.L.; Serror, P. and Allain, V. (2022). Increasing incidence of Enterococcus-associated diseases in poultry in France over the past 15 years, Veterinary Microbiology, Volume 269, 109426, ISSN 0378-135,https://doi.org/10.1016/j. vetmic. 2022. 109426.
- Stepien-Pysniak, D.; Hauschild, T.; y Dec, M.; Marek, A.; Brzeski, M. and Kosikowska, U. (2021). Antimicrobial resistance and genetic diversity of *Enterococcus faecalis* from yolk sac infections in broiler chicks 2021 Poultry Science 100:101491 https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2021.101491.
- Stepie n-Py sniak, D., A. Marek, T. Banach, ^o. Adaszek, E. Pyzik, J. Wilczynski, and S. Winiarczyk. (2016). Prevalence and antibiotic resistance of Enterococcus strains isolated from poultry. Acta Vet. Hung. 64:148–163.
- Torres, C.; Alonso, C.A.; Ruiz-Ripa, L.; León-Sampedro, R.; Del Campo, R. and Coque, T.M. (2018). Antimicrobial Resistance in Enterococcus spp. of animal origin. Microbiol. Spectr. 2018, 6, 185–227.

- Videnska, P.; Faldynova, M.; Juricova, H.; Babak, V.; Sisak, F.; Havlickova, H.; Rychlik, I. (2013). Chicken faecal microbiota and disturbances induced by single or repeated therapy with tetracycline and streptomycin. BMC Vet. Res. 2013, 9, 6–8.
- Wood, A.M.; MacKenzie, G.; McGillveray, N.C.; Brown L.; Devriese, L.A. and Baele, M. (2002). Isolation of *Enterococcus cecorum* from bone lesions in broiler chickens [2]. Vet. Rec. 150, 27.
- Yoon, S.; Kim, Y.B.; Seo, K.W.; Ha, J.S.; Noh, E.B. and Lee, Y.J. (2020). Characteristics of linezolid-resistant *Enterococcus faecalis* isolates from broiler breeder farms. Poult. Sci. 2020, 99, 6055–6061. [CrossRef] [PubMed].
- Yu, L.; Liu, Y.; Liu, M.; Li, Z.; Li, L. and Wang, F. (2022). Molecular characterization of antimicrobial resistance and virulence gene analysis of *Enterococcus faecalis* in poultry in Tai'an, China 2022 Poultry Science 101:101763 https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.psj.2022.101763
- Zarzecka, U.; Zadernowska, A. and Chajęcka-Wierzchowska, W. (2022). Effects of osmotic and high pressure stress on expression of virulence factors among Enterococcus spp. isolated from food of animal origin. Food Microbiol. 2022;102:103900. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. fm. 2021. 103900