Listeria monocytogenes virulance genes associated with keratoconjunctivitis in buffaloes Ahlam, K.A. Wahba; Aliaa, A.E. Mohamed and Nahed, M.A. Shawky

Buffalo Diseases Research Department, Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki, Giza. Agriculture Research Center (ARC)

Received in 4/9/2019 Accepted in 1/11/2019

Abstract

Listerial keratoconjunctivitis ('silage eye') is a wide spread problem in ruminants causing economic losses to farmers and impacts negatively on animal welfare. It results from direct entry of Listeria monocytogenes into the eye, often following consumption of contaminated silage. The bacteriological analysis of 75 conjunctival swabs from diseased buffaloes in a farm in El-Fayoum governorate reared indoor and feed on silage revealed 11 isolates resembling *Listeria* species (14.7%). Out of the 11 Listeria isolates, 5 isolates of Listeria monocytogenes (L. monocytogenes) (45.4%), 2 isolates of each L. welshimeri and L. seeligeri (18.2% each) and one isolate of L. innocua and L. gravi (9.1% each). The 5 L. monocytogenes isolates exhibited serological identification by agglutination test, synergistic hemolytic activity with beta toxin of S. aureus using CAMP test. In addition, the disease induced experimentaly by intraperitonial inoculation of mice and instillation in rabbit's eve with the isolated strains. All isolates were tested for antimicrobial susceptibility by disc diffusion method against group of antimicrobial agents of the most common used in the veterinary field. All tested isolates highly sensitive to ampicillin, erythromycin and amoxicillin; moderate sensitive to tetracycline and ofloxacin and resist against lincomycin. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was applied for detection of some virulence genes associated L. monocytogenes isolates (inlA, inlB, prfA and hlyA genes). PCR results revealed that, all isolates of L. monocytogenes were positive for the presence these genes that play the main role of pathogenesis of listeriosis leading to variety in clinical picture of the disease.

Keyword: Buffaloes, conjunctivitis, Listeria monocytogenes and virulence genes.

Introduction

Infectious keratoconjunctivitis is a highly contagious ocular infection affecting domestic and wild ruminants, and have major economic importance (Brown et al., 1998 and Rajesh et al., 2009). The condition is caused by a number of infectious agents in ruminants specially cattle, such as Rhikettsiae, Chlamydia, Viruses, Mycoplasma spp., Neisseria catarrhalis, Moraxella bovis and L. monocytogenes and characterized by epiphora, conjunctival inflammation, pigmental areas on the cornea, photophobia, blepherospasm, which might terminated by corneal ulceration and even panophthalmia (Murat et al., 2006). The disease is common in dairy herds, reducing milk production and body weight; however is rarely cause death (Al-Gaabary et al., 2008 and Slatter et al., 2008). It either transmitted by direct contact with infected materials from one animal to another or by indirect transmission of conjunctival exudates by flies (Johnet al., 2007), which identified as the main causative agents; however, other factors including ultra-violate light, concurrent diseases status, mechanical trauma and other ocular bacterial organisms may predispose to the infection (Nightingale et al., 2005).

The genus *Listeria* includes 10 species out of these; *L. monocytogenes* and *L. ivanovii* are pathogenic to humans and animals (Guillet et al., 2010). Listeria exists worldwide; furthermore, it has been isolated from soil, silage, faeces, sewage effluent, and stream water. It can survive for years in organic materials and is capable of proliferating at temperature from

3°C to 45°C (Cocolin *et al.*, 2005; Ho *et al.*, 2007 and Muchaamba *et al.*, 2019).

Listeriosis is an infectious disease caused by a Gram-positive asporogenic bacterium, *L. monocytogenes*, which is capable of intracellular replication (**Ray and Bhunia, 2013**). It affects humans and over 60 species of domestic and wild animals including fish and birds (**Swetha** *et al.*, 2013 and **Reda** *et al.*, 2016). Septicemia, encephalitis or abortions are the most common clinical manifestations of infection (**Radostits** *et al.*, 1994), but ocular symptoms may also be seen. The term "silage eye" refers to listerial kerato-conjunctivitis, and may involve uveitis with hypopyon and miosis (**Erdogan** *et al.*, 2001 and Pearce and Moore, 2013).

Ruminants are the most frequently affected domestic animals (Cooper and Walker, 1998 and Kotzamanidis *et al.*, 2019). Contaminated silage is classic source of infection; other sources include particularly organic refuse as poultry litter. Growth and multiplication of *L. monocytogenes* naturally present on ensiled plant material are enhanced in poorly fermented silage with high pH. Some poorly fermented silage linked to listeriosis cases in ruminants have shown Listeria counts as high as 10^8 colony-forming units (CFU)/g wet weight of silage (Laven and Lawrence, 2006).

Although silage has been well established as a common source of systemic listeriosis infections in farm ruminants, it has also been hypothesized that Listeria-contaminated silage may be a source of listerial eye infections. For example, some reports noted that silage on farms with cases of listerial ocular infections was fed at or above the height of the animal's head, possibly leading to direct exposure of eyes to contaminated feed. In addition, it has been noted that most ruminant cases of listerial ocular infections appear to occur in the winter and early-spring months, when the animals are housed inside and fed silage (Pauly and Tham, 2003 and Nadeau, 2007).

L. monocytogenes is a bacterium that invades replicates and multiplies in a variety of mammalian cells, including macrophages, epithelial cells and fibroblast cells (Hellenic Center for

Disease Control and Prevention [HCDCP], **2018**). A number of genes and gene products necessary for the intracellular survival of this pathogen have been previously reviewed (Osman et al., 2016). Major virulence factors include listeriolycin O (LLO) a toxin having pore-forming activity; ActA a factor responsible for polymerization of host actin; a group of internalin family proteins which play a major role in entry, namely, inlA and inlB; two phospholiphases, *plcA* and *plcB*; a metalloprotease, mpl and uhpT, a system for uptake of sugars (Dussurget et al. 2004 and Schnupf and Portnoy, 2007). UhpT helps bacteria to take up glucose-6-phosphate inside the cell, thus enhancing growth and multiplication (Camejo et al., 2011).

These virulence factors are under direct control of a transcriptional factor, PrfA (Lecuit, 2007). A thermosensor controls this PrfA and, based on environmental temperature, this sensor's 5' UTR can take up different secondary structures (De las Heras *et al.*, 2011). Hence, there is an optimal expression of PrfA at higher temperatures than at lower temperature where there is a down regulation of PrfA. As a result, all virulence genes are expressed to maximum at 37 C compared to lower temperatures (Johansson *et al.*, 2002 and Muchaamba *et al.*, 2019).

The hemolysin gene, hly, was the first virulence determinant to be identified and sequenced in Listeria spp. Subsequent characterization of the *hlv* locus led to discovery of the chromosomal virulence gene cluster in which most of the genetic determinants required for the intracellular life cycle of pathogenic Lis*teria* spp. reside. The *hly* product, *hly*, was also the first virulence factor for which a precise role in the pathogenesis of Listeria infection was demonstrated. Hly is a key virulence factor essential for pathogenicity, having a vital role not only in intracellular parasitism but also in several other functions in the interaction of listeriae with their vertebrate host. Cell culture studies of the effects of *hly* inactivation showed that hemolysin is required for the survival and proliferation of L. monocytogenes within macrophages and nonprofessional phagocytes (Muchaamba *et al.*, 2019).

Internalins (*inl*) are the protein products of a family of virulence associated genes found in pathogenic *Listeria* spp. The first members of this family to be characterized, *inlA* and *inlB*, encoded by the *inlAB* operon, were identified in *L. monocytogenes* by screening a bank of transposon-induced mutants for impaired invasiveness in Caco-2 cell monolayers. *InlA* was shown to function as an invasin, mediating bacterial internalization by these normally non-phagocytic epithelial cells, and was therefore named internalin, a large number of internalin homologs have since been identified in both *L. monocytogenes* and *L. ivanovii* (Liu *et al.*,2007 and Radoshevich and Cossart, 2018).

The present study planned to throw the light on *L. monocytogenes* as one of the causative agent of keratoconjunctivitis in buffaloes based on selective plating media, biochemical characterization, serological identification, there in vivo virulence potential, antibiotic resistance (ABR) profile and some virulence associated genes (*hlyA*, *IntA*, *IntB*, and *PrfA*).

Materials and Methods

<u>Collection of samples</u>: A total of 75 conjunctival swabs were collected in the winter and early-spring months from a private buffalo farm in El-Fayoum governorate using separate sterile swabs by inserting into the conjunctival sac of animals showed symptoms of keratoconjunctivities and feed on silage, gently rolled and then inoculated into Trypticase soya broth supplemented with 0.6% of yeast extract (TSB-YE); and transferred rapidly in ice box to the laboratory for bacteriological examination to investigate the incidence of *L. monocytogenes* according to Sneath *et al.* (1989) and Quinn *et al.* (2011).

Cultivation and identification of *Listeria* <u>species</u>: For direct plating, swabs were streaked onto Palcam agar plates (Oxoid; CM0877B and SR0150E) media and incubated at 35°C for 24-48 hs. To detect the *listeria* cells even in low number, the ISO 112090-1 food sampling protocol was also used. The same swabs used for direct plating were placed in 20 ml of Fraser broth (Oxoid; CM0895B and SR0156E)) and vortexed for 30 s then a loopful from each broth were streaked onto Palcam agar plates and incubated as previously. The plates were examined for the presence of characteristic colonies presumed to be Listeria (grey green in color with a black sunken center and a black halo).

Confirmation of the Listeria isolates: All strains were tested for purity, in addition to morphological and biochemical characteristics. Colonies suspected to be Listeria were transferred onto Trypticase soya broth supplemented with 0.6% of yeast extract (TSB-YE) (Difco, Bacton, USA) and incubated at 30 °C for 18 to 24 h. Those putative Listeria colonies were characterized using Gram's staining, tumbling motility at 20-25 °C, catalase test, Methyl Red-Voges Proskauer (MR-VP) reactions, characteristics of haemolysis on 5 % sheep blood agar, carbohydrate utilization and CAMP test. The CAMP test was undertaken using S. aureus (ATCC 7494) and Rhodococcus equi (ATCC 6939) and E. coli (ATCC 25922). They were streaked in single lines across a sheep blood agar plate so that the two cultures were parallel and diametrically opposite. Test strains were then streaked at right angles and 1 to 2 mm apart to S. aureus and R. equi. Simultaneously, standard strain of L. monocytogenes (ATCC 7494) was streaked onto blood agar plates. The plates were then incubated at 37 °C for 18 to 24 h. The test culture streaks were tested for enhanced βhemolysis at both ends proximal to the reference cultures. The zone of enhanced β hemolysis may resemble an arrowhead, circle or rectangle. The presence of this zone indicates a CAMP-positive reaction. Absence of enhanced β-hemolysis indicates a CAMPnegative reaction. L. monocytogenes and L. seeligeri are CAMP-positive to the Staphylococcus reference strain and CAMP-negative to R. equi. In contrast, L. ivanovii is CAMPpositive to the R. equi reference strain and CAMP-negative to the Staphylococcus reference strains.

For the carbohydrate utilization test, isolated colonies from TSA-YE were transferred into test tubes containing xylose, rhamnose and

mannitol and incubated at 37°C for up to 5 days. Positive reactions were indicated by yellow color (acid formation) and occurred mostly within 24 to 48 h. In parallel, strains were identified using the API® Listeria system (bioMe'rieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France).

Serotyping of L. monocytogenes according to

Federal Register (1988): Serotyping was carried out on the 5 *L. monocytogenes* isolates using commercial specific antisera (Behringwerke AG) against the serovars 1 and 4, following the manufacturer's instruction.

Laboratory animal pathogenicity tests (Federal Register (1988): The classical tests for *Listeria* pathogenicity are the Anton conjunctivitis test using rabbits and intraperitonial inoculation using mice.

a- Using rabbit: An experimental keratoconjunctivitis test (Anton's eye test) was performed in rabbits by inoculating by 0.1 ml (a drop) of inoclum having approximately 10⁹ CFU of each *Listeria* isolate/ml using Macferland's onto the eye. Only *L. monocytogenes* causes purulent keratoconjuctivitis within 24– 36 h of inoculation (Fentahun and Fresebehat, 2012).

b- Using mice: The pathogenicity testing of the *Listeria* isolates by mice inoculation test was performed according to the method described by Menudier *et al.* (1991). Briefly, 36 mice weighing 18–20 g were housed 3 per cage and allowed to acclimatize for one week. They were inoculated intraperitoneally with 0.1 ml (10^9 CFU) of each *Listeria* isolate. Three mice were used for each isolates and three mice were kept as control. The death rate and post-mortem changes as well as the reisolation of the organism from the internal organs and heart blood were recorded.

Determination of the in-vitro antimicrobial suceptibility test among *Listeria* **isolates:** According to the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, 2012), all *Listeria* isolates concluded the 5 isolates of *L. monocytogenes* was tested for antimicrobial susceptibility on Mueller Hinton agar by disc diffusion method and using the following Oxiod antibiotic disks: ampicillin (AMP10), amoxicillin (AX25), erythromycin (E15), tetracycline (TE20), gentamicin (CN10), ofloxacin (OFX5), penicillin G (P10), cephalexin (CL30) and lincomycin (L2). The plates were incubated at 35°C for 24 hours. The zone of inhibition around each disc was measured and the interpretation was made as per the zone size interpretation chart provided by the disc manufacturer.

Detection of virulence genes of *L. monocyto*genes isolates by Polymerase chain reaction (PCR): According to Sambrook and Russell (2001), the 5 isolates of *L. monocytogenes* were screened for the presence of *hlyA*, *intA*, *intB*, and *prfA* genes as following:

DNA extraction: DNA extraction from samples was performed using the QIAamp DNA Mini kit (Qiagen, Germany, GmbH) with modifications from the manufacturer's recommendations. Briefly, 200 μ l of the sample suspension was incubated with 20 μ l of proteinase K and 200 μ l of lysis buffer at 56°C for 10 min. After incubation, 200 μ l of 100% ethanol was added to the lysate. The sample was then washed and centrifuged following the manufacturer's recommendations. Nucleic acid was eluted with 100 μ l of elution buffer provided in the kit.

Oligonucleotide Primer: Primers used were supplied from Metabion (Germany) are listed in Table (1).

PCR amplification: Primers were utilized in a 25- μ l reaction containing 12.5 μ l of EmeraldAmp Max PCR Master Mix (Takara, Japan), 1 μ l of each primer of 20 pmol concentrations, 4.5 μ l of water, and 6 μ l of DNA template. The reaction was performed in an applied biosystem 2720 thermal cycler.

Analysis of the PCR Products: The products of PCR were separated by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel (Applichem, Germany, GmbH) in 1x TBE buffer at room temperature using gradients of 5V/cm. For gel analysis, 20 μ l of the products was loaded in each gel slot. Gelpilot 100 bp and 100 bp plus Ladders (Qiagen, Germany, GmbH) and generuler 100 bp ladder (Fermentas, Thermoscientific) were used to determine the fragment sizes. The gel was photographed by a gel documentation system (Alpha Innotech, Biometra) and the data was analyzed through computer software.

Tangat		Ampli-		Amplific	cation (35 o		Doforoncos	
gene	Primers sequences	fied segment (bp)	Primary Denatura- tion	Secondary denatura- tion	Ann- ealing	Exten- sion	Final exten- sion	Kelerences
	GCA-TCT-GCA-TTC- AAT-AAA-GA		94°C	94°C	50°C	72°C	72°C	Deneer and
HlyA	TGT-CAC-TGC-ATC- TCC-GTG-GT	174 5 min.	30 sec.	30 sec.	30 sec.	7 min.	Boychuk (1991)	
	TCT-CCG-AGC-AAC- CTC-GGA-ACC		04°C	04°C	50°C	72°C	72°C	Distinguis
PrfA	TGG-ATT-GAC-AAA- ATG-GAA-CA	1052	94 C 5 min.	94 C 30 sec.	50 sec.	1 min.	10 min.	<i>et al.</i> (1995)
InlA	ACG AGT AAC GGG ACA AAT GC CCC GAC AGT GGT GCT AGA TT	800	94°C 5 min.	94°C 30 sec.	55°C 40 sec.	72°C 45 sec.	72°C 10 min.	Liu
InlB	CTGGAAAGTTT- GTATTTGGGAAA TTTCATAATCGCCATC ATCACT	343	94°C 5 min.	94°C 30 sec.	55°C 40 sec.	72°C 40 sec.	72°C 10 min.	<i>et al.</i> (2007)

Table (1). Primers sequences, target genes, amplicon sizes and cycling conditions.

Results and Discussion

Listeriosis, also termed as silage disease, circling disease and meningoencephalitis, is caused by *Listeria monocytogenes*. It is an infectious and fatal disease of animals, birds, fish, crustaceans and humans, where septicemia and encephalitis are predominantly observed (Wesley, 2007 and World Organisation for Animal Health [OIE], 2014). The organism has an intracellular life cycle that can pass from cell to cell without release from the cell. This ability presents its potential to cross placental, blood brain and blood eye barriers, explaining its pathogenesis and clinical signs (Janakiraman, 2008 and OIE, 2014).

Domesticated ruminants probably play a key role in the maintenance of *Listeria* spp. in the rural environment via a continuous fecaloral enrichment cycle. Diseases due tolisterial infection usually occur in animals in winter and spring (OIE, 2014). Three distinct principal clinical forms of listeriosis exist, which include septicemia, encephalitis, and abortion (Kamal *et al.*, 2012); in addition to these more common manifestations of listerial infection, mastitis, keratoconjunctivitis, and uveitis have also been associated with *Listeria* infection in ruminants. Ocular signs in the neurological form of listeriosis include facial paralysis with ptosis, medial strabismus, nystagmus, and amaurosis (Adel *et al.*, 2018). Uveitis with hypopion has been described in chronic cases of the disease (OIE, 2014).

The ocular form in ruminants, without clinical signs of other forms, has been related to feeding contaminated silage in elevated feed bunkers and self-feed baled grass silage in housed cattle and sheep during the winter (Åkerstedt and Hofshagen, 2004). Eye infections have been documented in sheep, cattle, fallow deer and humans which manifested by swollen, hyperemic conjunctivae, epiphora, photophobia, clouding of the cornea, and scattered white corneal foci (Cullen and Webb, 2013 and OIE, 2014).

A total of 75 conjunctival swabs collected from buffaloes suffering keratoconjunctivitis were screened for the prevalence of *Listeria* species. Identification of *Listeria* species on Palcam agar plates was based on aesculin hydrolysis and mannitol fermentation. All *Listeria* species hydrolyse aesculin as evidenced by a blackening of the medium. Mannitol fermentation was demonstrated by a colour change in the colony and/ or surrounding medium from red or gray to yellow due to the production of acidic end products. The selectivity of the Palcam medium is achieved through the presence of lithium chloride, polymixin B sulphate and acriflavine hydrochloride present in the medium base and ceftazidime provided by Palcam antimicrobial supplement. These agents effectively suppress growth of most commonly occurring non-*Listeria* species of bacteria present in samples.

 Table (2). Prevalence of Listeria species recovered from infected eye swabs:

Samue of inclutor	Sample's	Prevalence of Listeria species				
Source of isolates	number	Positive sample	%			
eye swabs	75	11	14.7			

%: was calculated according to the total number of examined samples (75).

As shown in Table 2, the bacteriological examination revealed 11 isolates resembling Listeria spp. (11/75; 14.7%). nearly same results were also indicated by Kamal et al. (2012) who examined 360 conjunctival swabs from keratoconjunctivitis buffaloes which yielded Listeria species in a percentage of 14.3, higher rate were recorded previously by (Al-Gaabary et al., 2008, Yeruham et al., 2001) who recorded prevalence rate (16.9%), and mention that the variation of these rates may be attributed to the animal susceptibility, in addition to probability of exposure which governed by animal housing and management system, season and localities, thereby susceptibility were increased through some factors such as high stocking density, close confinement of animals to barns, movement of animals through dusty yards, the presence of flies which might consider as the main transmitter, movement of stock through long grasses, ultraviolet solar radiation, eye lid pigmentation and the presence of other pathogens.

Table (3) showed that, five isolates were identified as L. monocytogenes in a percentage of 45.4 %, two isolates were identified as L. seeligeri (18.2%), two isolates were identified as L. welshimeri (18.2%), and one isolate identified as L. gravi (9.1%) and also one isolate identified as L. innocua (9.1%), from all tested samples. These results achieved in Table (3) found that, L.monocytogenes was more prevalent identified serotypes from total Listeria isolates recovered, this explain the importance of such species in the pathogenesis of listeriosis. This opinion was fully supported by speculation of others as Gebretsadik et al. (2011); Hanaa (2013); Al-Ashmawy et al. (2014) and Adel et al. (2018).

Table (3). Incidences and characteristics of Listeria species in the positive listerial eye swabs (Sneath et al., 1989 and Quinn et al., 2011).

<i>Listeria</i> species	No.	%	Hemolysis on sheep blood aga	CAMP Test		Acid production from sugars			
(11)				SA	RE	D-mannitol	L-rhamnose	D-xylose	
L.monocytogenes	5	45.5	+	+	-	-	+	-	
L. seeligeri	2	18.2	+	+	-	-	-	+	
L. welshimeri	2	18.2	-	-	-	-	-	+	
L. grayi	1	9.1	-	-	-	+	-	-	
L. innocua	1	9.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	11	100							

No.: number of Listerial isolates SA=*Staphylococcus aureus*

%: was calculated according to the total number of Listerial isolates (11). RE= *Rhodococcus equi* += Positive reaction -= negative reaction L. monocytogenes is often detected in low numbers by cultivation, sometimes together with other bacteria. This observation is important for the bacteriologist when cultivating samples from cases of keratitis, and may be related to the intracellular growth of *L. monocytogenes*. The low number of reported cases, and also, as noted by other authors there may be lacking awareness among clinicians as well as bacteriologists regarding the potential role of *L. monocytogenes* in ocular infections (Evans et al., 2004).

According to Groves and Welshimer (1977), the CAMP test can be used to differentiate between hemolytic *Listeria* species; *L. monocytogenes*, *L. ivanovii* and *L. seeligeri*. As observed in Table (3), CAMP test was useful for detection of synergistic reactions of hemolysis of *L. monocytogenes* and *L. seeligeri* with Beta toxin of *S. aureus* only through enhances the lysis of sheep erythocytes on blood agar by a synergistic effect between a phospholipase and listeriolysin of each *L. monocytogenes* and *L. seeligeri* and a sphingomyelinase of *S. aureus* as observed by Mckellar (1994). All virulent

 Table (4). Serotyping of L. monocytogenes strains.

Listeria (mostly L. monocytogenes) are hemolytic (Rocourt et al., 1983) whereas nonhemolytic Listeria is avirulent. This observation led rather early to the assumption that hemolysin production is an important virulence factor in Listeria infections. The organism show hemolytic activity due to a single polypeptide molecule, listeriolysin O (LLO). Listeriolysin O has been implicated as a main component of virulence in L. monocytogenes (Isome et al., 1995). L. monocytogenes colonies can be detected in a majority of other listeria on the basis of hemolysis (Beumer et al., 1997

The recorded results in Table (4) showed that, out of the 5 *L. monocytogenes*, 2 isolates could be identified serologically as *L. monocytogenes* serotype 2/1a, 2 isolates could be identified serologically as *L. monocytogenes* serotype 2/1b and the remaining isolate were identified serologically as *L. monocytogenes* serotype 4b by using commercial specific antisera (Behringwerke AG) against the serovars 1 and 4 and following the manufacturer's instructions.

Species	Total number of Isolates	Serotypes					
		Type 2	/1A	Туре 2	/1 B	Туре	e 4B
L. monocytogenes	5	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
		2	40	2	40	1	20

No: Number of positive serotype

%: Was calculated according to the total number of isolates (5).

It was noticed that, *L. monocytogenes* Type 2/1a and 2/1b was the most prevalent serogroups recovered from the samples with an incidence of 40% for each, meanwhile Type 4b was recovered with an incidence of 20 % by the available antisera, further evidence comes from the fact that only 3 of the 12 known serovars of *L. monocytogenes*, 1/2a, 1/2b, and 4b, account for more than 90% of human and animal cases of listeriosis (Farber and Peterkin, 1991). Physical locations suggest that, the inflamation of eye in current study originated in the feed. Although *L. monocytogenes* was

not isolated from the silage, the identified L. monocytogenes serotypes are commonly isolated from silage (Jessica *et al.*, 2015). Erdogan (2010) observed that, there are a strong correlation has been established between silage eye and the use of big bale silage and silage feeding in ring feeders and these infections are believed to occur when the organism directly enters the eye, possibly facilitated by corneal abrasions.

Also **Nadeau (2007)** noticed that, the high pH of the barley silage makes this a likely source.

Barley silage can be difficult to ferment correctly, particularly if a maturecutting is used, as the water content is often too low for effective fermentation. It is tempting to speculate that drier silage may predispose to the development of oral lesions, thus, potentially providing entry points for L. monocytogenes in the feed. Also our results go ahead with Pauly and Tham (2003) who reported that, high ash content of silages indicates also the contamination with soil, which is the major route of the contamination of silages by L. monocytogenes. Sarigson (1993) noticed that, L. monocytogenes present in poorly prepared silage multiplies and is the most common source of infection for cattle.

The association between *Listeria* species and the virulence has been made by using animal model. Mice and rabbits are the animals most frequently used to study *Listeria* infection in the laboratory. **Quinn** *et al.* (2011) concluded that, most *L. monocytogenes* isolates of animal origin are virulent, a characteristic which can be confirmed by instillation of a drop of broth culture into the eye of the rabbit to induce keratoconjunctivitis (Anton's test). Also **Vanderzant and Splittstoesser (1992)** indecated that, experimentally strains of *L. mon*ocytogenes differ widely in virulence as do the strains of other *Listeria* species, and added that, the pathogenicity within genus *Listeria* is restricted to two species *L. monocytogenes* and *L. ivanovii*.

In current study the pathogenicity of L. monocytogenes isolates was investigated in rabbits and mice. Among rabbit's pathogenicity test, attempt were made to infect 11 rabbits by all Listeria species isolated by instilling a live bacterial suspension into the conjunctiva. A purulent conjunctivitis (and occasionally a keratitis) developed within 24-72 h as shown in Tables (5), all isolates of L. monocytogenes proved to be highly pathogenic to rabbits and showed different degree of conjunctivitis. No reaction could be observed in rabbits infected with L. seeligeri, L. welshimeri, L. gravi and L. innocua. These observations is in agreement with the observation made by Osman et al. (2016) who observed that, instillation of a pure culture of L. monocytogenes into the conjunctiva of rabbit gave rise to severe conjunctivitis within 24h followed by keratitis and the animal itself rarely dies.

Γable (5). Experimental infection of rabbit with	Listeria species strains	s by eye instillation	(Anton's test).
---	--------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------

	Anton's test							
Number of examined strains	+++		++		+			
	Positive number	%	Positive number	%	Positive number	%		
L. monocytgenes (5)	2	40	2	40	1	20		
Other listeria species (6) (L. seeligeri, L. welshimeri, L. grayi and L. in- nocua).	-	0	-	0	-	0		

%: was calculated according to the number of examined strains. +++: Severe conjunctivitis appeared within18 hours

The production of listeriosis for mice was investigated experimintally as another classical method for identification and demonstrating the virulance in listeria by intraperitonial injection of mice with $(1x10^9 \text{ bacteria})$ of *L. seeligeri, L. welshimeri, L. grayi* and *L. innocua*

+: Weak conjunctivitis after 48 hours ++: Conjunctivitis after 24 hours

(one isolate each) resulted in no death, no clinical signs or post-mortem finding in all injected mice. On the other hand, the 5 *L. monocytogenes* isolates caused death rate ranges from 33.3 to 100% in mice within 1-6 days after injected of 10^9 bacterial cells as shown in Table (6). Nearly similar observations were reached by **Quinn** *et al.* (2011) who recorded that, *L. monocytogenes* killed the mice withen 2-4 days, and added that, the LD_{50} for mice ranged from $3x10^2$ to $1x10^9$ bacteria depending on mouse used, route of inoculation and the strain of listeria used. *L. monocytogenes* could be reisolated from heart blood, liver, spleen as well as brain of the infected mice. Severe conjunctivitis, subcutaneous heamorage septicaemic organs and tiny foci of necrosis appeared among spleen and liver of dead mice these strongly suggest that, *L. monocytogenes* isolates were highly virulent among other *Listeria* species which come in agreement with the postmortem examination of **Osman** *et al.* (2016).

 Table (6). Experimental infection of mice with the 5 examined L. monocytogenes and other Listeria species.

Number of examined	Number of inoc-	No. of dead mice/No	Death of mice		
strains (1x10 ^{9 bacterial cell)}	ulated mice	Number of dead mice	%	within (days)	
L. monocytogenes strains(5)	3	3	100	4 th , 5 th	
1	3	3	100	4 th , 5 th	
$\frac{2}{3}$	3	2	66.7	5 th , 6 th	
4	3	2	66.7	5 th , 6 th	
5	3	1	33.3	6 th	
Other Listeria species(6) (L. seeligeri, L. welshimeri, L. grayi and L. innocua)	18 (3x6)	-	0	-	

%: was calculated according to the number of inoculated mice.

Although the incidence of Listeriosis in Egypt is low, it required early diagnosis and appropriate antimicrobial therapy. For many years, there was no evidence of major changes in the susceptibility to antibiotics of L. monocytogenes isolated in industerialized countries (Heger et al., 1997 and Osman et al., 2016). In the present investigation, the in vitro sensitivity pattern of 11 Listeria isolates recovered from the examined infected buffalo eye to identify those antimicrobial agents to which Listeria species are generally susceptible to be useful in the treatment of listeriosis and to identify those antimicrobial agent which they are also resistance. As shown in Table (7), antimicrobial susceptibility tests were carried out using the disk diffusion method with nine different antibacterial drugs including penicillin (P10), ampicillin (AMP10), cefalexin (CL30), erythromycin (E15), tetracycline (TE20), gentamicin (CN10), lincomycin (B10), amoxicillin (Ax25) and ofloxacin (OFX). All tested L.

monocytogenes and other *Listeria* species were highly sensitive to ampicillin (11/11), erythromycin (10/11), Amoxicillin (9/11), moderate sensitive to tetracycline (8/11) and ofloxacin (7/11) as shown in Table (7). On the other hand the major resistance phenotypes were found to be against lincomycin (0/11).

These recorded results agree with **Tobias** et al. (2015) and Su et al. (2016) who concluded that, Listeria is usually susceptible to a wide range of antibiotic including ampicillin and erythromycin, and also go hand in hand with Raorane et al. (2014) who observed that, all L. monocytogenes isolates were sensitive towards, erythromycin, oxytetracycline, ampicillin, doxycycline and ciprofloxacin and showed intermediate resistances towards the penicillin, gentamicin and vancomycin. In addition this is in accordance with the well-known fact that *Listeria* species particularly *L. monocytogenes* are resistance to cephalosprins as recorded by Cabeza et al. (1989). Moreover Radostits et al. (1994) recordrd that, *Listerial* infections are commonly treated with penicillins or tetracylines, which may be effective in early stages of systemic disease. However, if severe symptoms have developed prior to initiation of intensive antibiotic treatment, the outcome is commonly fatal.

Also Weber *et al.* (1999) reported that, if the disease is left untreated, it can run up to three

weeks and with systemic and local antibiotic treatment up to 10 days. However, in some cases, signs disappeared within two weeks after the onset of the treatment in severely affected animals and in mildly affected in 4 days without therapy, just by discontinuing feeding from big bales, cattle with ocular listeriosis spontaneously healed within a week after they removed the possible source of infection.

Table (7). Determination of the in-vitro antimicrobial	l suceptibility test among	Listeria isolates.
--	----------------------------	--------------------

Chemotherapeutic Agent	Number of senstive Isolates	Number of resistant isolates
Ampicillin AMP (25 μg)	11/11	0
Amoxicillin Ax (25 µg)	9/11	2/11
Erythromycin E (15 µg)	10/11	1/11
Tetracycline TE (30 µg)	8/11	3/11
Ofloxacin OFX (10 µg)	7/11	4/11
PenicillinG P (10 IU)	5/11	6/11
Cephalexin CL (30 µg)	4/11	7/11
Gentamycin CN (10 µg)	5/11	6/11
Lincomycin L (2µg)	0	11/11

Ability of *L. monocytogenes* to cause disease depends upon the expression of virulence factors and immune status of individuals (Kocaman and Sarımehmetoglu, 2016). *L. monocytogenes* is one of the most invasive bacteria known and is capable of crossing intestinal, transplacental and blood brain barriers of the host, but the normal route of infection is by crossing intestinal barriers particular through the M cell of Payer's patches (Gray and Killinger, 1996 and Lecuit *et al.*, 2004).

L. monocytogenes virulence involves host cell adhesion and invasion, cytosolic replication and motility, and cell-to-cell spreading (Camejo et al., 2011). Each stage depends on the activity of well-characterized virulence factors, acting by subversion of host cell functions (Chen et al., 2017). Within the host, L. monocytogenes parasitizes macrophages and invades non-phagocytic cells, utilizing its virulence factors to mediate cell-to-cell spread (De las Heras et al., 2011). Liu (2006) and Liu et al. (2007) recorded that, under normal circumstrance L. monocytogenes enters the host via contaminated food. After surviving exposure to host proteolytic enzyme, the acidic stomach environment (pH 2.0), bile salts and nonspecific inflammatory assaults; L. monocytogenes adheres to and is internalized by host cells with the assistance of a family of surface proteins called internalins (e.g. inlA and inlB). Whereas inlA interacts with E-cadherin to mediate L. monocytogenes entry into epithelial cells, in/B recognizes C1q-R (or Met) to facilitate L. monocytogenes entry into a much broader range of host-cell types, including hepatocytes, fibroblasts and epithelioid cells (Chen et al., 2017 and Radoshevich and Cossart, 2018).

The intracellular mobility and cell-to-cell

spread of L. monocytogenes require another surface protein, ActA (a protein encoded by actA), which is cotranscribed with PC-PLC and mediates the formation of polarized actin tails that propel the bacteria toward the cytoplasmic membrane. At the membrane, bacteria become enveloped in filopodium-like structures that are engulfed by adjacent cells, resulting in the formation of secondary double-membrane vacuoles. Subsequent lysis of the secondary doublemembrane vacuoles signals the beginning of a new infection cycle, which is dependent on PC -PLC upon activation by Mpl (a metalloprotease encoded by mpl. In this manner, L. monocytogenes replicates and spread within the host avoiding the extracellular space and evading the immune system (de las Heras et al., 2011 and Muchaamba et al., 2019).

Molecular methods, especially, polymerase chain reaction (PCR), were as diagnostic tool for epidemiological investigation (Steckler et al., 2018). In the present study, PCR was successfully amplified virulence genes of *hlyA*, *intA*, *intB*, and *prfA* in the 5 isolates diagnosed bacteriologically as *L. monocytogenes* at respective specific base pair 174, 343, 800 and 1052 respectively as shown in Figures 1 2, and 3.

The results revealed that, all isolates positive for the *Int*B and *Inl*A genes as showed in Photoograph 1 and 2. *Inl*B is a protein located on the same operon as *inl*A and they are a surface proteins required for internalization of *L. monocytogenes* into host epithelial cells, such as macrophages, fibroblasts, hepatocytes, epithelial and endothelial cells (**Su** *et al.*, **2016**). It has been reported that 96% of clinical strains of *L. monocytogenes* express full-length *InlAB* operon as compared to 65% food-associated strains (**Su** *et al.*, **2016**).

Additionally, and as shown in Photo, 3 all isolates were positive for the *hly*A, which encode listeriolysin O, and highly species specific and supplied easily *L. monocytogenes* differentiation from other hemolytic *Listeria* spp. **Deneer and Boychuk (1991)** mentioned that, Listeriolysin O (LLO) is a 60-kDa protein; as Listeria is engulfed by the host cell, they are enclosed within an intracellular vacuole that is surrounded by a membrane. LLO is a pore-forming toxin, essential for lysing the vacuolar membrane in the host cell, thus facilitating the escape of *L. monocytogenes* from the vacuole.

PrfA is the only regulator identified to date in Listeria spp. which is directly involved in the control of virulence gene expression. This protein is the main switch of a regulon including the majority of the known listeria virulence genes. Some of the members of the regulon, including LIPI-1 genes (with prfA itself) and several genes of the subfamily of secreted internalins (e.g., inlC of L. monocytogenes and iinlE of L. ivanovii), are tighly regulated by PrfA; others, such as the inlAB operon, are only partially regulated by PrfA (Nadon et al., 2002). However, the expression of some listerial virulence genes is totally independent of *Prf*A, as is the case for the SMase gene of *L*. ivanovii, smcL, and the inlGHE internalin locus of L. monocytogenes. There is evidence that PrfA also negatively regulates some L. monocytogenes genes, such as the stress response mediator gene *clp*C and the motilityassociated genes motA and flaA (Ollinger et al., 2009). This suggests that PrfA has a more global regulatory role in L. monocytogenes.

To sum up, the positively of *L. monocytogenes* isolates for the four tested genes indicates that these isolates possess the properties of virulent strain and their sequences may be further investigated to explore the differences between pathogenic and less pathogenic strains. Our molecular results agree with that recorded by **Gaberet al., 2014** and **Osman et al., 2016**. On the other hand, **Gerstel et al. (1996)** showed that, none of other *Listeria* spp. than *L. monocytogenes* isolates amplified these tested virulence genes, which can be explained as the genetic information of these genes in other *Listeria* spp. could be divergent from that of *L. monocytogenes*.

Due to difference in the strains and techniques used for extraction of proteins, the obtained results could not be accurately compared with results of similar previous studies especially for the band sizes. It is known that the catabolism of added sugars might change the pH of the medium, resulting in the suppression of some proteins and enhancement of others (Datta and Kothary, 1993). Likewise, temperature and growth phase are important factors that influence the expression of many virulence factors, such as internalin, listeriolysin, phospholipases and actin polymerization proteins (**Dramsi** *et al.*, 1993).



Photo. (1): Detection of *inlB* gene among *L. monocytogenes* using polymerase chain reaction (PCR).L: DNA ladder (100 - 600 bp.). **Pos.:** Positive control. Neg.: Negative control. Lanes (1-5): showing positive *inlB* gene.



Photo. (2): Detection of *inlA* gene among *L.monocytogenes* isolates using polymerase chain reaction (PCR). L: DNA ladder (100 -1000 bp.). **Pos:** Positive control. **Neg:** Negative control. **Lanes (1-5):** showing positive *inlA* gene.



Photo. (3): Detection of *prfA* and *hlyA* genes among *L.monocytogenes* isolates using polymerase chain reaction (PCR). L: DNA ladder (100-1500bp.), **Pos:** Positive control. Neg: Negative control. Lanes (1-5): showing positive *prfA* and *hlyA* genes.

Conclusion: L. monocytogenes is an intracellular opportunistic organism found as contaminants of environment and different materials. It can multiply at a higher rate in poorly stored silage and rotting vegetation in which these are aerobic conditions and a pH that is higher than 5.4. Also it can survive at a refrigerator temperature, so that; Listeria infection is most prevalent during winter. These contribute as a source of infection to animals. The source of infection was most probably feeding on low quality grass silage. On the basis of the obtained data, we concluded that, L. monocytogenes is susceptible to most commonly used antimicrobial drugs. PCR results revealed that, all isolates of L. monocytogenes were positive for the presence of *inlA*, *inlB*, *prfA* and *hlyA* genes, this indicates that, these isolates possess the properties of virulent strain and their sequences may be further investigated to explore the differences between pathogenic and less pathogenic strains that leading to variety in clinical picture of the disease. Based on the above conclusions, the following recommendations are forwarded.

- As *L. monocytogenes* does not multiply to any month. Significant extent in effectively preserved silage, which is characterized by anaerobic storage, high concentration of organic acids and a pH below 4.5, the silage should be stored under these characterized conditions to maintain its quality.
- Incorporation of silage into the diet should be gradual and provision of green pasture should be encouraged.
- Spread hay out and avoid or lower overhead feeders if possible
- Susceptible animals' specially

young and pregnant one should not be exposed to wet, cool, unhygienic environment and not feed on silage.

• Silage that is obviously decayed should be avoided.

References

- Adel, H.; Amro, A.; Hazem, H. and Elham, A. (2018). Zoonotic and Molecular Aspects of *Listeria* Species Isolated From Some Farm Animals at Dakahlia Province in Egypt. AJVS. 58 (1): 208-214.
- Åkerstedt, J. and Hofshagen, M. (2004). Bacteriological investigation of infectious keratoconjunctivitis in Norwegian sheep. Acta Vet Scand, 45: 19-26.
- Al-Ashmawy, M.A.; Gwida, M.M. and Abdelgalil, K.H. (2014). Prevalence, Detection Methods and Antimicrobial Susceptibility of *Listeria monocytogenes* Isolated from Milk and Soft Cheeses and its Zoonotic Importance. World Appl. Sci. J. 29: 869-878.
- Al-Gaabary, M.H.; Rafik, J.J. and Osman, S.A. (2008). Comparative study of infectious bovine keratoconjunctivitis (IBK) in cattle and buffaloes.13 Th Sci. Cong. Fac. Vet. Med. Assiut. Univ. Egypt.
- Beumer, B.B.; Giffel, M.C. and Cox, L.J. (1997). Optimization of hemolysis in enhanced hemolysis agar (EHA) a selective medium for the isolation of *Listeria monocytogenes* Lett. Appl. Microbiol., 24(5): 421-425.
- Brown, M.H.; Brightman, A.H.; Fenwick, B.W. and Rider, M.A. (1998). Infectious bovine keratoconjunctivitis: a review. J Vet Intern Med.12 (4): 259-66.
- Cabeza, F.J.; Cuerrero, L.; Mur, M.; Peribanez, C.; Sebastian, A.; Lopez-

Melus. M.T. and Toledo, M. (1989). A portacion de UN caso y revision de la literature. Rev. Esp. Microbiol. Clin. 7:450-452.

- Camejo, A.; Carvalho, F.; Reis, O.; Leit~ao, E.; Sousa, S. and Cabanes, D. (2011). The arsenal of virulence factors deployed by *Listeria monocytogenes* to promote its cell infection cycle. Virulence. 2:379 394.
- Chen, G.Y.; Pensinger, D.A. and Sauer, J.D. (2017). *Listeria monocytogenes* cytosolic metabolism promotes replication, survival and evasion of innate immunity. *Cell Microbiol.* 19:e12762. doi: 10.1111/ cmi.12762.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute [CLSI] (2012). Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. CLSI Document M100–S22. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.
- Cocolin, L.; Stellab, T.S.; Nappic, R.; Bozzettac, E.; Cantonib, C. and Comi, G. (2005). Analysis of PCR- based methods for characterization of *L.monocytogenes* strains isolated from different sources. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 103: 167-178.
- Cooper J. and Walker R.D. (1998). Listeriosis. Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract, 14, 113-125.
- **Cullen, C. and Webb, A.A. (2013).** Ocular manifestations of systemic disease. Part 4: food animals. In: Gelatt, K.N.; Gilger, B.C.; Kern, T.J. and Ames, I.A. (editors) Veterinary Ophthalmology. Volume 2. 5th edition. : Wiley-Blackwell. p. 2071–101.
- Datta, A.R. and Kothary, M.H. (1993). Effects of glucose, growth temperature,

and pH on Listeriolysin O production in *Listeria monocytogenes*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., **59**, 3495–3497.

- De las Heras, A.; Cain, R.; Bielecka, M. and Vázquez-Boland, J. (2011). Regulation of *Listeria* virulence: PrfA master and commander. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol* 14, 118–127. doi: 10.1016/j. mib. 2011. 01.005.
- Deneer, H. and Boychuk, I. (1991). Species-specific identification of *Listeria monocytogenes* by DNA amplification. Appl Environ Microbiol, 57: 606–609.
- Dickinson, J.H.; Kroll, R.G. and Grant, K.A. (1995). The direct application of the polymerase chain reaction to DNA extracted from foods. *Letters in Applied Microbiology* 20: 212-216.
- Dramsi, S.; Biswas, L.; Maguin, E.; Braun, L.; Mastroeni, P. and Cossart, P. (1993). Internalin-mediated invasion of epithelial cells by *Listeria monocytogenes* is regulated by the bacterial growth state, temperature and the pleiotropic activator prfA. Mol. Microbiol., 9: 931– 941.
- Dussurget, O.; Pizarro-Cerda, J. and Cossart, P. (2004). Molecular determinants of *Listeria monocytogenes* virulence. Annu Rev Microbiol. 58: 587-610.
- Erdogan, H.M. (2010). Listerial keratoconjunctivitis and uveitis (silage eye). Vet. Clin. North Am. Food Anim. Pract. 26: 505–510.
- Erdogan, H.M.; Cetinkaya, B.; Green, L.E.; Cripps, P.J. and Morgan, K.L. (2001). Prevalence, incidence, signs and treatment of clinical listeriosis in dairy cattle in England. Vet. Rec. 149: 289– 293.

- Evans. K.; Smith, M.; McDonough. P. and Wiedmann, M. (2004). Eye infections due to *Listeria monocytogenes* in three cows and one horse. J Vet Diagn Invest. 16: 464–9.
- Farber, J.M. and Peterkin, P.I. (1991). *Listeria monocytogenes*, a food-borne pathogen. Microbiol. Review, 55: 476–511.
- Federal, R. (1988). Bacteriological Analytical Manual, Chapter 29, *Listeria* isolation, revised method of analysis. Fed. Regist. 55: 44153-44158.
- Fentahun, T. and Fresebehat, A. (2012). Listeriosis in small ruminants: a review. Adv Biolog Res. 6: 202–9.
- Gaber, S.; Ayman, M. and Samia, M. (2014). Molecular characterization of *Listeria* species isolated from frozen fish Alex. J. Of Vet. Scie. 40:1-15.
- Gebretsadik, S.; Kassa, T.; Alemayehu, H.; Huruy, K. and Kebede, N. (2011). Isolation and characterization of *Listeria monocytogenes* and other *Listeria* species in foods of animal origin in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. J. Infect. Public Health 4(1): 22-29.
- Gerstel, B.; Gröbe, L.; Pistor, S.; Chakraborty, T. and Wehland, J. (1996). The ActA polypeptides of *Listeria ivanovii* and *Listeria monocytogenes* harbor related binding sites for host microfilament proteins. Infect. and Immun.64: 1929–1936.
- Gray, M.L. and Killinger, A.H. (1996). *Listeria monocytogenes* and listeric infections. Bacteriol Rev. 30: 309–374.
- Groves, R.D. and Welshimer, H.J. (1977). Separation of pathogenic from apathogenic *Listeria monocytogenes* by

three in vitro reactions. J Clin Microbiol. 1977 Jun; 5(6): 559–563.

- Guillet, C.; Join-Lambert, O.; Monnier,
 A.; Leclercq, A.; Mechai, F.; Mamzer-Bruneel, M.; Bielecka, M.; Scortti, M.;
 Disson, O.; Berche, P.; Vazquez-Boland, J.; Lortholary, O. and Lecuit,
 M. (2010). Human Listeriosis caused by *Listeria ivanovii*. Emerg. Infect. Dis. J.16:136–138.
- Hanaa, S.A. (2013). Public health significance of *Listeria monocytogenes* as a food-borne pathogen. M.V.Sc. Thesis, (Zoonoses), Fac. of Vet. Med. Cairo Univ.
- Heger, W.; Dierich, M.P.; and Allerberger, F. (1997). In vitro susceptibility of *Listeria monocytogenes*. Comparison of the Etest with agar dilution test. Chemotherapy-Basel, 43 (5): 303-310.
- Hellenic Center for Disease Control and Prevention [HCDCP](2018): Available at: http://www. keelpno. gr/ Portals /0 / Files/ English % 20 files/ Reports %20 (annual-periodical)/ 2016/ Epidemiological %20 data %20 for %20 listeriosis, % 20 Greece, %202004-2016.pdf.
- Ho, A.J.; Ivanek, R.; Grohn, Y.T.; Nightingale, K.K. and Wiedmann, M. (2007). Listeria monocytogenes fecal shedding in dairy cattle shows high levels of day-to-day variation and includes outbreaks and sporadic cases of shedding of specific L. monocytogenes subtypes. Prev. Vet. Med. 80: 287–305.
- Isome, L.L.; Ahmed, A.H. and Martin, S.E. (1995). Influence of plasmid on catalase and superoxide dismutase activates in *L. monocytogenes*. J. Food. Prot., 58 (9): 955-959.

- Janakiraman, V. (2008). Listeriosis in pregnancy: diagnosis, treatment, and prevention. Rev Obstet Gynecol. 1:179 -185.
- Jessica, W.; Rhys, O.; Amy, G.; Asher, F.; Grazieli, M.; Rodrigo; Nova, J.; Wendela, W.; Catherine, R. and Sabine, T. (2015). A new bovine conjuncti VA model shows that *Listeria monocytogenes* invasion is associated with lysozyme resistance. Veterinary Microbiology 179: 76–81.
- Johansson, J.; Mandin, P.; Renzoni, A.; Chiaruttini, C.; Springer, M. and Cossart, P. (2002). An RNA thermosensor controls expression of virulence genes in *Listeria monocytogenes*. Cell. 110: 551 - 561.
- John, A.A.; Phillip, Q.; Spinks, L.M. and Lisle, W.G. (2007). Moraxella bovoculi sp. nov., isolated from calves with infectious bovine keratoconjunctivitis. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 57: 789-795..
- Kamal, M.A.; Hussein, S.A. and Mahmmoud, E.N. (2012). Infectious Kerato-Counjunctivitis in Local Buffaloes Breeds In Mosul, Mosul- Iraq. Bas. J. Vet. Res. 11(1): 148-154.
- Kocaman, N. and Sarimehmetoğlu, B. (2016). Stress responses of *Listeria monocytogenes*. Ankara Univ Vet Fak Derg. 63(4): 421-427.
- Kotzamanidis, C.; Papadopoulos, T.; Vafeas, G.; Tsakos, P., Giantzi, V. and Zdragas, A. (2019). Characterization of *Listeria monocytogenes* from encephalitis cases of small ruminants from different geographical regions, in Greece Journal of Applied Microbiology 126: 1373-1382 ©

- Laven, R.A. and Lawrence, K.R. (2006). An outbreak of iritis and uveitis in dairy cattle at pasture associated with the supplementary feeding of baleage. NZ Vet J, 54: 151-152.
- Lecuit, M. (2007). Human listeriosis and animal models. Microbes. Infect. 9:1216 1225.
- Lecuit, M.; Nelson, D. M.; Smith, S.D.; Khun, H.; Huerre, M.; Vacher-Lavenu, M.; Gordon, J.I. and Cossart, P. (2004). Targeting and crossing of the human maternofetal barrier by *Listeria monocytogenes*: role of internalin interaction with trophoblast E-cadherin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101: 6152-6157.
- Liu, D. (2006). Identification, subtyping and virulence determination of *Listeria monocytogenes*, an important foodborne pathogen. Journal of Medical Microbiology 55: 645–659.
- Liu, D.; Lawrence, M.; Ainsworth, A. and Austin, F. (2007). Toward an improved laboratory definition of *Listeria monocytogenes* virulence. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 118:101 – 115.
- Mckellar, R.C. (1994). Use of the CAMP test for identification of *Listeria mono-cytogenes*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol, 60: 9219-9225.
- Menudier, A.; Bosiraud, C. and Nicolas, J.A. (1991). Virulence of *Listeria monocytogenes* serovars and Listeria spp. in experimental infection of mice. J Food Prot. 54: 917–21.
- Muchaamba, F.; Athmanya, K.; Marc J.; Ueli, V. and Taurai, T. (2019). Variable Carbon Source utilization, stress resistance, and virulence profiles among *Listeria monocytogenes* strains Responsi-

ble for Listeriosis Outbreaks in Switzerland Front. Microbiol. 10:957.doi: 10.3389/fmicb.00957.

- Murat, K.; Kadir, S. and Ahmet, O. (2006). Evaluation of Various Antibiotic Treatments in Calves with Infectious Bovine Keratoconjunctivitis. Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci. 30: 553-559.
- Nadeau, E. (2007). Effects of plant species, stage of maturity and additive on the feeding value of whole-crop cereal silage. J Sci. Food Agric 87: 789–801.
- Nadon, C.A.; Bowen, M.B.; Wiedmann, M. and Boor, K.J. (2002). Sigma B contributes to *PrfA*-mediated virulence in *Listeria monocytogenes*. Infect. Immun.70, 3948–3952. doi: 10.1128/IAI.70 (7): 3948-3952..
- Nightingale, K.K.; Fortes, E.D.; Ho. A.J.; Schukken, Y.H.; Grohn, Y.T. and Wiedmann, M. (2005). Evaluation of farm management practices as risk factors for clinical listeriosis and fecal shedding of *Listeria monocytogenes* in ruminants. J. Am Vet Med Assoc, 227: 1808-1814.
- Ollinger, J.; Bowen, B.; Wiedmann, M.; Boor, J. and Bergholz, T.M. (2009). Listeria monocytogenes σB modulates PrfA-mediated virulence factor expression. Infect. Immun. 77: 2113–2124.
- Osman, M.k.; Ahmed; Usama H.; Essam H.; Ahmed, O. and Tara, Z. (2016). Determination of virulence and antibiotic resistance pattern of biofilm producing *Listeria* species isolated from retail raw milk. BMC Microbiology.16:263 DOI10.1186/s12866-016-0880-7.
- Pauly, T.M. and Tham, W.A. (2003). Survival of *Listeria monocytogenes* in wilted and additive-treated grass silage.

Acta Vet Scand, 44: 73-86.

- **Pearce, J.W. and Moore, C.P. (2013).** Food animal ophthalmology. In: Gelatt, K.N.; Gilger, B.C.; Kern, T. J. and Ames, I.A. editors. Veterinary ophthalmology. Volume 2. 5thedition. W ley-Blackwell; p. 1610–74.
- Quinn, P.J.; Markey, B. K.; Leonard, F. C.; Patrick, E. S.; Fanning, S. and Hartigan, P.J. (2011). Veterinary microbiology and microbial disease, 2nd edition. Chichester, West Sussex, UK: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Radoshevich, L. and Cossart, P. (2018). *Listeria monocytogenes*: towards a complete picture of its physiology and pathogenesis. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 16 32–46. 10.1038/nrmicro.2017.126.
- Radostits, O.M.; Blood, D.C. and Gay, C.C. (1994). Diseases caused by *Listeria* spp. In: Veterinary medicine. 8th edition. London: Bailliere Tindall; p. 660-6.
- Rajesh, K.; Suresh, K. and Syaama Sundar, N. (2009). Infectious Bovine Keratocoujunctivitis, clinical and therapeutic aspects.Buffalo Bulletin .8 (3)110-112.
- Raorane, A.; Swapnil, D.; Shilpa, K.; Ajay, P.; Krupali, P.;, Zunjar, D. and Sukhadeo, B. (2014). Prevalence of-*Listeria spp.* in Animals and Associated Environment Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences 2 (2): 81 – 85.
- Ray, B. and Bhunia, A. (2013). Fundamental Food Microbiology, fifth edition.
- Reda, W.W.; Abdel-Moein, K.; Hegazi, A.; Mohamed, Y. and Abdel-Razik, K. (2016). *Listeria monocytogenes*: An emerging food-borne pathogen and its

public health implications. J. Infection in Developing Countries, 10(02), 149-154.

- Rocourt, J.; Alonso, J.M. and Seeliger, H.P.R. (1983). Differentiation biochimique des groupes génomiques de *Listeria monocytogenes* (sensulato). Ann. Microbiol. (Inst. Pasteur), 134A: 359-364.
- Sambrook, J. and Russell, D.W. (2001). Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 3rd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Sarigson, N. (1993). Health hazards associated with the feeding of big bale silage. In Pract, 15: 291-297.
- Schnupf, P. and Portnoy, D.A. (2007). Listeriolysin O: a phagosomespecific lysin. Microbes Infect. 9: 1176 -1187.
- Slatter, D.H.; Edeards, M.E.; Hhwkins, C.D. and Wilcox, G.E. (2008). A national survey of the clinical features, treatment and importance of infectious bovine keratoconjunctivitis. Aust.Vet.J.59 (3) 69 -72.
- Sneath, P.H.A.; Brenner, D.J.; Bryant, M.P.; Holt, J.G.; Krieg, N.R.; Moulder, J.E.; Murray, R.G.E.; Pfennig, N.; Staley, J.T. and William, S.T. (1989). Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology, Williams and Wilkins Baltimore.
- Steckler, A.J.; Cardenas-Alvarez, M.X.; Townsend Ramsett, M.K.; Dyer, N. and Bergholz, T.M. (2018). Genetic characterization of *Listeria monocyto*genes from ruminant.
- Swetha, C.; Madhava, T.; Krishnaiah, N. and Kumar, V. (2013). Detection of *Listeria monocytogenes* in fish samples by PCR. Anuals of Biological. Res. 3 (4):

1880-1884.

- Su, X.; Zhang, J.; Shi, W.; Yang, X.; Li, Y.; Pan, H.; Kuang, D. and Xu, X. (2016). Molecular characterization and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Listeria monocytogenes*.
- Tobias, R.; Takele, A.; Hege Brun, H.; Signe, L.; Ernst-Otto, R.; Robert A. and Henning, S. (2015). *Listeria monocytogenes* associated kerato-conjunctivitis in four horses in Norway. Revold *et al. Acta Vet Scand* 57: 76.
- Vanderzant, C. and Splittstoesser, D.F. (1992). Compendium of methods for the microbiological examination of food. 3thEd. American Public Health Association 1015 Fifteenth Street, NW Washington Dc 20005.
- Weber, A.; Potel, J.; Schafer-Schmidt, R.; Prell, A. and Datzmann, C. (1999). Studies on the Occurrence of *Listeria monocytogenes* in Fecal Samples of Domestic and Companion Animals. Zentralbl. Hyg. Umweltmed. 198: 117-123.
- Wesley, I.V. (2007). Listeriosis in animals. In: Ryser ET, Marth EH, editors. Listeria, listeriosis, and food safety.New York: Marcel Dekker; pp. 39–40.
- World organization of animal health [OIE] (2014). Listeria monocytogenes. Chapter 2.9.7. Manual of diagnostic tests and vaccines for terrestrial animals. p. 1 18. Available from: http://www.oie.int/ manual-of-diag nostic-tests-and-vaccinesfor-terr-estrial-animals.
- Yeruham, I.; Perl, S. and Elad, D. (2001). Infectious bovine keratoconjuctivitis and lymphofollicular hyperplasia of the third eyelid in heifers, J.Vet. Med. Series B 48(2)137-141.