ISSN: 2356-7767

Pathological changes associated with *Mycoplasma*, *Pasteurella* and *E. coli* infections in respiratory manifested turkeys Rania, H. Abd-Algawad*; Rehab, E. Mowafy** Noha, M.A. Atia***; Amira, E. Lamey *** and Sanaa, M.M. Salem** *Mycoplasma Department, AHRI, Dokki - ARC **Pathology Department, Zagazig Province Leb. AHRL

Pathology Department, Zagazig Province Lab. AHRI- ARC *Bacteriology Department, Zagazig Province Lab. AHRI- ARC Animal Health Research Institute (AHRI) Agriculture Research Center (ARC), Egypt

Received in 5/8/2020 Accepted in 3/9/2020

Abstract

This study throws a spot of light on some bacterial agents as one of the causes of the respiratory diseases in different aged turkeys in some turkey farms at Al-Sharkia Governorate. These agents include; Mycoplasma, Pasteurella and E. coli species as well as Staph. and Klebsiella species. The prevalence rate declared 29.06%, 16.27%, 9.3%, 11.6%, 5.8% of E. coli, Mycoplasma, P. multocida, Staph. and Klebsiella isolates, respectively of either single or mixed infection. Biochemical identification revealed the detection of M. gallisepticum, M. synoviae, M. gallinarum S. aureus and coagulase negative Staph. "CNS" isolates. E. coli, P. multocida and K. pneumoniae were serologically identified revealing E. coli serogroups; O78, O1 and O2, P. multocida Type A and K. pneumoniae K1, K2. Molecular studying using PCR technique detected the specific genes for these isolates. In addition, M. gallinarum isolate was sequenced using the 16SrRNA gene and submitted on the Genbank. MG, MS and E. coli showed sensitivity to ciprofloxacin, while K. pneumoniae and Staph spp. revealed sensitivity to gentamycin and chloramphenicol. Clinical signs, postmortem and pathological examination revealed commonly prevalent but not characteristic respiratory disorders in both trachea and lung represented in detached cilia, glandular hypertrophy, mucosal metaplasia with leucocytic cells infiltration and hemosiderosis, while lung showed lymhofollicular reaction, thickening of interalveolar septa, fibrosis, hemorrhage and caseous necrosis beside leucocytic cells infiltration of mainly heterophiles. Full description to incidence and prevalence of these lesions accompanied with each pathogen were provided.

Keywords: Turkeys, Mycoplasma, Pasteurella, E. coli, Pathology, PCR, Antibiotics sensitivity.

Introduction

Turkey is a large native bird in Egypt. Its meat is highly nutritious and is a popular protein source consumed around the world. Respiratory diseases cause heavy economic losses in poultry industry due to increased mortality rates, alter bird's health resulting in high condemnation rates at slaughter and increased medication costs. They may be induced by various viral and bacterial agents, either alone or in combination (Marien *et al.*, 2005).

Poultry respiratory diseases are known to be caused by many pathogens including; *M. gallisepticum*, *M. synoviae*, *M. meleagridis*, *M. iowae*, *P. multocida*, *Klebsiella* spp., *Staph*. spp., and *E. coli* with associated significant economic losses to the industry (Sid *et al.*, 2015).

Mycoplasmosis is one of the most important poultry diseases causing significant economic losses in many countries. Most of these losses are related directly or indirectly to *M. gallisepticum* (*MG*) with or without complicating factors (Levisohn and Kleven, 2000). *MG* can cause severe chronic respiratory disease (CRD) when present in concern with other poultry pathogens including *E. coli* (Stipkovits *et al.*, 2012).

M. gallinarum belongs to the hominis phylogenetic group within the *Mollicutes* class. The

ubiquitous nature of *M. gallinarum* in poultry as well as mammals has been reported by many investigators (Wan *et al.*, 2010). In poultry, *M. gallinarum* is commonly thought to be unable to cause disease by itself but can cause the disease when associated with viral diseases or vaccines.

Pneumonia and airsacculitis are common pathological findings in turkeys with fowl cholera; however, morphological descriptions of *P. multocida* induced, acute experimental pneumonia and airsacculitis in the turkey are lacking (Quinn *et al.*, 2011).

Avian colibacillosis could start as a respiratory infection then became generalized. Systemic infection by avian pathogenic *E. coli* (APEC) needs respiratory organs colonization and subsequent bacteremia (Guabiraba and Schouler, 2015). Serotyping is still the most frequently used typing method for diagnostic purposes. O1, O2 and O78 are reported as the main serotypes in poultry (Vandekerchove *et al.*, 2004).

Post mortem examinations in association with conventional culturing procedures coupled by recent molecular techniques had encountered *MG*, either alone or together with other bacterial population as *P. multocida* and *E. coli* as the main etiologic agents accounted for respiratory problems in infected turkey flocks in Egypt (Abdelhameed *et al.*, 2009).

Grossly, bilateral lung consolidation with numerous miliary caseous nodules with signs of gasping or dyspnea were noticed in staphylococcal infected turkeys while, pathology revealed the lungs with multifocal bronchopneumonia characterized by flooding of the parabronchi by degenerating heterophils and serofibrinous exudate often containing bacterial colonies. Multi nucleated giant cells, resulting in formation of heterophilic granulomas. The interstitium was congested and infiltrated by a mixture of heterophils, macrophages, and lymphocytes (Linares and Wigle, 2001). Avian pasteurellosis in turkey showed vascular disturbances as passive hyperaemia and congestion with hemorrhages in lungs. The lungs showed hemorrhages, followed by necrosis and fibrinous pleuro-pneumonia with extensive exudation of fibrin is common. The lesions are mainly associated with heterophilic infiltrations. In chronic forms, suppurative lesions may be widely distributed (Christensen and Bisgaard, 2000).

Antimicrobials in poultry are considered a double weapon as they are an appreciated tool in controlling the clinical disease and to maintain healthy birds, but they also have been incriminated in dissemination of antibiotic resistant bacteria (Gosh and LaPara, 2007). This can pose a risk not only to poultry but also to humans either by direct contact or indirectly via the food production chain (WHO, 2011).

This study aimed to declare the role of some bacterial agents to cause respiratory disease and their lesions in turkeys as a single or mixed infection and thus their control.

Materials and Methods

Sampling:

Eighty six different samples including; 34 swabs and 52 tissues were collected under complete aseptic conditions for bacteriological, molecular and pathological examinations. Swabs for bacteriological examination were collected from nostrils and tracheas from living diseased turkeys suffering respiratory signs. Tissues including; 26 tracheas and 26 lungs of freshly dead or sacrificed diseased birds were also collected. Samples were collected from three breeds of turkeys; Hybrid Grade Maker, Hybride XL and Native Balady housed in four farms at Al- Sharkia Governorate of average ages of 5wk to one year.

Isolation and identification of bacterial agents:

Collected samples were cultured according to **Frey** *et al.*, **1968** for the isolation of *Mycoplasma* spp.

Mycoplasma isolates identification was carried out by digitonin sensitivity test as described by Erno and Stipkovits (1973) and Razin *et al.* (1998).

Biochemical characterization of purified *Mycoplasma* isolates including; glucose fermentation, arginine deamination and film & spot formation tests were done according to Fabricant and Freundt (1967) and Watson *et al.* (1988). All samples were cultured onto nutrient broth, incubated aerobically then streaked on blood agar, MacConkey agar, EMB agar, Mannitol salt agar, paired parker media. Members of Enterobacteraceae were identified biochemically

by IMVC tests, TSI, urea production, lysine decarboxylase tests according to Quinn *et al.* (2011). *Pasteurella* isolates were identified according to Cruickshank *et al.* (1975) and Holt *et al.* (1994). *Staph* colonies were identified according to Quinn *et al.* (2002).

Serologic identification of *P. multocida*, *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* isolates:

The suspected *P. multocida, E. coli* and *Klebsiella* isolates were sent to Food Analysis Center - Faculty of Veterinary Medicine - Benha University for the serologic identification according to Kok *et al.* (1996) and Carter (1984), respectively.

Molecular identification of the bacterial isolates:

DNA extraction:

Mycoplasma isolates DNA was extracted using Thermo genomic DNA extraction kit, Cat. No. k0721, Lithuania, while the bacterial isolates DNA extraction was performed using the QI-Aamp DNA Mini kit (Qiagen, Germany, GmbH) according the manufacturer's recommendations.

Oligonucleotide Primers:

Mycoplasma primers were prepared by "Macrogen Company", South Korea, while other bacterial primers were supplied from "Metabion", Germany as shown in **table (1)**.

Cycling reaction:

PCR reactions of Mycoplasma isolates were performed in a "Gradient Thermal cycler 1000S" (Bio - RAD, USA). The reaction mixture (total volume of 50µl) was 25µl Dream Tag Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo Scientific Company, Lithuania), 5µl target DNA, 2µl of each primers (containing 10pmole/µl) and the mixture was completed by RNAse DNAse free sterile distilled water to 50µl. Primers of other bacterial isolates were utilized in a 25µl reaction containing 12.5µl of Emerald Amp Max PCR Master Mix (Takara, Japan), 1µl of each primer of 20pmol concentration, 4.5µl of water, and 6µl of DNA template. The reaction was performed in an "Applied biosystem 2720 thermal cycler".

 Table (1). Primers used for identification of different bacterial isolates

Bacterial isolates	Target gene	Product sequence (5'-3')	Product size (bp)	References
<i>Mycoplasma</i> spp.	16SrRNA	GGG AGC AAA CAG GAT TAG ATA CCC T TGC ACC ATC TGT CAC TCT GTT AAC CTC	280	Van Kuppeveld <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , (1994)
M. synoviae	16SrRNA	GAG AAG CAA AAT AGT GAT ATC A CAG TCG TCT CCG AAG TTA ACA A	214	OIE, (2008)
M. gallisepticum	mgc2	GCT TTG TGT TCT CGG GTG CTA CGG TGG AAA ACC AGC TCT TG	824	Ferguson <i>et al.</i> , (2005)
P. multocida	16SrRNA	GCT GTA AAC GAA CTC GCC AC ATC CGC TAT TTA CCC AGT GG	460	Townsend <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 1998
E. coli	phoA	CGA TTC TGG AAA TGG CAA AAG CGT GAT CAG CGG TGA CTA TGA C	720	Hu <i>et al.</i> , (2011)
Klebsiella spp.	gyrA	CGC GTA CTA TAC GCC ATG AAC GTA ACC GTT GAT CAC TTC GGT CAG G	441	Brisse and Verhoef, (2001)
S. aureus	clfA	GCA AAA TCC AGC ACA ACA GGA AAC GA CTT GAT CTC CAG CCA TAA TTG GTG G	638	Mason <i>et al.,</i> (2001)

PCR cycling protocol of the bacterial isolates:

The thermal profile of *16SrRNA* gene of *Mycoplas-ma* consisted of 40 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 min., primer annealing at 55°C for 1 min., and primer extension at 72°C for 2 min. (Van Kuppeveld *et al.*, 1994).

DNA amplification of mgc2 specific gene of M. gallisepticum was performed as the following; 94°C for 3 min., and 40 cycles of 94°C for 20 sec., 58°C for 40 sec., 72°C for 60 sec., and 72°C for 5 min. (Ferguson *et al.*, 2005).

Concerning *M. synoviae*, the DNA amplification was performed for 40 cycles as follows; $94^{\circ}C$ for 30 sec., $55^{\circ}C$ for 30 sec., $72^{\circ}C$ for 60 sec., then final extension cycle at $72^{\circ}C$ for 5 min. **(OIE, 2008)**.

P. multocida DNA was amplified with an initial denaturation at 95°C for 4 min., followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 1 min., annealing at 55°C for 1 min., extension at 72°C for 1 min., and a final extension at 72°C for 9 min. (Townsend, *et al.*, 1998).

E. coli DNA was amplified as followed; initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min., followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec., 55°C for 40 sec., 72°C for 45 sec. and 72°C for 10 min. (Hu *et al.*, 2011).

Klebsiella spp. PCR cycling protocol is performed as; 95°C for 5 min. followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec., 55°C for 40 sec., 72°C for 45 sec., 72°C for 10 min. (Brisse and Verhoef, 2001).

S. aureus DNA amplification profile was; 94° C for 5 min., followed by 35 cycle of 94°C for 30 sec., 55°C for 45 sec., 72°C for 45 sec., 72° C for 10 min. (Mason *et al.*, 2001).

Analysis of the PCR Products:

Amplified bacterial PCR products were electrophoresed on 1.5% agrose gel in Tris acetate EDTA and visualized by UV transilluminator. A 100bp Plus DNA Ladder, Cat. No. BM311-01 (TransGen Boitech, China) was used to determine the amplicon sizes. The gel was photographed by a gel documentation system (Alpha Innotech, Biometra) and the data was analyzed through computer software.

Purification and sequencing of Mycoplasma isolate:

The PCR product was sequenced on GATC Company by using ABI 3730x1 DNA sequencer using the forward and reverse primers.

Sequence analysis:

BioEdit sequence alignment editor (Hall, 1999) and MegAlign, DNASTAR, Lasergene®, Version 7.1.0, USA. The phylogenetic tree was constructed using MegAlign for tree reconstruction of sequences by Neighborjoining method based on ClustalW (Thomposon *et al.*, 1994). Sequence divergence and identity percent was calculated by MegAlign.

Pathological examinations:

Specimens from tracheas and lungs of the affected turkey birds either sacrificed or freshly dead were collected after PM examination and fixed in 10% buffered neutral formalin. Paraffin sections of 2-3 micron thickness were prepared and stained with hematoxylin and eosin stain then examined microscopically (Survarna *et al.*, 2013).

Antibiotic Sensitivity Test:

The antibiotic susceptibility test was performed using agar disc diffusion method against commonly used chemotherapeutic agents (Koneman *et al.*, 1997). Interpretation of the results based on the diameter of the inhibition zones was performed according to guidelines of CLSI (2017).

Resluts

Recovery rate of different bacterial isolates:

The recovery rates of *E. coli*, *Mycoplasma spp.* and *P. multocida* were 25/86 (29.06%), 14/86 (16.27%), and 8/86 (9.3%), respectively. In addition, 10/86 (11.6%) were recovered as *Staph* isolates including; *S. aureus* 7/86 (8.13%) and CNS 3/86 (3.48%) while, *Klebsiella* isolates 5/86 (5.8%) were also isolated **(Table 2)**.

	Samples										
Bacterial isolates	Tra	ichea	L	ung	Sv	Total					
	single	mixed	single	mixed	single	mixed					
E. coli	1		2		1		4				
E. coli + Mycoplasma spp.		4		6		3	13				
E. coli + P. multocida		1		2		0	3				
E. coli + Staph. spp.		0		4		1	5				
Total		25 (29.06%)									
Mycoplasma spp. + P. multocida		0		1		0	1				
Mycoplasma spp. + E. coli		4		6		3	13				
Total	14 (16.27%)										
Staph. spp.	0		3		1		4				
Staph. spp. + P. multocida		0		1		0	1				
Staph. spp. + E. coli		0		4		1	5				
Total	10 (11.62%)										
P. multocida	1		2		0		3				
P. multocida + Mycoplasma spp.		0		1		0	1				
P. multocida + Mycoplasma spp.		0		1		0	1				
P. multocida + E. coli		1		2		0	3				
Total	8 (9.3%)										
Klebsiella spp.	0		3		2		5				
Total	5 (5.8%)										

Table (2). Prevalence of single and mixed bacterial infections

Identification of the bacterial isolates:

All *Mycoplasma* isolates showed the characteristic colonies of "fried egg" appearance with dark raised center were sensitive to digitonin. Biochemical identification of *Mycoplasma spp*. revealed 9 isolates that could ferment glucose but neither hydrolyzed arginine nor make film & spot indicating *M. gallisepticum*, while 4 isolates were positive for glucose fermentation and film & spot formation and negative for arginine deamination indicting *M. synoviae* and 1 isolate couldn't ferment glucose but could hydrolyze arginine and made film & spot indicating *M. gallinarum*. Serogrouping of *E. coli* isolates according to the somatic "O antigen" revealed that O78, O1 and O2 were the most predominant serogroups. Serological identification of *Pasteurella* isolates revealed that all isolates were identified as *P. multocida* "Type A" while *Klebsiella* spp. were identified as "K1" and "K2", **table** (3).

Bacterial isolates	Sero-group	No.
<i>Mycoplasma</i> spp. (14)	M. gallisepticum M. synoviae M. gallinarum	9 4 1
E. coli (25)	078 01 02 0113 0158 091 Untyped	6 4 2 3 3 3 3
Klebsiella spp. (5)	K. pneumoniae K1 (HVKP) K. pneumoniae K2 (HVKP) K. pneumoniae K1 (CKP)	1 1 3
Pasteurella spp. (8)	P. multocida Type A	8
Staphylococcus spp. (10)	S. aureus CNS	73

*HVKP=High virulent K. pneumoniae *CKP=Classic K. pneumoniae *CNS=Coagulase negative Staph.

Molecular identification of the bacterial isolates:

PCR amplification of the *16SrRNA* gene based mycoplasma group specific PCR assay was applied for the tested *Mycoplasma* isolates declared a characteristic fragment at 280bp, as shown in **Fig. (1)**.

Mycoplasma isolates were subjected to further PCR reactions for the detection of *mgc2* and *16SrRNA* genes for the confirmation of *MG* and *MS*, respectively. Results revealed that 9 isolates were positive for the *mgc2* gene of *MG* and 4 isolates were positive for the *16SrRNA* gene of *MS* revealing specific bands at 824bp and 214bp, respectively (Fig. 2, 3).

Conventional PCR results revealed the detection of *phoA* gene in all *E. coli* isolates giving a specific band at 720bp (Fig. 4), also *gyrA* gene was amplified in all examined *Klebsiella* spp. and gave a characteristic band at 441bp (Fig. 5). Additionally, *16SrRNA* gene was identified in *P. multocida* with amplicon size of 460bp and *clfA* gene was found in the tested *S. aureus* isolates with an amplification product of 638bp (Fig. 6, 7).



Fig. (1): Electrophoretic pattern of *16SrRNA* gene of *Mycoplasma*. Lane (1): 100bp DNA ladder. Lane (2): control negative. Lane (3): control positive. Lanes (4-10): positive amplifications for target gene at 280bp



Fig. (2): Electrophoretic pattern of mgc2 gene of MG. Lane (1): negative control. Lane (2): positive control. Lane (3):100bp DNA ladder. Lanes (4-8): positive amplifications for target gene at 824bp.



Fig. (4): Electrophoretic pattern of *phoA* gene of *E. coli*. L: 100bp DNA ladder. P: control positive. N: control negative. Lanes (1-10): positive amplifications for target gene at 720bp.



Fig. (6): Electrophoretic pattern of *16SrRNA* gene of *P. multocida*. Lane (1): negative control. Lane (2): 100bp DNA ladder. Lane (3): positive control. Lanes (4-8): positive amplifications for target gene at 460bp.



Fig. (3): Electrophoretic pattern of *16SrRNA* gene of *MS*. Lane (1): 100bp DNA ladder. Lane (2): control negative. Lane (3): control positive. Lanes (4-7): positive amplifications for target gene at 214bp.



Fig. (5): Electrophoretic pattern of *gyrA* gene of *Klebsiella*. L: 100bp DNA ladder. P: control positive. N: control negative. Lanes (1-5): positive amplification for target gene at 441bp.



Fig. (7): Electrophoretic pattern of *clfA* gene of *S. aureus*. L: 100bp DNA ladder. Pos.: control positive. Neg.: control negative. Lanes (1-6): positive amplifications for target gene at 638bp.

Sequence results:

A purified *Mycoplasma* PCR product isolated from a tracheal swab of diseased turkey suffering respiratory signs was submitted to sequencing using *Mycoplasma 16SrRNA* gene. Sequencing was conducted in both directions. The original sequence was trimmed to remove the indefinite sequences which usually exist in the beginning of the sequence reaction. The result revealed that it was "*M. gallinarum*" submitted to Genbank database with accession number "MT754562" and designation RT20.

Phylogenetic analysis results:

Nucleotide phylogenetic tree of 16SrRNA of *M. gallinarum* (RT20) showed similarity ranged from 98.5-100% with reference and field strains as shown in **Fig. (8) & table (4)**.

 Table (4). Identity percent of nucleotide sequencing of 16SrRNA gene of M. gallinarum (RT20) compared with reference and field strains

	Percent Identity																						
[1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20		
[1		89.2	89.2	89.2	88.8	88.8	88.8	88.8	88.8	88.8	87.0	88.5	88.5	88.1	87.4	87.7	87.4	85.9	84.8	82.9	1	RT20
	2	6.6		100.0	100.0	98.9	99.6	99.6	98.9	98.9	98.9	97.8	98.5	99.3	97.8	96.7	97.4	97.0	95.2	91.1	88.9	2	M.gallinarum-B04-09-07-1
[3	6.6	0.0		100.0	98.9	99.6	99.6	98.9	98.9	98.9	97.8	98.5	99.3	97.8	96.7	97.4	97.0	95.2	91.1	88.9	3	M.gallinarum-ATCC15319
	4	6.6	0.0	0.0		98.9	99.6	99.6	98.9	98.9	98.9	97.8	98.5	99.3	97.8	96.7	97.4	97.0	95.2	91.1	88.9	4	M.gallinarum-B2(Dinter)
	5	6.6	1.1	1.1	1.1		98.5	98.5	100.0	99.3	100.0	96.7	99.6	98.2	98.9	95.6	98.5	98.2	94.1	91.4	89.3	5	M.iners-B359-15-8-1
[6	7.0	0.4	0.4	0.4	1.5		100.0	98.5	98.5	98.5	98.2	98.2	98.9	97.4	96.3	97.8	96.7	94.8	90.7	88.5	6	M.gallinarum-B293-15-10
	7	7.0	0.4	0.4	0.4	1.5	0.0		98.5	98.5	98.5	98.2	98.2	98.9	97.4	96.3	97.8	96.7	94.8	90.7	88.5	7	M.gallinarum.B1101-14-10-1
	8	6.6	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.0	1.5	1.5		99.3	100.0	96.7	99.6	98.2	98.9	95.6	98.5	98.2	94.1	91.4	89.3	8	M.columbinum-NCTC10178
8	9	7.4	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.7	1.5	1.5	0.7		99.3	96.7	98.9	98.2	98.9	95.6	98.5	98.2	94.1	90.7	88.1	9	M.maculosum-NCTC10168
gen	10	6.6	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.0	1.5	1.5	0.0	0.7		96.7	99.6	98.2	98.9	95.6	98.5	98.2	94.1	91.4	89.3	10	M.iners-NBRC-14853
Ver	11	7.0	0.4	0.4	0.4	1.5	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.5	1.5		96.3	97.0	95.6	94.5	95.9	94.8	93.0	88.8	86.7	11	M.gallinarum-B1101-14-8
ē	12	6.7	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.0	1.5	1.5	0.0	0.7	0.0	1.5		97.8	98.5	95.2	98.2	97.8	93.7	91.1	88.9	12	M.columbinum-FG295
	13	7.5	0.7	0.7	0.7	1.9	1.1	1.1	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.1	1.9		97.0	95.9	96.7	97.8	94.5	90.3	88.1	13	M.gallinarum-PG16
	14	7.9	2.3	2.3	2.3	1.1	2.6	2.6	1.1	1.1	1.1	2.6	1.1	3.0		94.5	99.6	99.3	93.0	90.0	87.8	14	M.meleagridis-NCTC10153
	15	7.9	2.6	2.6	2.6	3.8	3.0	3.0	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.0	3.8	3.4	5.0		94.1	93.7	98.5	95.2	93.0	15	M.gallinaceum-NCTC10183
	16	8.3	2.6	2.6	2.6	1.5	2.3	2.3	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.3	1.5	3.4	0.4	5.4		98.9	92.6	89.6	87.4	16	M.meleagridis-ATCC27764
	17	8.7	3.0	3.0	3.0	1.9	3.4	3.4	1.9	1.9	1.9	3.4	1.9	2.3	0.7	5.8	1.1		92.3	89.2	87.0	17	M.meleagridis-17529
	18	9.6	4.2	4.2	4.2	5.4	4.6	4.6	5.4	5.4	5.4	4.6	5.4	5.0	6.6	1.5	7.0	7.4		93.7	91.5	18	M.pullorum-ATCC33553
	19	9.2	6.3	6.3	6.3	5.4	6.7	6.7	5.4	6.3	5.4	6.7	5.5	7.1	6.7	3.5	7.1	7.5	5.1		97.8	19	M.synoviae-ATCC
	20	8.9	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.1	6.3	6.3	5.1	5.9	5.1	6.3	5.1	6.7	6.3	3.1	6.7	7.1	4.7	0.0		20	M.synoviae
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20		

Fig. (8): Phylogenetic tree of nucleotide of *16SrRNA* gene of *M. gallinarum* (RT20) compared with reference and field strains



Clinical signs

Infected turkeys showed respiratory disorders represented in swollen head with closed eyes (Fig. 9A1). Swollen infra orbital sinuses (Fig. 9A2) beside nasal discharge which is catarrhal (frothy) in case of *Mycoplasma* mixed infection and turned to be thick in some cases and even mucopurulent in few other cases especially of those infected with pyogenic pathogens as *E. coli*. Sneezing, depression and tracheal râls were also observed, high morbidity rate (68-79%) were detected, while mortality rate was mild to moderate in inspected farms (9-21%). Decrease feed intake and weight loss were detected with progress of the disease especially in case of *Mycoplasma* infection.

Postmortem examination:

Grossly, the trachea showed severe congestion (Fig. 9B) in some cases, catarrhal tracheitis represented in trachea was filled with yellowish exudates in other cases, while examined lungs from positive infected cases appeared in different forms, edematous, firm with cut surface yielded blood tinged exudate and mild to moderate hemorrhage of mostly focal distribution (Fig. 9C). Infected lungs also revealed focal to diffuse congestion (Fig. 9D). Some cases revealed lungs with foal caseation (Fig. 9E), which is mostly common in those mixed infection with Mycoplasma most of the. The incidence and prevalence of gross lesions based on the etiological agents isolated were summarized in lesion score table (2).



Figure (9): (A1): Infected turkey with closed eyes due to swollen head. (A2): Infected turkey showed swollen sinuses. (B): Congested trachea. (C): Infected lung revealed severe hemorrhage (arrows). (D): Infected lung revealed focal congestion (arrows). (E): Infected lung revealed multiple miliary caseous nodules (arrows).

Microscopic examination:

The trachea showed detached cilia with partial chondromalacia (Fig. 10a). Focal submucosal hemorrhage with necrosis of some chondrocytes (Fig. 10b) was observed. Focal areas of hemosiderosis in the tracheal mucosa (Fig. 10c) in some cases were detected. Leucocytic cells infiltrate the tracheal mucosa (Fig. 10d) was observed while hyperplasia and metaplasia of mucosal glands with partial chondromalacia of tracheal ring cartilage (Fig. 10e) were noticed. Mild cystic dilation of tracheal glands with metaplasia or vacuolation of glandular epithelium (Fig. 10f) were also observed. Lungs revealed severe congestion with perivascular fibrosis (Fig. 11a). Thickening of inter alveolar wall (acute interstitial pneumonia, focal to diffuse hemorrhage with focal nodule of lymphocytes) (Fig. 11b) which is known as lymhofollicular reaction. Severe perivascular hemorrhage with thickening of interalveolar wall and hyperplasia of bronchial epithelium (Fig. 11c) were observed. Focal area of caseous necrosis and focal proliferation of fibroblasts with mild hemorrhage also observed. Severe heterophilic cells infiltration with giant cells and severe hemorrhage (Fig. 11d) were seen.



Fig. (10): Photomicrograph of infected tracheas revealed (a): Detached cilia (arrow head) with partial chondromalacia of tracheal ring cartilage (arrow) (H&E x100). (b): Focal submucosal hemorrhage (arrow) with necrosis of some chondrocytes (arrow heads) (H&E x100). (c): Focal areas of hemosiderosis in the tracheal mucosa (arrow head) (H&E x200). (d): Leucocytic cells infiltrate the tracheal mucosa (arrows) (H&E x200). (e): Hyperplasia and metaplasia of mucosal glands (arrows) with partial chondromalacia of tracheal ring cartilage (arrow head) (H&E x100). (f): Mild cystic dilatation of tracheal gland (arrow head) with metaplasia or vacuolation of glandular epithelium (arrow) (H&E x200).



Figure (11): Photomicrograph of different sections of infected lungs revealed (a): Severe congestion (arrow) with perivascular fibrosis (arrow head) (H&E x200). (b): Thickening of interalveolar wall, diffuse hemorrhage (arrow head) with focal nodule of lymphocytes (lymphofollicular reaction) (arrow) (H&E x100). (c): Severe perivascular hemorrhage (arrow head) with thickening of interalveolar wall and hyperplasia of bronchial epithelium (arrow) (H&E x100). (d): Severe heterophilic cells infiltration with giant cells (arrow) and severe hemorrhage (arrow head) (H&E x200).

Affected tissue	Lesion	Type of infection	Lesion severity						
	Catarrhal trachietis	Mostly in <i>E. coli</i> or <i>P. multocida</i> infection							
	Congestion	All single and mixed infection							
	Detached cilia	All single and mixed infection except Klebsiella							
	Lymphocytic cells infil- tration	Mainly in Mycoplasma infection							
Trachea	Heterophilic cell infil- tration	All bacterial infection							
	Hemosiderosis	Mainly in P. multocida and Staphylococcus							
	Hypertrophy of mucosal glands	Mainly in <i>P. multocida</i> and <i>Staphylococcus</i>							
	Metaplasia of mucosa	All single and mixed infection except Klebsiella							
	Consolidation	All infection including Staphylococci							
	Caseous nodule for- mation	All infection including <i>Staphylococci</i> and <i>E. coli</i> and <i>Mycoplasma</i> infection							
	Congestion	All mixed and single bacterial infection							
	Lymphofollicular reac- tion	Mainly Mycoplasma infection and sometimes E. coli							
Ŧ	Hemorrhage	Mainly <i>P. multocida</i> and other mixed infection							
Lung	Caseous necrosis	Mainly Mycoplasma and sometimes E. coli							
	Fibrosis	All mixed infection in chronic stage							
	Polymorphic cells infil- tration	All mixed and single bacterial infection							
	Lymphocytic cell infil- tration	Mainly in Mycoplasma infection sometimes P. multocida infection							
	Acute interstitial pneu- monia	All single or mixed infection with <i>P. multocida</i> in acute stage	++						

Lesions score of respiratory disorders with incidence were demonstrated in **table (5)**. **Table (5)**. Lesions score of the respiratory disorders

Antibiotic Sensitivity:

Phenotypic antibiotic susceptibility profile of all bacterial isolates was performed by disk diffusion methods. Results showed that; *MG* and *MS* were sensitive to ciprofloxacin, lincomycin and doxycycline. *E. coli* were sensitive to colistin, ciprofloxacin and gentamycin. *P. multocida* showed sensitivity to ciprofloxacin, gentamycin and cephalexin. *K. pneumoniae* and *Staph*. spp. were sensitive to gentamycin and chloramphenicol, **table (6)**.

Antibiotic/ Symbol/ Potency (µg)	<i>M</i> (n	1G =9)	М (n=	(S =4)	<i>E. c</i> (n=	coli 25)	Р. 1	<i>multocida</i> (n=8)	pni (K. emonie n=5)	Staph (n=10)	
	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R
Amoxicillin (AX) 25µg	-	-	-	-	4	21	5	3	0	5	1	9
Ciprofloxacin (CIP) 10µg	8	1	3	1	13	12	6	2	1	4	5	5
Gentamycin (CN) 10µg	3	6	2	2	14	11	6	2	3	2	9	1
Lincomycin (MY) 15µg	7	2	3	1	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-
Spectinomycin (SH) 100µg	6	3	1	3	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-
Erythromycin (Ε) 15μg	2	7	1	3	0	25	4	4	0	5	4	6
Chloramphenicol (C) 30µg	3	6	1	3	8	17	3	5	3	2	7	3
Sulpha+ Trimethoprim (SXT) 25µg	-	-	-	-	10	15	3	5	2	3	7	3
Colistin (CT) 10µg	-	-	-	-	24	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Doxycycline (DO) 30µg	8	1	3	1	8	17	4	4	2	3	2	8
Cephalexin (CLX) 30µg	_	-	-	-	0	25	6	2	0	5	0	10

 Table (6). Antibiotic sensitivity test results of the bacterial isolates

n= number of isolates

Discussion

Bacterial infections of the respiratory tract are of a major importance as they cause 30% of mortality per year (Hossain *et al.*, 2013). Most studies discussed respiratory diseases in poultry had focused on chickens while there is relatively little data on turkeys (Argudín *et al.*, 2013).

Our results proved the isolation of *Mycoplas*ma with recovery rate (16.27%) as reported by **Rasoulinezhad** et al. (2017) who found that *M. gallisepticum* was isolated from 16.66% of the examined cases with airsacculitis and infra orbital sinusitis in turkey farms in Iran. The results presented by Eissa et al. (2000) lessened the significant role of *M. galliseptictum* as a cause of infra orbital sinusitis in turkey and increase the role of *M. synoviae*. Whereas the results of our study clarified the role of *M. gallisepticum*, *M. synoviae* and *M. gallinarumin* in induction of respiratory disease in (-) = not used

turkeys.

Our results were in accordance with Agnes et al. (2013) who had identified APEC, S. aureus, and O. rhinotracheale as the most frequently diagnosed pathogens in turkeys. Also, Eid and Samir (2019) deduced the causative agents implicated in the respiratory problems in turkey flocks in Hefna, AL-Sharkia were E. coli, Klebsiella spp. and Staphylococci spp.

In our investigation we isolated *Staph* spp. from diseased turkeys with incidence rate 11.62% which was lower than that detected by **Friese** *et al.* (2013) who recorded the prevalence of *S. aureus* in turkey farms of 25.9%.

Under commercial conditions, complicated infections involving multiple etiologies with viruses, mycoplasmas and other bacteria are more commonly observed than single infections. In turkeys, only few studies have been performed to explain the effects of combined action of viruses, bacteria and mycoplasma (Marien, 2007).

Concerning the mixed infection detected in this study, this was in accordance with the previous studies which identified the respiratory pathogens in turkeys as APEC, P. multocida and Mycoplasma spp. that can be clinically challenging when two of these pathogens occur concurrently (Pierson et al., 1996). Isolation of these microorganisms from the respiratory tracts of turkeys may refer to their ability to act synergistically leading to aggravated condition of chronic respiratory disease "CRD" (Abdelhameed et al., 2009 and Norhan et al., 2014).

A study conducted by **Abdelhameed** *et al.* (2009) had detected *M. gallisepticum*, either alone or coupled with *P. multocida* and *E. coli* as the predominant etiologic agents responsible for the respiratory problems in diseased turkey flocks. Others have attributed concurrent infections with *K. pneu-moniae* and *E. coli* to cause respiratory disease and high mortality rates in turkeys **Hinz** *et al.* (1992).

The detected *E. coli* mixed infection was in agreement with **Martin (2000)** who mentioned that it occured either primary where it acted as the main entity or secondary in which another disease gave a chance for *E. coli* to induce the disease, it is unknown which of these two theories is true.

Our investigation results showed that *E. coli* serogroups; O78, O2, O1 and O91 were the most identified ones as those reported by **Martin (2000)** and **Dziva and Stevens (2008)**.

Identification of *P. multocida* "Type A" was in agreement with other investigations that detected *P. multocida* "Type A" as the major serogroup found in avian host (Shivachandra *et al.*, 2006) and Furian, *et al.* (2014).

K. pneumoniae identification from lungs was in line with **Dashe** *et al.* (2012) who mentioned that it could possibly be responsible for severe cases of respiratory distress encountered in poultry.

Regarding the genotypic identification results of *Mycoplasma* isolates, it showed high accuracy and specificity, as confirmed by **Marouf** et al. (2020) who identified 86 *MG* and 39 *MS* by PCR. Whereas identification of *E. coli* by targeting its highly conserved gene (*pho*E gene) can successfully act as an alternative to conventional identification methods (APHA, 2005).

Our phylogenetic analysis of the partialgenome sequencing of the 16SrRNA gene results revealed the detection of *M. gallinarum* in the trachea of a diseased turkey which was in accordance with Volokhov et al. (2012) who used three-target sequence analysis, including the ITS, rpoB, and 16SrRNA genes, as a reliable tool for the differentiation of the family *Mycoplasmataceae* based on their phylogenetic relatedness and pairwise sequence similarities. Beylefled, et al. (2018) identified mycoplasma isolated from South African poultry flocks by full-genome sequencing and phylogenetic analysis of the 16SrRNA gene and were classified as M. gallisepticum, M. gallinarum, M. gallinaceum, M. pullorum, M. synoviae, and M. iners, as well as one Acheoplasma laidlawii strain.

Genetic confirmation of *P. multocida* isolates by targeting the *16SrRNA* gene can act as fast and reliable alternative methods in diagnosis as stated by **Townsend** *et al.* (1998) and **Shivachandra** *et al.* (2006).

Klebsiella isolates were confirmed using the gyrA gene as mentioned by Younis et al. (2016). Molecular detection of S. aureus isolates was performed by the detection of clfA gene; the selection of that gene was based on the studies suggesting that clfA gene is present in the chromosome of all S. aureus strains and encodes a surface-exposed fibrinogen-binding protein (Smeltzer et al., 1997).

Previous respiratory signs beside the decrease in feed intake and weight loss were described with progress of the disease especially in case of *Mycoplasma* infection were similar to that detected by Ley (2003) who explained these findings in case of *Mycoplasma* infection to long course of disease which lasts for months in untreated flocks which also could be attributed to the frequent occurrence of a symptomatic infection (Levisohn and Kleven, 2000). Some of previously mentioned lesions were closely related to Linares and Wigle (2001) who described bilateral lung consolidation with multiple miliary caseous nodules in case of *Staphylococcus* infection.

Congested catarrhal tracheitis with yellowish

exudates was in harmony with those obtained by Marien (2007). Edematous firm yielded blood cut surface tinged exudate and mild hemorrhage were detected in both of E. coli or P. multocida infection either mixed or single were in complete accordance with that mentioned by Lakshman et al. (2006). Lungs with foal caseation were mostly common in case of mixed infection with Mycoplasma and E. coli species; chronic respiratory disease (CRD) Marien (2007). In severe cases of typical air sac disease, caseous exudates and some degrees of pneumonia were typically reported by (Ley, 2003). However, these lesions may occur with other pathogens, and are not pathognomonic for Mycoplasmosis (Razin et al., 1998) who stated that the clinical picture of Mycoplasma infections was more suggestive to be damaged due to the host immune and inflammatory responses rather than the direct toxic effects by Mycoplasmal cell components.

Our tracheal lesions in mixed infection with E. coli and Mycoplasma were in partial accordance with Marien (2007) who noticed focal loss of cilia (detached cilia) and/or necrosis of the epithelium, as well as degeneration of mucous glands and with complete accordance with Lakshman et al. (2006). K. pneumoniae lesions were restricted in the lung giving arise to pneumonia identical to Cheng et al. (2018) who reported that K. pneumoniae usually plays an important role for causing pneumonia in large animal but lower than that in turkey (Aslan et al., 2002). Acute interstitial pneumonia was the most prominent lesion observed in acute respiratory infection in lungs which was closely related to that obtained by Lakshman et al. (2006) who described the same lesion which characterized by thickening of interalveolar septa with fibrin threads accumulation. Hemorrhages, congestion and pneumonic changes in case of avian Pasteurellosis are in line with the findings of Cynthia (2005) and Anitha and Mammen (2013).

The indiscriminate use of antimicrobials in animal farming is likely to accelerate the development of anti-microbial resistance (AMR), in addition to human health concerns about the presence of antimicrobial residues in meat (**Reig and Toldra, 2008**). Additionally, AMR in poultry pathogens is likely to lead to economic losses, derived from the expenditure on ineffective antimicrobials, as well as the burden of untreated poultry disease (Nhung *et al.*, 2017), therefore the emerge of many antibiotic resistant bacterial strains is very important to be concerned.

Our study declared the resistance of the most important implicated bacterial respiratory causes to many antibiotics. *M. gallisepticum* and *M.* synoviae showed sensitivity to ciprofloxacin, lincomycin, and doxycycline and represented resistance to gentamycin, erythromycin and chloramphenicol. These were in accordance with those reported by Gharaibeh and Al-Rashdan (2011) who stated a statistically significant increase in resistance of MG to erythromycin, tilmicosin, tylosin, ciprofloxacin, enrofloxacin, chlortetracycline, doxycycline, and oxytetracycline. This dramatic increase in resistance in a relatively short period of time appears should be concerned. Also El-shafey et al. (2016) showed the sensitivity of MS to doxycycline.

E. coli isolates exhibited absolute resistance to cephalexin and erythromycin (100%), followed by high resistance rate to amoxicillin, chloramphenicol, doxycycline, sulpha+trimethoprim; moderate resistance to ciprofloxacin and gentamycin, meanwhile, least level of resistance was reported to colistin, whereas P. multocida exhibited relatively low level of resistance to all tested antibiotics with gentamycin, ciprofloxacin showing high efficacy on most isolates. In contrast K. pneumoniae showed high resistance level to all tested antibiotics. It is obvious that E. coli and K. pneumoniae isolates had demonstrated extensive multidrug resistance patterns whereas P. multocida showed lower level of antibiotic resistance this is in accordance with Nhung et al. (2017) who mentioned that APEC isolates displayed considerably higher levels of AMR, with resistance rate over 80% for ampicillin, amoxicillin, tetracycline across studies. Whereas levels of resistance among P. multocida isolates were less than 20% for all antimicrobials. The most identified bacteria species in turkey farms exhibited high levels of multidrug-resistant were E. coli and K. pneumoniae (Kim et al., 2005).

Staph. spp. showed variable resistance levels mostly for cephalexin and amoxicillin and lowest resistance levels to gentamycin, sulpha+trimethoprim and chloramphenicol these results coincide with **El-Adawy** *et al.* (2016) who showed that 18 *S. aureus* isolates from turkey farms were resistant to aminoglycosides and cefoxitin and sensitive to gentamycin, chloramphenicol and sulphonamides.

Conclusion

Mycoplasma, E. coli, P. multocida, K. pneumoniae, and S. aureus, either as a single or mixed infection, were the most prominent pathogens incriminated in the respiratory diseases in turkey farms causing low feed intake and weight loss and thus severe economic losses. PCR is a rapid, sensitive and specific assay for the detection of these pathogens. Postmortem and pathological examinations may point to the potential pathogens helping in further diagnosis. The random use of antibiotics must be considered because of the appearance of the multidrug resistant bacterial strains.

Recommendation

Some recommendations must be considered to eliminate or even limit the respiratory diseases in turkeys' farms.

Proper nutrition is important for growth and good health of turkeys.

Strict biosecurity measures, correct management practice and effective vaccination programs should be adopted in turkey farms.

Avoid vertical transmitted diseases as *Mycoplasma* infections.

Application of routine antibiotic sensitivity tests for limiting their random use.

References

- Abdelhameed, F.; El-Shafey, Y.H.; Abd-eldayem, N.S. and Tantawi, L.A. (2009). Role of various species of mycoplasma and bacteria in turkey's sinusitis with description of pathological picture. Assiut Vet. Med. J. 55 (121): 1-21.
- Agnes, A.; Carolee, C. and Dave, L. (2013). Antimicrobial therapy of selected diseases in Turkeys, laying hens, and minor poultry species in Canada. Can. Vet. J. 54 (11): 1041-1052.

- Anitha, R. and Mammen, J.A. (2013). Pathological lesions in Pasteurellosis in an emu- A case report, Indian Journal of Veterinary Pathology. 37(2): 229-230.
- **APHA (2005).** Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 21st ed. American public health association. American water works association/water environment federation, Washington.
- Argudín, M.A.; Cariou, N.; Salandre, O.; Guennec, J.L.; Nemeghaire, S. and Butaye, P. (2013). Genotyping and antimicrobial resistance of *Staph. aureus* isolates from diseased turkeys. Avian Pathology, J. 42 (6): 572-580.
- Aslan, V.; Maden, M. and Erganis, O. (2002). Clinical efficacy of florfenicol in the treatment of calf respiratory tract infections. Vet Q.; 24(1): 35–39.
- Beylefeld, A.; Wambulawaye, P.; Bwala, D.G.; Gouws, J.J.; Lukhele, O.M.; Wandrag, D.B.R. and Abolnika, C. (2018). Evidence for multidrug resistance in nonpathogenic mycoplasma species isolated from South African poultry. Applied and Environmental Microbiology. Vol.84, Issue 21, 1-13.
- **Brisse, S. and Verhoef, J. (2001).** Phylogenetic diversity of *K. pneumoniae* and *K. oxytoca* clinical isolates revealed by randomly amplified polymorphic DNA, gyrA and parC gene sequencing and automated ribotyping. Int. J. Syst. Vol. Microbiol.; 51: 915-924.
- Carter, G.R. (1984): Serotyping of *P. multocida*. Methods in Microbiology 16: 247-258.
- Cheng Fangjun, Li Zhangcheng, Lan Shimei, Liu Wei, Li Xiaoyan, Zhou Zuoyong, Song Zhenhui, Wu Juan, Zhang Manli and Shan Wenjie (2018): Characterization of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* associated with cattle infections in southwest China using multi-locus sequence typing (MLST), antibiotic resistance and virulence-associated gene profile analysis, Brazilian Journal of Microbiology 49: 93-100.
- Christensen, J.P. and Bisgaard, M. (2000): Fowl cholera. Rev. Sci. Tech. 19:626–637.
- **CLSI "Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute" (2017):** Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. 27th ed., Volume 4, Issue 1. Supplement M100.

Wayne, Pennsylvania.

- Cruickshank, R., Duguid, J.P., Marmion, B.P. and Swain, R.H.A., (1975). 2nd. Med. Microbil., Vol.2 Churchill Livingstone, Edinburgh.
- Cynthia, MK. (2005): The Merck Veterinary Manual, 9th ed. Merck & Co., New Jersey, USA; 2229.
- Dashe, Y.G., Kazeem, H.M., Abdu, P.A., Abiayi, E.A., Moses, G.D., Barde, I.J. and Jwander, L.D. (2012): Distribution of aerobic bacteria in visceral organs of poultry affected by highly pathogenic avian influenza (H5N1) in Nigeria. J. Am. Sci. 8(3): 745-748.
- Dziva, F. and Stevens, M.P. (2008): Colibacillosis in poultry: Unraveling the molecular basis of virulence of avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli* in their natural hosts. Avian Pathol., 37:355–366.
- Eid S. and Samir A. (2019): Extendedspectrum beta-lactamase and Class 1 integrons in multidrug-resistant *Escherichia coli* isolated from turkeys. Vet. World J. 12: 1167-1174.
- **Eissa, S.I., Dardeer, M.A. and Abo-Norag, M.A. (2000):** Application of sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) for identification of *Mycoplasma* infection in turkeys with special reference to treatment. Vet. Med. J., Giza, 48 (2): 197– 206.
- El-Adawy H., Ahmed M., Hotzel H., Monecke S., Schulz J., Hartung J., Enrich R., Neubauer H. and Hafez H. M. (2016): Characterization of methicillin resistant *Staphy. aureus* isolated from health turkey and broilers using DNA microarray. Frontiers in Microbiol. J. 7(12):1-34.
- El shafey, Dina.Y., Eissa, S.I., Dardeer, M.A., Abd El-Aziz, E,E., and Hassan, A.M. (2016): Prevalence of *Mycoplasma synoviae* infection in broilers and layers with special emphasis to in vitro antimicrobial sensitivity. International J. of advanced research, 4(3): 1336-1343.
- Erno, H. and Stipkovits, L. (1973): Bovine mycoplasmosis: Cultural and biochemical studies. Acta. Vet. Scand., 14:450-463.
- Fabricant, J. and Freundt. E.A. (1967): Importance of extension and standardization of

laboratory tests for the identification and classification of *Mycoplasma*. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 14350-58.

- Ferguson, N.M., Hepp, D., Sun, S. Ikuta, N., Levisohn, S., Kleven S.H. and Garcia, M. (2005): Use of molecular diversity of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* by gene-targeted sequencing (GTS) and random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis for epidemiological studies J. of Microbiology 151, 1883–1893.
- Frey, M.L., Hanson, R.P. and Anderson, D.P. (1968): A medium for isolation of avian mycoplasma. American Journal of Veterinary research, 9: 2163-2171.
- Friese, A., Schula, J., Zimmermann, K., Tenhagen, B.A., Fetsch, A., Hartung, J. and Röslera, U. (2013): Occurrence of livestock-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in turkey and broiler barns and contamination of air and soil surfaces in their vicinity. Applied and Environmental Microbiol. J.79 (8): 2759–2766.
- Furian, T.Q., Borges, K.A., Pilatti, R.M., Almeida, C., Nascimento, V.P. do, Salle C.T.P and Moraes, H.L. de S. (2014): Identification of the capsule type of P. multocida isolates from cases of fowl cholera by multiplex PCR and comparison with phenotypic methods. Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science. Vol.16, No.2, p.:31-36.
- Gharaibeh, S. and Al-Rashdan, (2011): Change in antimicrobial susceptibility of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* field isolates. Vet. Microbiol. 150 (3-4): 379- 383.
- Gosh, S. and LaPara, T.M. (2007): The effects of sub-therapeutic antibiotic use in farm animals on the proliferation and persistence of antibiotic resistance among soil bacteria. ISME J, 1: 191-203.
- Guabiraba R. and Schouler C. (2015): Avian colibacillosis: still many black holes. FEMS microbiology letters, 362, fnv118.
- Hall, A. (1999): BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor analysis program for windows95/98/NT. Nuc. Acids Symp. Ser. 41: 95-98.
- Hinz, K.H., Heffels-Redmann, U. and Poppel, M. (1992): Multi causal infectious respiratory tract disease of young fattening turkeys. Dtsch Tierarztl, Wochenschr. J. 99 (2):

75-78

- Holt, J.G., Krieg, N.R., Sneath, P.H.A., Staley, J.T., Williams, S.T., (1994): Bergey's Manual of determinative bacteriology, 9th ed. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins Publications, Hagerstown, MD, USA.
- Hossain, M.S., Akter, S., Ali, M., Das, P.M. and Hossain, M.M. (2013): Bacteriological and Pathological Investigation of Nasal Passage Infections of Chickens (*Gallus gallus*). The Agriculturist 11(1):47-55.
- Hu, Q., Tu, J., Han, X., Zhu, Y., Ding, C. and Yu, S. (2011): Development of multiplex PCR assay for rapid detection of *Riemerella anatipestifer*, *E. coli*, and *Salmonella enterica* simultaneously from ducks. J. of Microbiol. Methods 87: 64–69.
- Kim, S.H., Wei, C.I., Tzou, Y.M. and An, H. (2005): Multidrug-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolated from farm environments and retail Products in Oklahoma. Food Protection J. 68 (10): 2022- 2029.
- Kok, T., Worswich, D. and Gowans, E. (1996): Some serological techniques for microbial and viral infections. In Practical Medical Microbiology (Collee J.; Fraser A. and Simmons A., eds.) 14th ed., Edinburgh, UK.
- Koneman, E.W., Allen, S.D., Janda, W.M., Schrechtenberger, P.C. and Winner, Jr.W.C. (1997): Color Atlas and textbook of diagnostic microbiology. Ed J.B. Lippincott Co. USA.
- Lakshman, M., Shashikumar, M. and Rajendranath, N. (2006): Pathology of lung affections in poultry – a field study. Indian Journal of Veterinary Pathology 30(1): 42-45.
- Levisohn, S. and Kleven, S.H. (2000): Avian Mycoplasmosis (*Mycoplasma gallisepticum*). Rev. Scient. Tech., 19 (2): 425-442.
- Ley, D.H. (2003). Mycoplasma gallisepticum infection. In: Saif, Y.M., Barnes, H.J., Glisson, J.R., Fadly, A.M., McDougald, L.R., and Swayne, D.E. (ed.). Diseases of Poultry, 11th edition. Iowa State Press, Iowa, USA, pp. 722-744.
- Linares, J.A. and Wigle, W.L. (2001): *Staphylococcus aureus* pneumonia in turkey poults with gross lesions resembling Aspergillosis. Avian Diseases J. 45 (4): 1068-1072.

- Marien, M. (2007): Mixed respiratory infections in turkeys, with emphasis on Avian metapneumovirus, *O. rhinotracheale*, *E. coli* and *M. gallisepticum*. Ph.D. thesis Faculty of Vet. Med., Ghent University.
- Mari-
- en, M., Decostere, A., Martel, A., Chiers, K., Froyman, R. and Nauwynck, H. (2005): Synergy between avian Pneumovirus and *O. rhinotracheale* in turkeys. Avian Pathology, 34, 204–211.
- Marouf, S., Moussa I.M., Salem, H., Sedeik, M., Elbestawy, A., Hemeg, H.A, Dawoud, T.M., Mubarak, A.S., Mahmoud, H., Alsubki, R.A. and Bahkali, A.H. (2020): A picture of *M. gallisepticum* and *M. synoviae* in poultry in Egypt: Phenotypic and genotypic characterization. King Saud University Science J. 32: 2263-2268.
- Martin, E.K. (2000): *Escherichia coli* in tom turkeys and their environment. Master Degree of Science.
- Mason, W.J., Blevins, J.S., Beenken, K., Wibowo, N., Ojha, N. and Smeltzer, M.S. (2001): Multiplex PCR Protocol for the Diagnosis of Staphylococcal Infection. Clinical Microbiol. J., 39 (9): 3332–3338.
- Nhung, N.T., Chansiripornchai, N., and Carrique Mas, J.J. (2017): Antimicrobial Resistance in Bacterial Poultry Pathogens: A Review. Frontiers in Vet. Sci. J. 10.
- Norhan, K.A., Eldesoky, I.E., Ammar, A.M., Eissa, S.I. and Youseria, H.M. (2014): Molecular studies on *M. gallisepticum* and avian pathogenic *E. coli* induced infections in broilers. European Journal of Veterinary Medicine J. 4:1-16.
- **OIE (2008):** Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccine for Terrestrial Animals (Mammals, Birds and Bees), Avian Mycoplasmosis (*Mycoplasma gallisepticum*, *M. synoviae*). 6th Edition, OIE. Pages 482 – 496.
- Pierson, F., Barta, V.D., Boyd, D. and Thompson, W.S. (1996): Exposure to multiple infectious agents and the development of Colibacillosis in turkeys. J Appl. Poultry Res; 5:347–357.
- Quinn, P.J., Markey B., Carter, M.E., Donnelly, W.J. and Leonard, L.C. (2002): Veterinary microbiology and microbial diseases. 1st Ed. Blackwell Science. Pp: 43-48.

- Quinn, P.J., Markey, B.K., Leonard, F.C., Hartigan, P., Fanning, S. and Fitzpatrick, E.S. (2011): Veterinary Microbiology and microbial disease. 2nd Edition Wiley.
- Rasoulinezhad, S., Bozorgmehrifard, M.H., Hosseini, H., Sheikhi, N., and Charkhkar, S. (2017): Molecular detection and phylogenetic analysis of *M. gallisepticum* from backyard and commercial turkey flocks in Iran. Vet. Res. Forum. J. 8 (4) 293- 298.
- Razin, S., Yogev, D. and Naot, Y. (1998): Molecular biology and pathogenicity of *Mycoplasma*. Microbiology. Molecular Biology Review. (62): 1094-1156.
- **Reig, M. and Toldra, F. (2008):** Veterinary drug residues in meat: concerns and rapid methods for detection. Meat Sci. J. 78: 60 67.
- Shivachandra, S.B., Kumar, A.A., Gautam, R., Singh, V.P., Saxena, M.K. and Srivastava, S.K. (2006): Identification of avian strains of *P. multocida* in India by conventional and PCR assays. Vet J. 172: 561- 564.
- Sid, H., Benachour, K. and Rautenschlein, S . (2015): Co-infection with multiple respiratory pathogens contributes to increased mortality rates in Algerian poultry flocks. Avian Diseases, 59, 440–446.
- Smeltzer, M.S., Gillaspy, A.F., Pratt, F.L., Thames, M.D. and Iandolo, J.J. (1997): Prevalence and chromosomal map location of *Staph. aureus* adhesion genes. Gene J. 196: 249–259.
- Suvarna, K.S., Layton, C.H. and Banchroft, J.D. (2013): Theory and practice of histological technique. 4thed.; New York. Churchill; Livingston. J. of Virol. 8884 -8892.
- Stipkovits, L., Egyed, L., Palfi, V. Beres, A. Pitlik, E., Somogyi, M. Szathmary, S. and Denes, B. (2012): Effect of lowpathogenicity influenza virus H3N8 infection on MG infection of chicken. Avian Pathol. J. 41(1): 51-57
- Thompson, D., Higgins, G., and Gibson, J. (1994): CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, positionspecific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nuc. Acids Res. 22:4673-4680.
- Townsend, K.M.; Frost, C.W.; Lee, J.M. and Dawkins, H.J. (1998): Development of PCR assays for species and type specific

identification of *P. multocida* isolates. J. Clin. Micro, 36(4): 1096-1100.

- Vandekerchove, D., De Herdt, P., Laevens, H. and Pasmans, F. (2004): Colibacillosis in caged layer hens: characteristics of the disease and the etiological agent. Avian Pathology J. 33: 117125.
- Van Kuppeveld, F.J.M., Johansson, K. E., Galama, J.M.D., Kissing, J., Bolske, G., Van Der Logt, J.T.M. and Melchers, W.J.G. (1994): Detection of Mycoplasma contamination in cell cultures by a Mycoplasma group-specific PCR applied and environmental microbiology, Vol. 60, No. 1, p. 149 - 152.
- Volokhov, D.V., Simonyan, V., Davidson, M.K. and Chizhikov, V.E. (2012): RNA polymerase beta subunit (rpoB) gene and the 16S–23S rRNA intergenic transcribed spacer region (ITS) as complementary molecular markers in addition to the *16SrRNA* gene for phylogenetic analysis and identification of the species of the family Mycoplasmataceae. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 62: 515–528.
- Younis, G., Awad, A., El-Gamal, A. and Hosni, R. (2016): Virulence properties and antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of *Klebsiella* species recovered from clinically diseased broiler chicken. Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences 4 (10): 536-542.
- Wan, X.F., Branton, S.L., Collier, S.D., Evans, J.D., Leigh, S.A. and Pharr, G.T. (2010): Proteomics inference of genes involved in host adaptation of *Mycoplasma* gallinarum. Vet Microbiol 145:177–184.
- Watson, H.L., McDaniel, L.S., Blalock, D.K., Fallon, M.T. and Cassell, G.H. (1988): Heterogenicity among strains and a high rate of variation within strains of a major surface antigen of *Mycoplasma pulmonis*. Infection and Immunity (56): 1358-1363.
- WHO, World Health Organization (2011): Tackling antibiotic resistance from a food safety perspective in Europe.