#### ISSN: 2356-7767

# Diagnosis of Sheep Pox Viral Infecion in Al wadi Aljadid governorate, Egypt Hala, A. Salem\*; Samya, S. Abd El Naby\* and Saad, A. Moussa\*

\*Animal Health Research Institute, Virology Dept. Animal Health Research Institute (AHRI), Agriculture Research Center (ARC), Egypt.

Received in 1/10/2019 Accepted in 1/11/2019

## Abstract

Pox is one of the listed and notifiable disease affecting cattle, sheep & goat. Sheep pox causes a significant loss of reduced productivity and lower quality of wool and leather. This study, was conducted to identify 49 scabs skin samples from clinically diseased sheep was investigated by different laboratory techniques by using specific pathogen free (SPF) Embryonated chicken egg (ECE) chorio allantoic membrane (CAM) inoculation, electron microscope Examination (EM), Indirect fluorescent antibody test (IFAT), polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) & partial ORF 103 gene sequence analysis. Positive samples obtained from ECE, EM and FAT were used for molecular characterization. The virus was identified through sequence and phylogenetic analysis of the RPO30 gene, open reading frame (ORF 103) genes. A phylogenetic analysis was performed using partial sequencing of the ORF103 gene and comparing with reference sheep pox viruses isolates obtained from Gene Bank. The results of the sequence analysis were similar among themselves (99-100% identity), they were found different from the other sheep pox viruses around the world. This study provides firstly molecular analysis (phylogenetic) of sheep poxviruses from Aldaakhiluh Alwadi Aljadid governorate, Egypt.

Keywords: Sheep pox, ECE, IFA, EM, PCR, ORF 103 gene & RPO30 genes

## Introduction

Sheep pox virus (SPPV) and goat pox virus (GTPV) belong to Capripox virus genus (CaPV), Poxviridae family which is the causative agent of sheep and goat pox respectively (Zhou et al., 2012 and Babiuk et al., 2008). Sheep pox and goat pox classified as notifiable animal diseases by the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE) and considered endemic in Africa, Middle and Far East, and the India (Zhou et al., 2012). Sheep pox (SPPV) is a highly contagious, host specific, viral infection with high morbidity (75-100%) and mortality (5-50%) in sheep. Due to cross-protection within Capripoxviruses genus, sheep pox virus (SPPV) vaccines have been widely used for cattle against lumpy skin disease virus (LSDV) (Tuppurainen et al., 2014). SPPV and GTPV

genomes are approximately 150 kbp and are strikingly similar to each other, exhibiting 96% nucleotide identity over their entire length (Tulman et al., 2002). Transmission of SPPV and GTPV occurs by direct and indirect contact to aerosols, respiratory droplets or contact with oronasal secretions produced by acutely infected animals (Kitching and Taylor, 1985 and Verma et al., 2011). Transmission through contact exposure with abrasions or mechanical transmission by arthropod vectors may also occur (Sherry et al., 2014). The disease is characterized by fever, generalized skin and internal pox lesions, lymphadenopathy and death (Varshovi et al., 2009). The virus neutralization test is the most specific serological test, but because immunity to Capripox infection is predominantly cell mediated, the test is

not sufficiently sensitive to identify animals that have had contact with the virus and developed only low levels of neutralizing antibody. Agar gel immuno-diffusion (AGID) and indirect immuno-fluorescence tests (IFA) are less specific due to cross-reactions with antibody to other poxviruses (Kitching and Carn, 2010). However, prophylactic immunization of all susceptible animals with a potent and efficacious vaccine was the best way to control the disease especially in areas where these diseases are endemic. The diagnosis of sheep and goat pox has traditionally used characteristic clinical signs with polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for virus isolation. PCR has provided a sensitive and powerful technique for identifying this infection (Mangana-Vougiouka et al., 2000; Hosamani et al., 2004; Zheng et al., 2007). PCR-based diagnostic methods were effective in diagnosis of goat pox and sheep pox in suspected biopsy samples in the field (Rao and Bandyopadhyay, 2000). In this study, we used published molecular tools to identify the sheep pox virus, and 2 genes (RPO30 and ORF 103) were used for the first time to characterize sheep pox virus (SPPV) from Aldaakhiluh Alwadi Aljadid governorate, Egypt.

#### Materials and Methods Samples

In the present study, 49 pock tissues samples were collected from Wadi el-gidid, Egypt in years 2017-2018 to be processed in a trial for sheep pox virus isolation and characterization. 50 % glycerin buffer saline, 10% suspension in phosphate buffer saline (PBS) containing 100 IU/ml pencillin, 100 ug/ml streptomycin and 50 units mycostatin, the mixture was incubated at 25 C 1 hour then frozen and thawed 3 times at  $-20^{\circ}$ C and centrifuged at 3000rpm /10 minutes, the supernatant fluid was used as inoculums for virus isolation in embryonated chicken egg (ECE).

Virus isolation: Supernatant fluids of scabs injected on chorio allantoic membrane (CAM) according to Sabban, 1957. Samples were isolated in 11 days old in specific pathogen free (SPF) embryonated chicken eggs(ECE) Koum Oshiem SPF, Fayoum, Egypt) and harvesting of CAMs.

# Indirect fluorescent antibody test:

Antisheep IgG conjugated with fluorescent isothiocyanate (developed in rabbits and supplied by sigma). Sheeppox virus: Reference virus and antiserum were kindly supplied by veterinary serum and vaccine Research Institute. Abbasia, Cairo.

## Tissue Culture:

VERO cell culture propagated with Eagle's minimum essential medium supplemented with 10% fetal calves serum kindly obtained from the Department of virology, Animal health Research Institute, Dokki, Giza.

## Electron microscope examination:

Samples showed positive pock lesions on CAMs, were prepared for transmission electron microscopy in EM unit by **Mahmoud** *et al.*, 2010.

, National Research Centre and examined as described (Agriculture faculty)

Agar gel immune-diffusion test: It was applied according to OIE 2012

#### Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) DNA Extraction

DNA extraction from samples was performed using the QIAamp DNA Mini kit (Qiagen, Germany, GmbH) with modifications from the manufacturer's recommendations. Briefly, 200  $\mu$ l of the sample suspension was incubated with 10  $\mu$ l of proteinase K and 200  $\mu$ l of lysis buffer at 56°C for 10 min. After incubation, 200  $\mu$ l of 100% ethanol was added to the lysate. The sample was then washed and centrifuged following the manufacturer's recommendations. Nucleic acid was eluted with 100  $\mu$ l of elution buffer provided in kit. Extraction step for viral DNA directly from processed CAMs was done using a commercial kit (QIAamp. viral DNA Mini Kit).

# **Oligonucleotide Primers :**

Primers used were supplied from Metabion (Germany) are listed in table (1).

**PCR** : Primers were utilized in a 25  $\mu$ l reaction containing 12.5  $\mu$ l of Emerald Amp Max PCR Master Mix (Takara, Japan), 1  $\mu$ l of each primer of 20 pmol concentration, 4.5  $\mu$ l of water, and 6  $\mu$ l of DNA template. The reaction was performed in an applied biosystem 2720 thermal cycler.

Target gene	Primers	Ampli- fied segment (bp)	Primary denatura- tion	Amplification (35 cycles)				
				Secondary denaturation	Annealing	Exten- sion	Final ex- tension	Reference
RPO30	TCTATGTCT TGATATGT GGTGGTAG	151	94°C 5 min.	94°C 30 sec.	55°C 30 sec.	72°C 30 sec.	72°C 7 min.	San- thamani <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , (2013)
	AG- TGATTAGG TGGTGTATT ATTTTCC							
ORF 103	ATGTCTGA- TAAAAAAT TATCTCG	570	94°C 5 min.	94°C 30 sec.	52°C 40 sec.	72°C 45 sec.	72°C 10 min.	Zhu <i>et al.</i> , (2013)
	ATCCATAC CATCGTCG ATAG							

 Table (1). Primers sequences, target genes, amplicon sizes and cycling` conditions.

## Analysis of PCR Product

The product of PCR were separated by electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gel (Applichem, Germany, GmbH) in 1x TBE buffer at room temperature using gradients of 5V/cm. For gel analysis, 15  $\mu$ l of the products was loaded in each gel slot. Gelpilot 100 bp DNA Ladder (Qiagen, Germany, GmbH) was used to determine the fragment sizes. The gel was photographed by a gel documentation system (Alpha Innotech, Biometra) and the data was analyzed through computer software.

## Purification and sequence of PCR product

PCR products were purified using QIAquick PCR Product extraction kit. (Qiagen, Valencia). Big dye Terminator V3.1 cycle sequencing kit (Perkin-Elmer) was used for the sequence reaction and then it was purified using Centrisep spin column. DNA sequences were obtained by Applied Biosystems 3130 genetic analyzer (HITACHI, Japan), a BLAST® analysis (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) (Altschul *et al.*, 1990) was initially performed to establish sequence identity to GenBank accessions. The phylogenetic tree was created by the MegAlign module of Lasergene DNAStar Thompson *et al.*, (1994).

# Analysis of sequenced isolate:

Nucleotide sequences were analyzed with **DNA Star program** (version 7.0, CA, USA) and Phylogenetic tree based on 570 nucleotide sequences ORF 103 gene constructed by the neighbor-joining method of (**DNA Star software**).

# Result

to determine existence of virus particles; we inoculated the samples on to CAMs of SPF ECE 11 day old . Characteristic pock lesions for poxviruses were seen in 35 out of 49 suspected samples, After the samples underwent 7passages in CAMs of SPF ECE , large number of pock lesions were prominent **Figure (1)**. The supernatant of positive CAMs displayed the characteristic capripoxvirus virions on electron microscopic examination **Figure (2)**. The positive results represented by the presence of negatively stained oval-shape virus particles. The virions were approximately 200 nm longand 150 nm wide **Figure (2)**. Agar gel immune-diffusion test results were negative.

Tissue culture results also negative

IFAT on CAM-positive ECE Virus-specific green fluorescence was found in the infected ECE- None of the control CAM was stained. To gain further information from the viral sequences, we implemented a PCR to diagnose CaPV infection from the supernatant of positive CAM. To confirm the causative agent, we

amplified of RPO30 and ORF 103 genes via PCR Figure (3 & 4). Two specific products with the expected size (Figure 3,4), including the RPO30 (151bp) and ORF 103 (570 bp) genes, were amplified from the sample extracted from the supernatant of positive CAM. The purified of ORF 103 genes via PCR 570 bps Specific product size isolate was sequenced, subjected to similarity analysis, analyzed phylogenetically & sequence analysis was submitted to gene bank and identified under accession number (MK256477), subjected to similarity analysis, analyzed phylogenetically **Figure (5)**.



Figure (1). Large number of pock lesions diffused on CAMs



Figure (2). Electron microscopic image of capripoxvirus



**Figure (3).** Detection of sheep pox viral DNA after amplification by PCR.

Lane1: Ladder, Lane2: positive control, Lane 3: negative control &Lane 4: sheep pox Partial DNA suspected isolate.



Figure (4). Amplification of ORF 103 gene by PCR

Lane1: Ladder, Lane2: positive control,Lane 3:sheep pox Partial DNA suspected isolate &Lane 4: negative control.



Figure (5). Phylogenetic tree of Sheep pox virus isolate (SPV-1.EG.AHRI.Wady El-gidid) based on 570 nucleotide sequences of ORF 103 genes drawn by (DNA-Star version 7.0) & Sequences of other strains were obtained from Gen-Bank.

## Discussion

In the present work, we could detect 35 CAM pock lesions out of 49 initial screened samples but not accurate identification, so we used other confirmatory tests as Agar gel immunodiffusion (AGID) test were negative may be this test need the concentrated virus and antiserum. AGID test cannot be recommended as a serological test for the diagnosis of capripox because of the cross-reaction with antibody to contagious pustular dermatitis virus, which is the main differential diagnosis.

A consequence of this cross-reaction is many false-positive results (OIE, 2012). The tissue culture were negative owing to need of specific cells - Capripoxvirus will grow in tissue culture of bovine, ovine or caprine origin, although primary or secondary cultures of lamb testis (LT) or lamb kidney (LK) cells are considered to be the most susceptible (OIE, 2012). Indirect fluorescent antibody test were positive but Cross reactions can occur with orf, bovine papular stomatitis virus and perhaps other poxviruses (OIE, 2012).

Although electron microscopy has been clinically used for a long time in the detection of sheeppox virus, different strains of viruses within the family Poxviridae sheeppox, goatpox, Lumpy Skin Disease virus cannot be morphologically discriminated (Babiuk et al., CaPVs are strictly host-specific 2008). (Babiuk *et al.*, 2008) ) However, there are several reports indicating the SPPV could infect goats and GTPV could infect sheep (Bhanuprakash et al., 2010) and In very rare cases, isolation of O-240 isolate of LSD from Kenyan sheep, was reported (Kitching, 1999). Members of the Capripox genus cannot be distinguished using serological methods (Kitching, 2003). Information based only on the host an-imal species from which the strain was first isolated is inadequate to identify CaPVs, and owing to the very close antigenic relationship among CaPVs, conventional serological methods cannot distinguish SPPV and GTPV. Therefore, effective molecular techniques are necessary for complete and perfect strain differentiation (Bhanuprakash et al., 2006 & 2010). PCR described combines high specificity and sensitivity with speed. PCR was therefore shown to be the method of choice for sheep poxvirus diagnosis and shown to be more sensitive than antigen trapping ELISA (Ireland and Binepal, 1998).

Sheeppox virus infection requires rapid and correct diagnosis to prevent any outbreaks. More effective preventive precaution in addition to the early detection of infected animals should be performed to control programs (Zro et al., 2014). So we used in this study 2genes for identification of sheep pox. . RPO30 gene based PCR assay in combination with gene sequencing helps in molecular epidemiological studies of CaPV infection. Different sheep pox virus strains could not be differentiated by analysis of their proteins and serological methods (DAVIES and OTEMA, 1981). Sequencing analysis is a very effective method to help understand the genomic nature of the virus. Gene Sequence analysis can be used in differentiating SPPV, GTPV and LSD genetic rela-

# tionship among different virus strains (Lamien *et al.*, 2011, Hosamani *et al.*, 2004; Zhou *et al.*, 2012).

Sequence and phylogenetic analysis showed that there was a close relationship among 570 nucleotide sequence of ORF 103 genes of SPPV-1/Wadi el-gidid /2018/Egypt (MK256477) and Sheep pox virus strain SPPVE1 virion core protein gene (MG873537.1), Sheep pox virus strain Jaipur (MG000156.1), Sheep pox virus isolate (KT438551.1), Sheep pox virus SPPV-GL, El-Minufiya ORF isolate 103 gene isolate (MF443334.1), Sheep pox virus SPPV/57-2823/Pune/2007 (KX398522.1), Sheep pox virus strain Romanian Fenner, partial genome (MG000157.1), Sheep pox virus SPPPV/30-02/Ahmedabad/2008 isolate (KX398520.1) & Sheep pox virus isolate SPPV/Ahmedabad/2009/P5 virion core protein gene (KX398517.1) shared identical relationships with other Capripoxviruses isolated from different regions (the nucleotide sequence identities were 100%) as shown Figure (5). genes of SPPV/A1/Wadi el-ORF 103 gidid /2018/Egypt show 98% identity with Lumpy skin disease virus isolate Evros / GR/15 (KY829023.3), Lumpy skin disease virus SERBIA/ Bujanoisolate vac/2016 (KY702007.1), Lumpy skin disease virus NW-LW isolate Neethling Warmbaths LW (AF409137.1), Goat pox virus isolate AV41 (MH381810.1), Goat pox virus isolate GTPV/ Sambalpur /2001/P6 virion core protein gene (KX398512.1), Goat pox virus isolate GTPV 143/ Mukteswar /2012 virion core protein gene (KX398510.1) & Goat pox virus isolate GTPV/ Ladakh /2001/P3 virion core protein gene (KX398508.1). Conclusion the study presents molecular characterization of SSPV isolate in Alwadi Algidid governorate, Egypt. Capripox case was detect-

governorate, Egypt. Capripox case was detected and identified as SPPV-1.EG.AHRI.Wadi-Elgidid. Based on sequence and phylogenetic analysis, genetic relationship, as well as viruses isolated from other regions. The results showed that molecular techniques based on the PRO30 and ORF 103 genes are efficient for the characterization and differentiation of CaPVs.

# References

- Altschul, S.F.; Gish, W.; Miller, W.; Myers, E.W. and Lipman, D.J. (1990). Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol. 215(3): 403-10.
- Babiuk, S.; Bowden, T.R.; Boyle, D.B.; Wallace, D.B. and Kitching, R.P. (2008). Capripoxviruses: an emerging worldwide threat to sheep, goats and cattle. Transbound. Emerg. Dis. 55: 263–272.
- Bhanuprakash, V.; Indrani, B.K.; Hosamani, M. and Singh, R.K. (2006). The current status of sheep pox disease. Comp Immunol-Microbiol Infect Dis 2006; 29: 27-60.
- Bhanuprakash, V.; Venkatesan, G.; Balamurugan, V. and Hosamani, M. (2010). Pox outbreaks in sheep and goats at Makhdoom (Uttar Pradesh), India: evidence of sheeppox virus infection in goats. Transbound. Emerg. Dis. 57: 375-382
- **DAVIES, F.G. and C. OTEMA (1981).** Relationships of capripox viruses found in Kenya with two Middle East strains and some orthopox viruses. Res. Vet. Sci. 31, 253-255.
- Hosamani, M.; Mondal, B.; Tembhurne, P.A.; Bandyopadhyay, S.K.; Singh, R.K. and Rasool, T.J. (2004). Differentiation of sheep pox and goat poxviruses by sequence analysis and PCR-RFLP of P32 gene. Virus Genes, 29(1): 73-80.
- **Ireland, D.C. and Binepal, Y.S. (1998).** Improved detection of Capripox virus in biopsy samples by PCR. J. Virol. Meth. 74: 1–7.
- Kitching, R.P. and Taylor, W.P. (1985). Clinical and antigenicrelationship between isolates of sheep and goat pox viruses.Trop. anim. Hlth Prod., 17 (2), 64-79.
- Kitching, R.P. (1999). Capripoxviruses. In: Granoff, A. andWebster, R.G., editors. Encyclopedia of Virology. Vol. 3.Academic Press, San Diego, CA. p1376-1381
- Kitching, R.P. (2003). Vaccines for lumpy skin disease, sheep pox and goat pox. Dev. Biol. 114: 161-167
- Kitching, R.P. and Carn, V. (2010). Sheep pox and Goat pox. Manual of Diagnostic

Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals OIE Paris; 2010, 1-12, [online]. http:// www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health standards /tahm /2.07.14/ S. POX G.POX.pdf.

- Lamien, C.E.; Le, Goff C.; Silber, R.; Wallace, D.B.; Gulyaz, V.; Tuppurainen, E.; Madani, H.; Caufour, P.; Adam, T.; El Harrak, M.; Luckins, A.G.; Albina, E. and Diallo, A. (2011). Use of the Capripoxvirus homologue of Vaccinia virus 30 kDa RNA polymerase subunit (RPO30) gene as a novel diagnostic and genotyping target: Development of a classical PCR method to differentiate Goat poxvirus from Sheep poxvirus. Vet Microbiol., 149(1-2): 30-39.
- Mahmoud, A.M.; Abdelrahman, A.K. and Soliman, H. (2010). Molecular and virological studies on contagiouspustular dermatitis isolates from Egyptian sheep and goats. Res. Vet. Sci., 89: 290-294.
- Mangana-Vougiouka, O.; Markoulatos, P.; Koptopoulos, G.; Nomikou, K.; Bakandritsos, N. and Papadopoulos, P. (2000). Sheep poxvirus identification from clinical specimens by PCR, cell culture, immunofluorescence and agar gel immunoprecipitation assay. Mol. Cell. Probes 14: 305– 310.
- **OIE**, **(2012).** Manual of DiagnosticTests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals. Sheep Pox andGoat Pox (Version Adopted in May 2010). Vol. 7.14. Ch. 2.OIE, Paris, France 2014.
- **Rao, T.V. and S.K. Bandyopadhyay (2000).** A comprehensive review of goat pox and sheep pox and their diagnosis. Anim. Health Res. Rev. 1: 127–136.
- Sabban, (1957). The cultivation of sheep pox virus on the chorioallantoic membrane of the developing chicken embryo. Am J Vet Res. 18 (68): 618-24.
- Santhamani, R.; Yogisharadhya, R.; Venkatesan, G.; Shivachandra, S.B.; Pandey A.B. and Ramakrishnan, M.A. (2013). Detection and differentiation of sheeppox virus and goatpox virus from clinical samples using 30 kDa RNA polymerase subunit

(*RPO30*) gene based PCR. Veterinary World, EISSN: 2231-0916.

- Sherry, L.H.; Chen, P.; McFadden, G. and Rothenburg, R.S. (2014). Poxviruses and the evolution of host range and virulence.Infect. Genet. Evol., 21: 15-40.
- **Thompson, J.D.; Higgins, D.G. and Gibson, T.J. (1994).** CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res. 11; 22(22): 4673-80.
- Tulman, E.R.; C.L. Afonso; Z. Lu; L. Zsak; J.H. Sur; N.T. Sandy baev; U.Z. Kerembekova; V.L. Zaitsev; G.F. Kutish and D.L. Rock (2002). The Genomes of Sheep pox and Goat pox Viruses. Journal of virology, Vol. 76, No. 12, p. 6054–6061
- Tuppurainen Eeva, S.M.; Caroline, R.; Pearson, A.; Katarzyna Bachanek-Bankowska, A.; Nick, J.; Knowles, A.; Shadi Amareen, B.; Lorraine Frost, A.; Mark, R.; Henstock, A.; Charles, E.; Lamien, C.; Adama Diallo, C.; Peter, P.C. and Mertens, (2014). Characterization of sheep pox virus vaccine for cattle against lumpy skin disease virus. Antiviral Research 109 (2014) 1–6.
- Varshovi, H.R.; H. Keyvanfar; K. Aghaiypour; S.A. Pourbakhsh; A.H. Shooshtari and M. Aghaebrahimian, (2009). Capripoxvirus identification by PCR based on P32 gene. Archives of Razi Institute, 64, 19-25.
- Verma, S.; Verma, L.K.; Gupta, V.K.; Katoch, V.C.; Dogra, V.; Pal, B. and Sharma, M. (2011). Emerging Capripoxvirusdisease outbreaks in Himachal Pradesh, a northern state ofIndia. Transbound. Emerg. Dis. 58: 79–85.
- Zheng, M.; Liu, Q.; Jin, N. and Guo, J. (2007). A duplex PCR assay for simultaneous detection and differentiation of Capripoxvirus and Orf virus. Mol. Cell Probes 21: 276-281.
- Zhou, Tao; Huaijie, Jia; Guohua, Chen; Xiaobing, He; Yongxiang, Fang; Xiaoxia, Wang; Qisai, Guan; Shuang, Zeng; Jing\*

**Qing, Cui and Zhizhong, (2012).** Phylogenetic analysis of Chinese sheep pox and goat pox virus isolates. Virology Journal 2012, 9: 25.

- Zhu, X.L.; Yang, F.; Li, H.X.; Dou, Y.X.; Meng, X.L.; Li, H.; Luo, X.N. and Cai, X.P. (2013). Identification and phylogenetic analysis of a sheep pox virus isolated from the Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region of China. Genetics and Molecular Research 12 (2): 1670-1678.
- Zro, K.; Zakham, F.; Melloul, M.; El Fahime, E. and Ennaji, M.M. (2014). A sheeppox outbreak in Morocco: isolation andidentification of virus responsible for the new clinical form of disease. BMC Vet Res 2014; 10: 31.